
IMPRIMATUR,

Ex Edibus *Lamb.*
Martii 1. 168 $\frac{6}{7}$.

Guil. Needham, Reveren-
dissimo in Christo P. ac D. D.
Wilhelmo Archiep. Cant. à Sac. Dom.

IMPRIMATUR,

Ex Edibus *Lamb.*
Martii 1. 168 $\frac{6}{7}$.

Guil. Needham, Reveren-
dissimo in Christo P. ac D. D.
Wilhelmo Archiep. Cant. à Sac. Dom.

A (3)
TREATISE
IN
CONFUTATION
OF THE
Latin Service
PRACTISED,
And, By the
Order of the *TRENT* COUNCIL, Continued
IN THE
CHURCH of *ROME*.

Optandum autem esset ut totus Cultus divinus, qui tribus potissimum constat, hymnis, doctrina, & precatione linguâ toti populo notâ perageretur, quemadmodum olim fieri consuevit, omniâque sic explanatè, distinctèque sonarentur, ut ab attentis possent intelligi. Erasmus de modo orandi, p. 70.

L O N D O N,
Printed by *M. Flesher*, for *Walter Kettilby* at the *Bishop's*
Head, in *St. Paul's Church-yard*. 1687.

(8)

A

TREATISE

IN

CONFESSION

OF THE

Latin Service

PRACTISED

And By the

Order of the SACRAMENTAL COUNCIL

IN THE

CHURCH of ROME.

Printed by M. Taylor, for W. and A. Galignani, at the Press of the Vatican, in the City of Rome, 1887.

LONDON:

Printed by M. Taylor, for W. and A. Galignani, at the Press of the Vatican, in the City of Rome, 1887.



THE
PREFACE
TO THE
READER.

THE Doctrine of the Infallibility of the Church seems somewhat plausible, whilst men do view it only in the general, and do not compare it with the Decrees established, the practices observed by that Church, which alone claims the Title to Infallibility, the Right to have Dominion over our Faith, and will be call'd

a. z.

the

The P R E F A C E.

the Guide of Christians, in the old Pharisaich Import of that swelling Title, though Christ hath so appropriated it to himself, as to exclude all others from it, by saying, Be not ye called Guides, for one is your Guide, even Christ.

Mat. 23. 10.

But whosoever will give himself the trouble to peruse the Doctrines and Decrees of these pretended Judges of our Controversies, these Infallible Directours of our Faith; to consider what Definitions they have made of Articles of Faith, with an Anathema to the Dissentour, what practices they have imposed on all Christians, as the Conditions of Communion, that they have evidently wrested plain Scripture to reconcile it to their half Communion, to the Bowing, to the similitude of things in Heaven, and in Earth, to the Reading of the Scripture, and of their publick Liturgies in a Tongue not understood by those that hear it: But that, if by interpreting of any Scripture is meant the making the sense of it plain to the apprehension of others, so that they easily may discern their Interpretation to be the certain import of the words, it is even certain to common sense, and the experience of all that reade them, that these infallible Interpreters, even from the second Nicene Council, to that of Trent, inclusively, have not interpreted one text of Scripture, or made their sense more clear to any rational Enquirer than it was before: And so according to the expresse words of Christ must have this

Mat. 23. 29.

Talent taken from them long ago, supposing that they ever had it, I say, whosoever will compare this Title which they challenge, this privilege they usurp, with their Decrees and Practices, will be abundantly convinced, that the Dispute about Infallibility applied to the Roman Church, is like that of Zeno against Motion, of the Academicks against certainty of Knowledge, or of Carneades against the natural Ideas of Vice and Virtue; that is, he will be satisfied that Infallibility is claimed by them against plain matter

The PREFACE.

ter of fact, and full and manifest conviction, that they have actually erred in interpreting of Scripture, defining Articles of Faith, asserting Doctrines as Catholick Traditions, handed down from Christ and his Apostles: And whosoever will give himself the trouble to peruse those Councils which by our Adversaries are stiled General, will be satisfied beyond all farther possibility of doubting, that they have erred already in all these particulars.

Of the truth of this Assertion the Reverend and Learned Dr. Cousins, hath given one signal Instance in reference to the Canon of the Holy Scripture.

For whereas the Trent Council hath declared, that Tobias, Judith, Baruch, the Book of Wisdom, Ecclesiasticus, the 1st. and 2d. Book of Maccabees, the whole Books of Hester, and Daniel, With all their parts, are Canonical Scripture,

Si quis autem libros ipsos integros, cum omnibus suis partibus, prout in Ecclesia Cath. legi consueverint, et in v. vulgata Latina Editione habentur, pro sacris et Canonicis non susceperit, Anathema sit. Sess. 4.

adding, Whosoever doth not receive them for Canonical Scripture, let him be Anathema. The aforesaid Doctor hath abundantly demonstrated, that neither those Seaven Books, nor the Additions to Hester and Daniel, were owned by the Jewish Church as such; and that by Christians they were rejected as uncanonical from the Apostles days, unto that very year in which that Council sate. That A.D. 1546. the very Bibles, published in that Age, declared them Apocryphal, or not belonging to the Canon, viz. that published in Spain, and named Biblia Complutensia, declaring, that the Church received not those Books for confirming the Authority of any of her fundamental points in Religion, though for the edifying of the people she ordered them to be read. That of Lyra's Commentary, and the Ordinary Gloss, published at Basil, A. D. 1506. setting as great a difference between the twenty two Books that we have from the old Canon, and the six or

The PREFACE.

things that are now put into the new, as there is between things certain and dubious, and taxing them not onely with indiligence and ignorance, but folly also, who think all the books they see printed together in the common Volume of the Bible, to be of a like, or an equal veneration. *And that* the several Translations of the Bible, set forth at those times, with special Prefaces before them, made as well by *Santes Pagninus*, the Dominican at *Lyons*, by *Antonius Bracciolus* in *Italy*, by the Authour of *Birkman's* Edition at *Antwerp*, as by *Robert Stephen* in the Edition of *Vatablus* at *Paris*, did every one declare the same distinction betwixt the Canonical, and the Apocryphal Books of Scripture.

p. 194.

A. D. 1541.

1545.

p. 202.

A Second Instance of this nature may be taken from the second Nicene Council, Received by the Church of Rome as General, which frequently declares, desineth and decrees, that Honorary Worship, or Adoration of the Images of Christ, and of his Saints, was a

Αὐτὴ ἡ πίστις τῶν Ἀποστόλων, τῶν πατέρων, τῶν οὐδετέρων, Αἰ. 7.
p. 576. Αἰ. 8. p. 592.

Prefat. p. 10.

* Cassand. Consult. c. de Imagin.
Erasmi, Vol. 5. Symb. Catech. p. 989.
cum multis aliis.

*Tradition delivered to the Church by the Apostles, and practised even from their times by all good Christians, which as the Council of Frankford truly saith, is impudentissima Traditio, a very impudent pretense of Tradition, when it is clearer than the Sun, and even confest by many of their * Learned Writers, that for five or six hundred years the contrary was the received Doctrine of the Church.*

Concil. Const.
Sess. 14. Ba-
fil. Sess. 30.
Trident. Sess.
21.

A Third Instance might be offered from the Decrees of the Councils of Constance, Basil, and Trent, for desalcation of the Cup, and ministring the Sacrament to the Laity, and to Priests not conficient in one species onely, contradicting the whole stream of Fathers for a thousand years

The PREFACE

years expressly, or in effect asserting that neither the flesh without the blood, nor the blood without the flesh, was rightly communicated; that the command, Drink ye all of this, concerned † the rest of the Believers, as well as the Priests, and that the || practice and institution of our Lord was by no means to be varied from, but to be made the constant Standard of the Celebration and Reception of this Sacrament: And I desire any R. Catholick to shew me any one Father, who concurs with them in any one of the Interpretations or disguises which they have put upon those words, Drink ye all of this, or for a thousand years did ever say, that they concerned none but our Lord's Apostles, and Consecrating Priests. But these are instances which deserve to be more largely handled, as they have been already, and may farther be with undeniable conviction, and Triumphant Evidence, that some great Boasters of Tradition, may be most evident Opposers of it.

A Fourth Instance may be given in that Article of the Sess. 7. Can. 1. Trent Council determined with an Anathema, viz. That the Sacraments of the New Law, instituted by Christ Jesus, and truly, and properly so called, are neither more nor less than seven; a Doctrine delivered down from Christ and his Apostles, by Peter Lombard, who lived eleven hundred years after them, before whom, saith Cassander, you shall scarcely find any one that defined the certain number of them. The Fathers, after Gregory the Great, till the Twelfth Century unanimously Reckoning the Sacraments of the Church were Baptisme, Chrisme, the Body, and the Blood of Christ. Pope Gregory attempts to prove that it was not the merits of the Priest,

* Nec caro sine sanguine, nec sanguis sine carne jure communicatur. Alger. de sac. Euch. l. 2. c. 8.

† Tam Sacerdotes quam reliqui credentes, Paschal. de Sac. c. 48.
|| Cypr. Ep. 63. Ed. Ox. P. Julius, apud Ivo. decret. par. 2. c. 11.
Concil. Bracaren. quartum. Can. 2.
Conc. sextum in Trullo, Can. 32.

Consult. c. 12.
p. 107.

The PREFACE

but the Divine Virtue which sanctifies the Sacraments, to satisfy them who feared their Impiety, might render the Sacraments received from their hands less beneficial to them. And as the foundation of his Answer he lays down the nature of a Sacrament, and tells them how many Sa-

Sanctum autem Sacramentum Baptismi, Christum, Corpus & Sanguinem Christi. Decret. part. 2. Cap. 1. q. 84.

Hoc de Corpore & Sanguine Domini nostri, hoc etiam de Baptismate & Chrismate sentiendum est, & recurrendum. Ibid.

craments there were in these words: Now the Sacraments are Baptisme and Chrisme, the Body and Bloud of Christ, which therefore are called Sacraments, because under the Covert of Corporeal things the Divine Virtue works the Salvation tendred in the same Sacraments; And then he adds, that these

Sacraments are neither augmented by the merits of the good, nor diminished by the faults of wicked Dispensers of them; and that this is to be held of the Body and the Bloud of Christ, and also of Baptisme and Chrisme; and why not also of Renance, Matrimony, Extreme Unction, had they as properly and truly by him been esteemed Sacraments.

In the next Century Isidore Hispalensis expresses his Sentiments touching the number of the Sacraments in the very words of Gregory: Now the Sacraments are

Qua ideo fructuose penes Ecclesiam sunt quia sanctus in ea manens Spiritus eorumdem latenter Sacramentorum operatur effectum. Orig. l. 6. c. 19.

Baptism, Chrism, the Body and the Bloud of Christ, which are therefore profitably celebrated by the Church, because the H. Spirit abiding in her doth secretly work the effect of the said Sacraments, of any other Sacraments celebrated by the Church, any secret Virtue of the Spirit, working the same effects by other Sacraments, he saith not a word.

Paschasius Rathbertus, in the 9th Century, having also told us what a Sacrament is, and why the Sacraments of the

The PREFACE.

the Church are so called, He adds, Now the Sacraments in the Church of Christ are Baptism, and Chrism, the Body and the Bloud of Christ.

Sunt autem Sacramenta Christi in Ecclesia Baptismus & Chrisma corpus quoque Domini & Sanguis. De Corp. & Sang. Domini, c. 3.

Rabanus Maurus his Cotemporary, in his Book of the Instruction of the Clergy, and the Chapter of the Sacraments of the Church, speaks thus, but concerning the Sacraments which are used in the Church in my following Discourse, I must declare the Sacerdotal Customs; and then he talks us how many these Sacraments were, in the express words of Gregory, and Hispalensis, which make up that whole Chapter; and Chap. 31. he saith, Having discoursed of two Sacraments, as much as God enabled me, i. e. of Baptism and Chrism, it remains now that I diligently consider of the other two, viz. the Body, and the Bloud of Christ, where by the way observe how constantly that of which the Laity is now deprived, is reckoned as a distinct Sacrament.

De Sacramentis autem quæ in Ecclesia sunt, oportet ut sequens Sermo exponat ritum sacerdotalem sunt autem sacramenta, &c. l. 1. c. 24.

Quia de duobus Sacramentis, Baptismo & Chrismate disseruimus, superest ut de reliquis duobus, i. e. Corpore & Sanguine Domini, — inue-
stigemus.

In the 12th Century Algerus saith, that all the Sacraments are believed to be of God onely, which how gratuitous, how profitable, how magnificent they are, let us endeavour to weigh; then he adds, that all the Sacramental Species are of so little value, as to their nature and quantity, that they cannot arise to a Sacramental Dignity by any privilege of their own: To prove this he saith, that the Sacraments of the Church consist in four species, viz. Water, Oil, Bread and Wine, all which are of little

Omnia Sacramenta solius Dei creduntur esse. de Euch. l. 3. c. 4.

Omnes sacramentales species nullius estimationis sunt in pretio, natura, vel quantitate sua.

In quatuor speciebus Ecclesia Sacramenta (magis) consistunt, aqua scilicet oleo, pane & vino Ibid.

His tribus Sacramentis Baptismus rite perficitur.

value.

The PREFACE!

value. *You see he was obliged by his very undertaking to enumerate all the Sacraments, which were then judged of Divine Institution, and yet he numbers onely the same as others did before him, and which afterwards he styles three, and which in truth were onely two, Chrism being, as he there teacheth, always celebrated with Baptism, and esteemed an Appendix of it. Lo here a Tradition fully contradicting the definition of the Trent Council, delivered for six hundred years from hand to hand, almost in the same words, and then let any reasonable person judge, whether that Council made this Canon, Apostolicis traditionibus, & Patrum consensui inhærendo, adhering to Apostolical Tradition or the Consent of Fathers, when no such number was ever mentioned by any man, till the twelfth Century, nor is there any colour or pretext for saying that any Father had at all declared that there were no more.*

Moreover R. H. doth in his Guide of Controversies Disc. 3. c. 10. p. 316. inform us, that these supposed Guides decide those Controversies onely whereof they have a former Revelation, or Tradition, either in the formal Conclusion it self, or in its necessary principles, and that, they of necessity dismiss unresolved those Controversies whereof they have no former Revelation or Tradition. And truly this of necessity they must doe, or must pretend to some new Revelations, and new Articles of Faith, never delivered to the Church before, either by writing, or Tradition.

Hence therefore I infer that any Scholar must have as great assurance, that the Church of Rome, and her supposed General Councils, have actually erred in some of their received Tenets and Decisions, as he hath that they are neither written in the Word of God, nor derived from the Apostles by the tradition of the Universal Church throughout all Ages. Wherefore besides the former heads already mentioned, let him impartially enquire what Scripture or Tradi-

The PREFACE.

Tradition *she is able to produce for these ensuing Articles.*

1. That in the Ministers, whilst they do consecrate or confer Sacraments, is required an intention of doing as the Church doth, *which is defined with an Anathema, and, as they do pretend, following the Apostolical Traditions and Consent of Fathers, though not one Father can I find cited for it by Bellarmin, or any other of the Romish Doctours.*

Concil. Trid. Sess. 7. Can. II.

2. That by virtue of Concomitance the Body of Christ is under the Species of Wine, and his Bloud under the Species of Bread, and his Soul under both, *as is defined by the Trent Council with an Anathema, and said to be the faith perpetually received in the Church, though not a word of it can be found in any Father for a thousand years.*

Semper hac fides in Ecclesia Dei fuit. Sess. 13. cap. 3. & Can. 3.

3. That Christ, by saying these words, *Doe this in remembrance of me*, made his Apostles Priests, *as is defined under Anathema by the same Council, and delivered as that which the Church always understood and taught, though no man can tell where.*

Uti semper Catholica Ecclesia intellexit & docuit. Sess. 22. c. 1. & Can. 2.

4. That the R. Institution to pronounce some things in the Mass with a low, and other things with a loud voice, proceeded from the Apostolical Discipline and Tradition, *which is sufficiently refuted in this Treatise.*

Ex Apostolica Doctrina & Traditione. Ibid. cap. 5.

5. That the H. Fathers, and the Tradition of the universal Church, have always taught, that Marriage was to be numbred amongst the Sacraments of the new Law, *i.e. that it by Christ was made a Sacrament, and was not so before his Institution, as much as after. Let I say any man enquire into these Assertions, and if he do not find them void of all Countenance from Scripture, and of all colour of Tradition Universal, I fear he must be either a man of a weak judgment, or of strong prejudice, or id quod dicere nolo.*

Inter nova legis Sacramenta annumerandum sancti Patres nostri, & universalis Ecclesia traditio semper docuerunt. Sess. 24. p. 356.

In

THE PREFACE.

In fine, Let a man honestly peruse this present Treatise, and he will find the Definition of the Trent Council concerning Latin Service, plainly repugnant to the full Tradition of the Church, and to that sense of Scripture which she approved for Twelve Centuries.

Barwell.

A T R E A

(5)

A
TREATISE
IN
CONFUTATION

OF THE

Latin Service

Practised in the

CHURCH of ROME.

CHAP. I.

The CONTENTS.

The State of the Question, viz. What the Trent Council hath determined concerning the performance of the Publick Service in the Latin Tongue, § 1. What is the Doctrine of the Church of England with respect unto it. Four Propositions laid down for justification of all that in this matter is asserted by the Church of England, ibid. The Confessions of Romish Doctors that in the Primitive Church the Publick Service was performed in a Tongue understood by the People, § 2. The proof of that Assertion. Cent. 2. From the Testimonies of Justin Martyr, Clemens Alexandrinus, and the Apostolical Constitutions, § 3. Cent. 3. From the Testimonies of Origen, Tertullian and St. Cy-

prian, § 4. Cent. 4. *From the Testimonies of Athanasius, St. Basil and St. Ambrose, § 5. Cent. 5. From the Testimonies of St. Jerome and Chrysostome, § 6. Cent. 6. From the Testimonies of Cassiodorus and Cæsarius Archbishop of Arles, § 7. That the Prayers were read in a Tongue understood by the People in the following Centuries, is proved from the Liturgies both of the Eastern, and the Western Churches, § 8. That the Epistles and Gospels were read in a Tongue understood by the People, is proved, § 9. That the Psalmody and Hymns were understood by them, § 10. That the Armenians, Habassins, Muscovites, Russians and Slavonians have their Liturgies in the Vulgar Tongue, § 11.*

§ 1. **T**HE state of the Question touching the performance of Publick Service in Latin where it is not understood by the Common People.

The ^a Trent Council hath determined, that albeit the Mass containeth great instruction of the faithfull people, yet doth it not seem expedient to the Fathers that it should every-where be celebrated in the Vulgar Tongue: wherefore the Ancient Custome of every Church being every-where retained, and the Custome approved by the R. Church, which is the Mother and Mistress of all Churches, lest the sheep of Christ should hunger, and the little ones desire bread, and there be none to break it to them, the holy Synod commands Pastours, and all that have the care of souls, by themselves, or others, whilst the Mass is celebrated, to expound somewhat of that which in the Mass is read. Where

^a *Etsi Missa magnam contineat populi fidelis eruditionem, non tamen expedire visum est Patribus, ut Vulgari passim Lingua celebraretur, quamobrem, retento ubique cujusque Ecclesie antiquo, & à sancta R. Ecclesia omnium Ecclesiarum Matre & Magistra, probato Ritu, ne oves Christi esuriant, neve parvuli panem petant, & non sit qui frangat, eis mandat sancta Synodus Pastoribus, & singulis curam animarum gerentibus, ut frequenter, inter Missarum celebrationem, vel per se, vel per alios, ex in qua in Missa leguntur, aliquid exponant, Sess. 22. cap. 8.*

1. Observe that the custome then used, and approved by the R. Church, and other Churches then subject to her, was that of celebrating Mass in Latin onely, so that this Council doth in effect decree, that every-where the custome of celebrating Mass onely in the Latin Tongue, should be retained in the whole Western Church. Accordingly the Author of Popery Misrepresented, and Represented, knowing what had been ever since the practice of the Church, speaks thus, *It nothing concerneth his*

(i. e.

(i. e. the R. Catholicks) devotion that the Mass is said in Latin, Cap. 24. p. 51. if the Church N. B. hath ordered it thus, so to preserve unity as in Faith, so in the external Worship of God, and to prevent alterations, and changes which it would be exposed to, if in vulgar Languages.

2. Observe that not onely the Lessons are read, but also all the Prayers and Praises which are used in the publick Service of the Church of Rome, are said in Latin, that is in a Tongue no where vulgarly understood, the custome therefore of performing the whole publique Service of that Church in Latin, must be that custome which by this definition of the Trent Council is to be retained.

3. Observe that 'tis confessed by these Fathers that the Mass contains matter of great Instruction to the faithfull people; so that were it celebrated in a Tongue known unto them, it would certainly minister great instruction to them, when pronounced audibly; 'tis also certain, that the Mass celebrated in so low a voice as it is not possible they should hear it, as a great part of it is, and in a Tongue unknown to the Vulgar, who most need Instruction, (as the Latin is to the generality of them) can minister no Instruction to them. These Fathers therefore must be supposed to determine,

That it was inexpedient to celebrate the Mass to the people, so as that it might minister great Instruction to them, and that they judged it better so to celebrate it, as that to them, who did most need it, it could minister no instruction.

So far are the Jesuite ^b Fisher and Dr. ^c Vane from misre- ^b white presenting their Church, when they tell us that the drift of ^{p. 374.} the Church in appointing Liturgies, or set forms of publick prayer, ^c Vane p. 359. and readings in the Mass, was not for the Peoples Instruction, so Vane; and that the drift of the Church in appointing Liturgies at the oblation of the Eucharistical Sacrifice, was not the Peoples Instruction, but for other Reasons; so Fisher.

In the 9th Canon of that Session it is thus decreed, ^d If any ^e Si quis d-
man say that the custome of the R. Church, which recites part of ^{xerit Ecclesi-}
the Canon, and the words of Consecration with a low voice, is to ^{R. ritum quo}
be condemned, or that the Mass ought to be celebrated onely in ^{summissa vo-}
the vulgar Tongue, let him be Anathema. ^{ce pars cano-}
^{nis & verba}

consecrationis proferuntur damnandum esse, aut lingua tantum vulgari Missam celebrari debere, ana-
thema sit. Sess. 22. Can. 9.

^c Art. 24. In opposition to these *Decrees*, and the concurring practice of the Church of Rome, the ^c Church of England hath declared, That it is a thing plainly repugnant to the word of God, and the custome of the Primitive Church, to have publick Prayer in the Church, or to minister the Sacraments in a Tongue not understood of the people.

The Homily concerning Common Prayer and Sacraments, beareth this Title. ^f An Homily wherein is declared that Common Prayer and Sacraments ought to be ministred in a Tongue that is understood of the Hearers. In the body of the Homily it is asserted, that ^g of necessity it is required that the Common Prayer be had in a Tongue that the Hearers do understand. And that should we administer it otherwise, we should by that means bring all our Church Exercises to frivolous superstition, and make them altogether unfruitfull. At the entrance upon this Question the Enquiry runs thus, ^b Let us see whether the Scriptures, and examples of the Primitive Church will allow any vocal Prayer, or any manner of Sacrament, or other publick or common Rite or Action pertaining to the profit and edifying of the unlearned, to be ministred in a Tongue unknown, or not understood of the Minister or People; to which Question, saith the Homily, we must answer No; and it ends thus. ⁱ Let us therefore conclude with God, and all good mens assent, that no common Prayer, or Sacraments ought to be ministred in a Tongue that is not understood of the Hearers.

Now that it may be evident to all indifferent persons, how repugnant is that established practice of the Church of Rome to the avowed practice of the Church of Christ for many Ages, confirmed by Scripture, and by Reason. I shall endeavour to demonstrate.

“ 1. That the publick Service of *Singing, Praying, Reading,*
“ was for the first six Centuries, and more, performed by
“ the Church of God, in a Tongue understood by the Peo-
“ ple.

“ 2. That the *Fathers* do expressly teach, that it was ne-
“ cessary that the publick Service of Prayer, Singing and
“ Reading should be so performed.

“ 3. That they condemned the contrary practice of cele-
“ brating the publick Worship in a Tongue not understood
“ by

“ by the common People, as opposite unto the Word of
 “ God, and to the ends for which the publick Service was ap-
 “ pointed.

“ 4. That this practice may be disproved by most evident
 “ and cogent Reasons, built upon the Assertions of the *Holy*
 “ *Fathers*; in a word, that they most plainly do condemn
 “ all that the *Church of Rome* doth practise, and hath decreed
 “ in this matter, and justifie all that the *Protestants* assert in
 “ opposition to her determinations.

§ 2. *Containing the Confession of Romanists, that this was the practice of the Primitive Church.*

That the publick Service of Singing, Praying, Reading was for the first six Centuries, and more, performed by the Church of Christ in a Tongue understood by the people, is so extremely evident, that divers learned Romanists confess the thing, or at the least do in the general acknowledge, that the practice of the Primitive Church was suitable to what the Protestants do practise and contend for.

John Beletb saith, ^k It was forbidden in the Primitive Church ^k In primiti-
 that any man should speak in an unknown Tongue, unless there were ^{va} Ecclesia
 some body to interpret. Hence that laudable Custome obtained in ^{prohibitum e-}
 some parts of the Church, that the Gospel being pronounced ac- ^{rat ne quis lo-}
 cording to the letter, it was presently expounded in the vulgar ^{queretur nisi}
 Tongue. ^{esset qui inter-}
^{pretaretur;}

Erasmus ^l in his Book *de Modo Orandi* saith, It were much to ^{quid enim pro-}
 be desired that the whole Divine Service were said in a Language ^{desse loqui,}
 understood by all the people, as it was wont to be practised in an- ^{nisi intellige-}
 cient times. ^{retur. Sum.}
^{de div. offic.}
^{in proemio.}

^l Has Sacerdos olim sic pronunciabat ut totus populus & audiret, & intelligeret, eoque veluti pa-
 riter cum illo oraret, tacitis duntaxat affectibus, demum voce clara accineret Amen. *De Modo*
Orandi, p. 64, & p. 70.

Cassander in his *Liturgicks* saith, that ^m The Ancients did so ⁿ *Canonicam*
 read the Canonical Prayer, and especially the Consecration of the ^{precem ita ve-}
 Body and Bloud of our Lord, that the People might understand and ^{teres legebant}
 say Amen to them, and that they both read and prayed in a Tongue ^{ut à populo in-}
 understood by the people, he proves by Testimonies of a much ^{telligi possit.}
 later date than I assign. ^{Cap. 28.}
^{Cap. 36.}

* Com. in 1.
ad Cor.
p. 272.

Ibid. p. 260.

• Καὶ ἐν ταῖς
εὐχαῖς ὅτε πε-
ρὶ τὴν λαὸν
ἰδοὶ πρὸς αὐτὸν
προσεύχονται,
καὶ ὁ δὲ πρὸς
ἐκείνους ἐκ-
φωνεῖται
ἐν ἑκατέρῃ
κοινωνίᾳ, καὶ πα-
ρεῖ τὸ ἱερεῖον,
καὶ παρ' αὐτῶν
ζήνοῦται αἱ
εὐχαῖς— καὶ

πάντες μὲν λέγουσι εὐχὰς— ἡ δὲ ὁ ἐκείνους εὐχαριστοῦντων, ἀλλὰ καὶ ὁ λαὸς αὐτῶν. In 2.
Ep. ad Cor. Hom. 18. p. 647. Ed. Savil.

We deny not, saith ⁿ Johannes Hoffmeisterus, but that the publick Prayers in the Church were for a long time celebrated in the vulgar Tongue. He adds, that in the time of Chrysostome the solemn publick Prayers were made in the vulgar Tongue, that so the Chri-

stian people might imitate in their minds the prayer of the Priest. And indeed the words of ^o Chrysostome upon the Subject are a convincing demonstration that in his time this practice every where obtained. For, saith he, in the prayers you may observe the people introducing many things together with the Priest, for the prayers which are made for the Eneergoumenoi, the Penitents, are common to the Priest and to the People, and they all say the same prayer. Again, when we drive from the sacred Curtains them that partake not of the Holy Table, another prayer is to be made, and then we all do in like manner fall down upon the pavement, and do all rise up again. Our Thanksgivings are also common, for nei-

§ 3. Containing the express Testimonies of the Fathers, that the publick Service was by them celebrated in a Tongue understood by the People.

Nor is it to be wondred that men of learning, who had perused the Writings of the Ancient Fathers, should thus ingenuously confess that which is with such frequency and plainness delivered by them, that he who runs may read it: For Justin Martyr, who lived in the second Century, declareth that it was the custome of the Christians, when any person was baptised, ^a to bring him to their assemblies, where they were gathered together putting up common prayers for themselves, for the baptized person, and for all others throughout the World with an attentive mind. And the same person describing the Christians Sundays Exercise, speaks thus; We come together all into one place, and hear the Writings of the Prophets and Apostles read unto us, and when the Reader hath performed his part, the President of the Assembly exhorts us to the imitation and practice of the good things read. This being done, we all rise up together, and pour forth our supplications, and when we cease from praying, the Bread and Wine is brought, which the President taking, gives Praise and Glory to God

Cent. 2.
• Ἐν τῷ αὐ-
τῷ ἔνθα συ-
νηγούμενοι εἰς
κοινὰς εὐχὰς
καὶ ᾠδὰς
ἐκείνους, ἀν-
τιμένομεν
ταῦτα. p. 97.

God the Father by the Son and Holy Ghost. Which Prayers and Thanksgivings he having finished, ^b all the people approve of them, by saying Amen. And the Priest having finished his Thanksgiving, and all the people having signified their approbation of it, the Deacons proceed to distribute, &c. From which passages it is evident that all the Christians then assembled did pray together, that they thus prayed with a mind intent upon the things which they petitioned for, saith the Latin; that all the Christians present did approve of, or signifie their consent unto the Prayers and the thanksgivings of the President, by saying Amen to them, which, saith St. Paul, the man who understandeth not these Prayers, and these Thanksgivings, cannot doe; they therefore all did understand them, because they all confirmed them with their approbation, or wishing *So be it*. And whosoever will consult even the most ancient forms of *Liturgie*, and compare them with the account this *Martyr* gives us of the joint practice of the *Christians* of his Age, cannot doubt but that the *Christians* joined in, and understood the prayers mentioned by the *Apologist*.

For whereas *Justin M.* saith, that when any one declared his belief of what the *Christians* taught, and promised to live accordingly, ^c *the Christians fasted and prayed together with him.* ^c *Εὐχὰς ποιῶντων ἑαυτοῦν.* The *Constitutions Apostolical* declare in like manner, that before the prayer for the person to be baptized, the *Deacon* said to ^d *the Faithfull thus,* ^d *Let us all who are faithfull pray earnestly* ^d *Ἐκτενῶς* ^e *for them, that the Lord would make them worthy, who by baptism* ^e *οἱ ἀποὶ τῶν* ^f *are initiated into the death of Christ, to rise with him, and to be* ^f *τῆς πίστεως αὐ-* ^g *made partakers of his Kingdom.* ^g *τῶν παρὰ τοῦ*

Whereas *Justin* adds, that when such persons are baptized the *Christians* assembled, ^c put up common prayers for themselves, ^c *ibid.* c. 10. for the baptized, and for all throughout the World; the prayer for the Faithfull, extant in those Constitutions, shews this punctually true: For there they are said to pray for the *Holy Catholick Church* in general, and for her several Members, for the newly baptized persons in particular, and for those that were without; and that the people understood, and joined in this prayer is plain from these words uttered by the *Deacon*, to prepare them to do so. ^f Let all the Faithfull kneel, let us pray to God through Jesus Christ, let us all earnestly, (or, with one voice) call upon God through his Christ.

And lastly, Whereas *Justin* adds, that the Prayers and Thanksgivings made by the *President*, concluded with the *Approbation* and *Amen* of all the Faithfull. These *Constitutions* shew that the *Laity* had their share in those Prayers and Praises, that they used the same Responses which are in our *Liturgy* before the consecration of the Sacrament, that they all said together, * *Holy, holy, holy, Lord God of Hosts, &c.* that they all answered † *Amen* at the conclusion of the prayer. So that it cannot in the least be doubted, but that in those times the *Faithfull* understood the sense and meaning of those prayers in which the *Deacon* called upon them to join with so much earnestness and Devotion.

* Cap. 11.

† Cap. 12.

P. 349.

Τὸ ἀθεσι-
μα τῶν ταῖς
εὐχαῖς ἀτα-
κισμένων μὲν
ὥσπερ ἔχον
φωνὴν τὴν κοι-
νὴν καὶ μὲν
γνώμην.

Stro. 7. p.

717. A.

Ἡ Σύμπλοια

ਭੈ ਭਾਗੀ ਨੂੰ ਭੈ-

κλησιᾶν λέγε-

τα κρε'ως, κη

၂၁.၄ ဇွန် ၁၉၄၅

αἰα τ' ἐκκλη-

στα λόγια σου

၈၂၂ ၁၇၁၈ ခု-
 ၈၂၃ ၁၇၁၉ ခု-

[illegible]

(Faint, illegible handwritten notes)

Full & Final

၁၆၆၆ ခု၊ ဇူလိုင်လ၊
၁၆၆၆ ခု၊ ဇူလိုင်လ

१. पापं कृतं नृणां

Id. Ibid.

P. 717:

ἡ τὸ ἐκ πολ-

ἀὐτῶν ἡ λωστῶν

τε καὶ συνῶν

५३७ सुखी

суживающ.

p. 719.

Κατά του

ΕΙΣ ΤΗΝ ΕΠΙΣΤΑΣΙΝ

[Faint handwritten text]

10. 10. 10. 10.

Clemens of Alexandria is a second witness of this Age, that in his time the Church of Christ used a Language known to the Faithfull in her publick Offices: For giving an account of the Altars of Christians, he saith, that *The terrestrial Altar of the Christians is the Assembly of them who join in prayers, having as it were one voice, and one mind, or Sentence. That there may be properly said to be in the Church* *ἑνὸς ὁμοθυμαδόν*, one breath, or one consent, for the Sacrifice of the Church is the word that doth ascend as Incense from holy Souls, their whole minds together with their Sacrifice, being disclosed to God. But the Heathens, saith he, will not believe us when we tell them, that the truly holy Altar is the just Soul, and that an holy Prayer is the Incense which ascends up from it. And again, The Sacrifices we offer to God must not be sumptuous but acceptable to him, and the Incense composed in the Law, viz. *that of Prayer, composed of many Tongues and Voices, or rather of divers Nations and Customs.* Now how can they be properly said to have one mind, or Sentence, or properly even to join in prayer, who do not know the meaning of each others prayers? How can it truly be affirmed that there is *ἑνὸς ὁμοθυμαδόν ἐκκλησία*, a consent, or as it were, a breathing-together of the Church in the prayer which most of them understand not? How can that prayer be said to ascend from holy Souls, and be a disclosure of the mind to God, of which the mind hath no conception, because no understanding? why must men be gathered together for praises with a pure mind, why must they pray with many Tongues and Voices, if they may pray as well in a Tongue barbarous and unknown?

§ 4. Add

§ 4. Add to this the Testimony of his Successour Origen; Cent. 3. which will give light unto it, who to the accusation of Celsus that the Christians ascribed Virtue to barbarous Names, thus answers, Let him shew whom we name barbarously as calling upon him for help; No, saith he, Celsus here vainly objects these things to us, and unreasonably applies that to Christians which belongs to others, for we Christians do not so much as use in our prayers the Scripture Names recorded there by God; but the Greeks (in their prayers) use the Greek, the Romans the Latin Tongue, and so¹ every one of us prays to God according to his own Dialect, and celebrates his praises as he can, and he who is Lord of all Dialects hears them praying in every tongue, hearing them as if it were one voice manifested from divers Tongues according to the things signified by them: For the great God is not one who hath chosen one Tongue, Greek, or barbarous, before others, as either not knowing, or not regarding what was spoken to him in other Languages; so certain is it, that this Ancient Rite of every Church, as the Trent Council calls their Latine Service, was not yet come into the Church of Christ.

^m Tertullian in his Apology gives this account of the Christian Assemblies, We meet together, that with our prayers we may encompass God as with a band of Men; now that this band of men all understood the things they prayed for, we learn from what elsewhere he teacheth, that prayer must be ourⁿ reasonable service, that it must be^o free from all confusion of mind, and sent from such a Spirit as is that Spirit to whom it is directed, and who looks upon, and P hearkeneth not to the voice, but to the heart: For sure that service cannot be duly stiled rational in which the Reason bears no part, there can be no intention, or freedom from confusion in that prayer, where there is no perception of what things are pray'd for, nor can the heart join with the voice in such petitions.

¹ Εχαστος χρητι την εαυτου διαλεκτον ευχεται προς θεον, η υμνηι αυτον ως δυναται, η ο πασης διαλεκτου χειρος, η ηυ απο πασης διαλεκτου ευχεται, ακουει ως μιας εν εως ονομασθωσαντες τ ης τα σημανόμενα ακουων δηλουμένης εν ης ποικίλων διαλεκτων. Lib. cont. Cels. p. 402.

^m Coimus ut Deum quasi manu-
facta precationibus ambiamus. cap.
39.

ⁿ Rationale Officium, de Orat.
c. 12.

^o Omni confusione libera debet
esse orationis intentio, de tali Spiritu
emissa qualis est Spiritus ad quem
emittitur. c. 10.

^P Deus non vocis sed cordis an-
ditor est, cap. 13.

St. Cyprian

¶ Quando in unum cum fratribus convenimus & sacrificia divina cum Dei Sacerdote celebramus, &c. de Orat. Dom. Ed. Ox. p. 140.

from his Master *Tertullian*, God is the hearer not of the voice, but of the heart. He adds, ¶ that we must watch, and apply our selves to prayer with our whole hearts, that the heart then must think of nothing but what it prays for. And that we may be sure he speaks this of the publick Service of

¶ Vigilare & incumbere ad preces toto corde debemus nec quicquam tunc animus quàm id solum cogitet quod precatur, p. 152.

the Church, He adds, that for this end the Priest, before they be-take themselves to prayer, prepares the minds of the Brethren by say-ing, lift up your hearts; and when the People answer, we lift them up unto the Lord; they thereby are admonished, that they ought then to think of nothing but the Lord; as considering, that then we speak to God. And of this we ought to be more carefull, because the Ene-my oft diverts our minds from God, ¶ so that we

¶ Ut aliud habeamus in corde, & aliud in voce, quando intentione sin-cera Dominum debeat non vocis sonus, sed animus & sensus orare. Ibid.

have one thing in our hearts, another in our mouth; whereas, it is not the sound of the voice, but our mind and sense which with sincere inten-tion ought to pray to God.

Cent. 4.

§ 4. *Athanasius* informs us, that when his Church was beset with the Army of *Syrianus*, he commanded the Deacon to recite that Psalm, For his mercy endureth for ever; and the People to hearken to it. And he declares, ¶ that "it is best for the People to meet together in a place capable of them all, because this shews the concord of their souls, and will the sooner procure audience; for if according to our Saviour's promise, where two concur in one petition, it shall be granted; how much more, when there is one voice of so great a multitude saying Amen?"

¶ Ἐν αὐτῷ συνελθεῖν καὶ μίαν καὶ πάλιν αὐτῷ μετὰ συμφωνίας ὅτι λαὸν ζήναι ὁμοθυμῶν ὅτι

ὅτι καὶ πάλιν ὁμοθυμῶν ἐδέχοντο τὴν χάριν, ὅτι καὶ πάλιν ὁ λαὸς ἐπαγγελίας, ἔαν δύο συμφωνήσωσι, &c. ἢ ἔαν ποῦτον λαὸν συνελθόντων μία καὶ ἓν φωνὴ λεγόντων τῷ Θεῷ τὸ Ἀμήν. *Apol. ad Constant.* p. 683.

St. Basil in his Epistle to the Clergy of *Neocæsarea*, who were incensed against him and his Monks, upon suspicion that they had ¶ changed the An-cient way of Psalmus and Melody, returns this Answer;

¶ Ψαλμοὶ καὶ ὁμοθυμῶν μελωδία. — παρῆλθεν ὁ ἄνθρωπος. Ep. 63. p. 95.

Answer; that ^a the way they used was consonant to the custome of ^a Τὰ νῦν κα-
all the Churches of God. For the People rising by night, go to the κεν τῆς πόλεως ἢ
house of prayer, making confession to God with affliction, and with a διὰ πάσης ἡ
confluence of tears; then rising up from prayers, they fall to singing, τῷ θεῷ, Ἐκ-
sometimes alternately, thence strengthening their meditations of the κλησίῳς συ-
Oracles, and moving themselves to ^y attention, and undistractedness νῆφει δὲ καὶ
of heart; at other times permitting one to begin the melody, the rest συναίωνα,
sing after him; and so betwixt praying and singing they divide the p. 96.
night. And the day dawning, ^z we all in common, as from one γ' ἰὴν ὡρο-
mouth and heart, offer a Psalm of confession to God, every one ματιώμεν τῇ
making the penitential words his own; And if, saith he, you avoid us κηφείων. Ibid.
for these things, you must fly also the Egyptians, both the Libyas, ^z Πάσις κοι-
those of Thebes, Palestine, Arabia, Phœnicia, Syria, and them νῆ αἷς ἐξ ἐ-
that dwell near Euphrates; ^a and in a word, all by whom Prayers, νὸς σῶματι,
and Vigils, and Psalmodies are prized. καὶ μὲν κατ-
δια, &c.

p. 97.

^a Καὶ πάντας

ἀπὸ ἀπὸς παρ' οἷς ἀγυπνιαὶ καὶ προσευχαὶ καὶ αἱ κοιναὶ ψαλμωδίαί τε τίμνῃ. Ibid.

The same St. Basil saith, that ^b from the prayers of men, women and children to God a mixed sound was heard in the Church as it were of a wave dashing against the shore. Which words of Basil St. Ambrose almost translateth; saying, that the Church is well compared to the Sea, because ^c in the prayer of all the common people she makes a noise, as in the reflux of the waters; then both from the responses of the Psalms, and the singing of men, women and children results a sound like that of waters. The Churches Prayers were therefore uttered then in such a Language as all the common people, yea even women and children were acquainted with.

^b Ἐν Ἐκκλησίᾳ συμμιμνήσκει, οἷον πνέουσι καὶ ἡ ἡρώς ὡροσσεύ-
μενος, ἀνδρῶν καὶ γυναικῶν, καὶ νηπί-
ων καὶ τὰς ὡρὰς θεῶν ἡρώς ὡροσσεύ-
μενος, in Hexam. Hom. 4
To. 1. p. 46.

^c In oratione totius plebis tanquam undis resluentibus stridet, cantu virorum, mulierum, virginum, parvulorum consonus undarum fragor resultat. Hex. L. 3. c. 5.

§ 6. St. Jerome, speaking of the concourse of the whole Cent. 5.
swarm of Eastern, and many of the Western Nations to Jerusa-
lem, saith, ^d their voice indeed is divers, but their Religion is one; we have almost as many choires of singers, as there be diversity of Nations. When Paula dyed, saith he, they did not follow her with howlings and lamentations, as

^a Vox quidem dissima, sed una Religio, ut pene psallentium chori, quot Gentium diversitates, Ep. Pau-
lae & Eustach. ad Marcell. apud Hieron. E. 45. B.

the

^a Psalmorum the men of the world are wont to doe, but ^c with swarms of Psalms
linguis diver- in divers Languages. Then, saith he, the Psalms sounded in
Jis examina their order in Hebrew, Greek and Latin, and in the Syrian Tongue,
concrepabant, the whole multitude of Palestine being then present.
Hebræo, Græ-
co, Latino,
Syrôque Sermone Psalmi in ordine personabant. In Epitaph. Paulæ, F. 65. B.

Cent. 6. § 7. Cassiodorus upon Psalm the 44th. writes thus: Let us
search why the Church of God is praised for the variety of her
Rayment, since all simplicity and unity agrees to her, but here this
^f Omnes Gen- signifies the variety and multiplicity of Tongues, because ^f All Na-
tes secundum tions sing in the Church according to the language of their Coun-
suam patriam trey, that they may shew to the Authour of virtues a most beanti-
linguam in full variety.
Ecclesia psal-
lunt, ut Authori virtutum pulcherrimam diversitatem demonstrent.

^g Rogo, ut quotiescunque oratio
Diacono inclamante indicitur, non so-
lum corda, sed etiam corpora fide-
liter inclinetis. Hom. 34.

And *Cæsarius*, Archbishop of *Arles*, speaks
thus unto the people, I desire, and ^g admonish
you my dear Brethren, that as oft as the Cler-
gy pray at the Altar, or the Deacon summons
you to prayer, by crying, Let us kneel down,
you would faithfully incline not onely your hearts
but your bodies also. Where observe, that
it was the * Custome of the Deacon before
prayer, to say to the people, Let us kneel
down, and when prayer was finished, Stand up, which he must
doe in vain, if they understood not what he said, and much
less could they faithfully incline their hearts unto the prayer
which they understood not.

§ 8. Moreover all the Ecclesiastical Offices used both in the
Western, and in the Eastern Churches, shew that they were ce-
lebrated in a Tongue the people were supposed to under-
stand.

That the prayers were read in a Tongue understood by
the people, appears not onely from their constant custome
of saying Amen to them, which, saith ^h St. Jerom, they sounded
^b Ad Marty- forth like Thunder, and which was, saith St. Austin, their Sub-
rum sepulchra-
concurritur,
abi sic ad similitudinem cœlestis tonitrui Amen reboat. Proœm. 2. in Epist. ad Galat. F. 75.

scription,

scription, consent, and their assipation to the prayer, but also from the share they had in them, and from the Priest's invitation of them to pray with him. The old *Ordo Romanus* was composed by, or in the time of Gregory the Great, and it declareth that ¹ The Priest turned himself unto the people, saying, Let us pray; that he stood by the Altar, saying, The Lord be with you, and, Let us pray; now to

¹ *Convertit se ad populum, dicens orat., p. 4. Dominus vobiscum & oremus, p. 6.*

what end should he thus turn himself unto them, or thus speak, if they perceived not the meaning of his words, or could not join with him in the prayers he uttered? Hence do the Writers on these Offices inform us, that the Priest or Bishop saith, Let us pray, inviting the people to pray together with him. Isidore, in his first Book of *Divino Offices*, saith, that the order of Mass, or of the Prayers by which the Sacrifices offered to God are consecrated, is celebrated throughout the whole World in the same manner, ² the first of them is a prayer of Admonition to the People, that they may be excited to intrat God earnestly; the second is a prayer of Invocation to God, that he would mercifully accept the prayers of the People. Now to be sure the people were not admonished to pray in words they understood not, nor did the Priest beseech God to accept such prayers as they who made them did not understand.

² *Uno eodemque modo universus peragitur orbis. Prima oratio est ad monitionis erga populum ut excitentur ad deum exorandum. cap. 15.*

In the *Latin Mass* used, saith Cardinal Bona about seven hundred years after Christ, ¹ The Priest humbly turning himself to the people standing round about him, saith, Brethren and Sisters, pray for me a Sinner, that mine, and your sacrifice may be acceptable to the Lord God omnipotent before his sight. Then they all answer, Let the Omnipotent God receive the Sacrifice at thy hands, and let thy prayers ascend in remembrance before the Lord, and let him hear thee who made thee an Intercessour for our sins; let all the Saints and elect of God pray for thee, let him remember all thy Sacrifice, and let thy Burnt-offering be made fat, &c.

¹ *Tunc Sacerdos humillimè se convertit ad circumstantes, dicens, Orate pro me peccatore; tunc responderat ei à singulis, suscipiat omnipotens deus, &c. Recurrit Liturg. p. 949.*

Albinus informs us, that the Priest saith let us pray, and that then the Church prays with the Priest, not with their voice, but with the heart, there is silence; and the breast cries to God in the ears of God. That He invites

² *Orat Ecclesia cum sacerdote non voce sed corde, &c. De Div. Off. c. de Celebr. Miss. p. 90. Ibid. p. 82. 84.*

C

them

Poscens ut jungant preces suas precibus ejus. Ibid. p. 82. *De Eccl. Offic. l. 3. c. 9.* *† Cap. 19. p. 182.* *o Excelsè profertur ut audiat oratio de populi responsione confirmetur. Ibid. p. 189.* them to pray with him; saying, *Let us pray*, that after the Incense the Priest turns himself to the People, ** requiring them to join their prayers to his, that he may deserve to be heard for their salvation.* * *Amalarinus Fortunatus* not onely cites and approves that passage of *St. Cyprian*, produced already out of his Treatise on the Lord's Prayer, and that of *† Ambrose*, that the unskilfull hearing what he understands not, knows not the end of the prayer, and so answers not *Amen*, that the Benediction may be confirmed. But also faith, that the words, for ever and ever, are pronounced with a loud voice, that they may be heard by the people, and the prayer may be confirmed by the Answer of the people. And giving an Account of the *Secretum*, so called, because the Priest said it with a loud voice; He informs us, that it belongs to the Priest alone to offer Sacrifice to God, to whom, because we speak by thoughts, there is then no need of a loud voice, but *P* in the following prayer the Priest calls out to the people, *lift up your hearts*, and therefore here a loud voice is necessary, that altogether they may give thanks to God. And speaking of the Hymn before the passion of our Lord, He faith it is called the preparation, because it prepares the minds of the Brethren to an honesty becoming the convention of Holy Angels, and to the Reverence of the consecration, *†* and therefore is sung with a loud voice. And again, then, faith he, follows the Lord's prayer, which it becometh us to sing with great caution, *†* that we may retain in our minds the things which with our words we pronounce. And lastly, the whole People, with the Priest, commend themselves together to God, saying, look upon thy Servants, and thy works,--- and this with the words, *†* we ought to retain in our minds.

In sequenti oratione clamat ad populum, ut habeat sursum cor. Hinc hoc necessario extollitur voce quod omnibus licet simul agere, p. 192.

† Ideo excelsa voce cantatur. c. 21. ibid. *† Ut ipsas res in mente tenemus quas verbis pronunciamus. l. 4. words, †* we ought to retain in our minds.

c. 2. p. 215.

† Hoc debemus cum his verbis tenere in mente.

** De Instit. Cler. l. 1. c. 33. p. 328.*

* *Rabanus Maurus* faith, that after the Priest goes to the Altar, the Litany is begun by the Clergy, that the general prayer may go before the special supplication of the Priest, and that this general prayer was generally understood by the people is evident from this consideration, that the Priest before it was accustomed to say, *Let us pray for the Holy Church of God, for our Blessed Pope, for all the Bishops, for the faithfull, for the Catechu-*

mens

mens, for the penitents, for the perfidious Jews, the Hereticks, the Pagans, and of this custome St. Austin speaketh, saying, The Priests rehearse the Litanies with a loud voice, and common prayer is enjoyed by the mouth of the Deacon; and more fully in these words: "Exercise thy disputations against the prayers of the Church, and when thou hearest the Priest of God exhorting the people at his Altar to pray for the unbelievers, that God would convert them to the Faith; for the Catechumens that God would inspire them with a desire of Regeneration, and for the faithfull, that they may persevere in that which they have begun to be, deride the Holy voices.

Communes oratio voce Diaconi indicitur, Ep. 119. c. 18.
"Exerce contra orationes ecclesie disputationes tuas, & quando audis sacerdotem Dei ad

Altare exhortantem populum Dei orare pro incredulis ut eos Deus convertat ad fidem, & pro catechumenis ut eis desiderium Regenerationis inspiret, & pro fidelibus ut in eo quod esse coeperunt, ejus munere perseverent, subsanna pias voces. Ep. 107. p. 493.

This also will be farther evident from the *Alternate prayers* of Priest and People, in which he speaks to them, and they return their Answer to him, of which we have early mention in the Apostles Constitutions, in St. Cyprian, in the forementioned places, in Cyril of Jerusalem, who tells us, that the Priest saith, *Lift up your Hearts, the People answer, We lift them up unto the Lord. The Priest saith, Let us give thanks to the Lord; the People, It is fit and just; the Priest, Holy things to holy persons; the People, There is one holy, one Lord Jesus Christ.* In St. Chrysostome, saying, *in the tremendous Mysteries, the Priest prays for the People, and the People for the Priest,* * for this alone is signified by those words, *And with thy Spirit.* In * St. Austin, in very many places of his works. In the *Ordo Romanus* we have frequent mention of this Response, *And with thy Spirit*, and also mention of all the others cited by St. Cyril. † *Albinus* upon these Responses remarks thus, that *The Church having received the wholsome salutation from the Priest, salutes him again in prayer, desiring that as he prayed the Lord might be with them, so would he be with him, saying, And with thy Spirit. That by the words sursum corda, the Priest exhorts the People to direct their hearts from worldly cares, to the Lord; the People answer, We lift them up unto the Lord; as if they should have said, we have them lifted up as thou commandest; the Priest again exhorts them saying, Let us give thanks unto our Lord God, you, and I together, the People affirm that it is fit and just to give him thanks.*

And the Short Exegesis of the Mass published at Paris 1548. saith, that the 7 Ancient Priests, who regarded not so much the ornament of speech, as the salvation and edification of the people, N. B. were wont to say, Lift up your hearts in the Vulgar Tongue.

Antiqui Sacerdotes qui non idem curabant de cultu sermonis, quam de salute & edificatione plebis, propter Idiotas & Rusticanos — Vulgari sermone sursum corda dicere solebant. Exeg. in Miss. Can. p. 9.

Moreover, that the Prayers of the Greek Church are performed in Greek, and that they were performed so as to be understood by the people, their Liturgies sufficiently assure us.

Goar. in Lit. Chrys. n. 65. p. 123. 1. From those words so frequent in them, *Let us pray unto the Lord*; by which the Deacon admonishes himself and others to pray before the Priest begins the Collect. And again, *Let us pray in peace*; by which the Deacon admonishes them, saith Goar, that their prayers ought to be made in peace, not only among themselves, but with an undisturbed mind. From the Deacons call to say with their whole soul, and their whole minds, such and such

* *Klous i An-nous, Lit Chrys. p. 992.* prayers upon us: which saith Cabasilas, is the prayer uttered † by all the people. From the Responses made by the people before the receiving the Sacrament, used in these || Liturgies, as they are mentioned in the Apostolical Constitutions, and in St. Cyril.

H. From those Litanies contained in their Liturgies, in which the Deacon calls upon them to pray.

1. For heavenly peace, and the salvation of their souls; and the people answer, *Lord have mercy upon us.* And saith
2. *Let us pray for the peace of the whole World, for the stability of the holy Churches of God, and for the concord of them.*

3. *For this holy House, and all that come to it with faith, reverence, and the fear of God.*

4. *For the Archbishop, the venerable Priests, and the whole Clergy and People.*

5. *For their most pious Kings, the whole Palace, and the Army, that God would fight with them, and subdue under their feet every enemy and adversary.*

6. *For this holy Mansion, the City, and the Countrey, and all the fruitfull which dwell there.*

7. *For the good temper of the air, the prosperity of the fruits of the earth, and for peaceable times.*

8. For

8. For those that travel by sea and land, that are sick, labouring, or captives, and their safety.

9. That they may be delivered from all afflictions, wrath, danger and necessity: to every Petition of which *Litany* the people answer, as in our Church, *Lord have mercy upon us.*

Moreover the Deacon saith unto the people,

1. Let us ask of the Lord, that this day may be upright, peace-
able, holy, and without sin. *Lit. Chrys. p. 996.*

2. Let us ask of the Lord the Angel of peace, the faithful guide and keeper of our souls and bodies.

3. Let us ask — indulgence, and remission of our sins and offences.

4. Let us ask — things good and convenient for our souls, and peace in the world.

5. Let us ask — that the residue of our lives may be spent in peace and penitence.

6. Let us ask — a Christian conclusion of our lives without pain, shame, and in peace, and a good defence at the formidable tribunal.

7. Let us ask — unity of faith, and communion of the holy Spirit; to every of which Petitions the people answer as before. *p. 1000.*

III. This will be farther evident from the matter of the Prayers, in which it is expressly said, that the people call upon the Lord, *Lit. Chrys. or pray with the Priest, as in these words, Grant thy abundant mercies to thy servants calling upon thy name. Grant, O Lord, to those that pray with us, proficiency in life, faith and knowledge. Remember those that are present, and pray with us. Such lastly, is that concluding Prayer of Chrysostome, retained in our Liturgy. O God, who hast given us grace with one accord to make our common supplications unto thee, and hast promised that when two or three are gathered together in thy name, thou wilt grant their requests; fulfill now, O Lord, the desires of thy servants; for it is past my understanding to conceive how those Prayers can be said to be common, and put up with one accord, or symphony, or how the people present can be said to pray with the Priest, or call upon the Lord together with him, in words they do not understand.* *apud Goar. p. 71. Cr. p. 48, 65. Ibid. p. 66.*

IV. This is evident from all those Prayers which the Priest begins mystically, or secretly, and concludes with a loud voice; for to what end doth he elevate his voice, if they who heard it, could not understand the meaning of it?

§ 9. From the beginning, as *Justin Martyr* doth inform us, the Commentaries of the *Apostles*, and the Writings of the *Prophets* were read in the Assemblies of *Christians*, and that they were read so then as that the common people might understand them, is evident from what there follows, that when the Reader ceased, the President of the Assembly made an exhortation to provoke them to the imitation of those excellent things; then read unto them.

Apol. 2.
p. 98. D.

* *Coimus ad literarum divinarum commemorationem, — fidem sanctis vocibus pascimus, spem erigimus, fiduciam figimus. Apol. c. 39. fomenta fidei de Scripturarum interlectione. Ad Veor. l. 2. c. 6.*

* *Serm. 2. de Annun. B. Virg.*

* *Ὁν προσ-
χρῆσι - ὅτι
αὐτὸς αὐτῶν
διδάσκῃ, &c.
Hom. 3. in
2 Ep. ad
Theff. c. 4.
p. 234.*

* *Serm. 33.
de verbis Do-
mini.
† Serm. 10.
de verb. Apost.
Serm. 8. &
de temp.
Serm. 49.
|| Cap. 1.*

And from that passage of * *Tertullian*, we meet together for the commemoration of the divine Scriptures--- we feed our faith, confirm our affiance, erect our hope by the sacred writings: from the reading of the Scriptures we derive the nourishment of faith. From those words of

* *Gregory Thaumaturgus*, When the Gospel, or the Writings of the *Apostles*, are read, attend

not to the Book, or to the Reader, but to God speaking from heaven, which sure they could not be exhorted to do, provided that his words were read unto them in an unknown tongue. And from

those words of *Chrysostome*, ^b they take not heed, nor do they mind that they come to God, that he discourseth to them. For when the Reader standing up cries out, thus saith the Lord; and the Deacon standing up, stops the mouths of all; he does not this in honour of the Reader, but of that God who speaketh by him. The Epistles which are read every day, are heard from Heaven — If a man came from the King, you would all attend to him; The Prophet comes from God, he speaks from Heaven, and no man hearkens to him: do you not believe that these things are spoken by God; these are Epistles sent from

God? From the like words of * *St. Austin*, the holy Readings are proposed, which we may both hear, and out of which, God assisting, we shall discourse somewhat; and from those passages so † frequent in him, We have heard the Lesson of the Apostle, this is a faithful saying, &c. we have heard the Psalm, we have heard the Apostle, we have heard the Gospel, all the divine Lessons agree. From that Decree of the || Council of Valence in Spain, That the holy Gospels should be read after the Epistle in the Mass of the Catechumens in the order of the Lessons, because it was allowed not onely for the Faithfull, but for the Catechumens and Penitents to hear the salutary Precepts of our Lord Jesus Christ, and the Sermons of the Priest. And that the custome continued from the sixth to the tenth Century,

at

at least, we are informed from the Writers of Ecclesiastical Offices. For in the *Ordo Romanus*, the Priest speaks thus to the *Catechumens*; Our Lord not onely gave his Disciples a form of Prayer, but also shewed ^c with what mind and purity they ought to pray, as ^c *Qua mente* at present the sacred Lesson will shew to you, viz. When thou prayest ^c & puritate enter into thy Closet, &c. *Albinus* giving an account of the word *Hallelujah*, he saith, it is used that he may be praised of all, by ^c ostendit ut in whose grace all are saved; and that it is as if he should have said, ^c presenti sacra bac lectio de- monstrabit, ^c P. 40. because you are presently to hear the words of the Gospel bringing ^c Quia verba salvation, praise ye the Lord by whose kindness ye have been worthy ^c Evangelii sa- to receive this grace. He also adds, that the Priest exhorts them ^c lutem confe- to lift up their hearts; as if he should have said, * Being now suffi- rentia mox ciently instructed and confirmed by the Apostolical and Evangelical ^c audituri estis, Precepts, direct up your hearts from worldly cares unto the Lord. ^c C. de div. After the refection of the mind from holy Scripture, follows heaven- off. cap. de ce- ly joy, saith *Amalarinus*. Then, saith *Rabanus Maurus*, doth the lebr. Miss. Reader read the Canonical Lesson, ^c * P. 83. that the mind of the hearers, be- ^c Post respec- ing instructed by it, may become more attentive to other things. And ^c tionem mentis again, then the Deacon reads the Gospel with great authority in the ^c de sancta ears of the people, ^c that his doctrine may be heard to whom their ^c Scriptura, l. 4. whole intention is fervently inclined; and his virtue may be under- ^c c. 3. de tercia. stood by the Gospel, the holy mystery of whose body is then celebrated. ^c † Ut animus Auditorum

per hanc instructus ad cetera intentior adsurgat. de instit. cler. l. 1. c. 33. p. 328. ibidem audiatur doctrina ad quem fervet intentio tota. 16. p. 329. ^c * Ut ipsius

That in the *Greek Church* also the *Epistles* and *Gospels* were, and are still read unto them in the *Vulgar Tongue*, and so as that the people might understand the meaning of them, is still more evident; for before the reading of them, the *Deacon* al- ways said *πρὸς ὑμᾶς*, which word *ὑμᾶς*, saith * *Cabasilas*, calls for * *Goar. in* that diligence, attention and reverence which we ought to have when *Lit. Chryl.* we speak or hear of heavenly things, of which the first sign we should ^c n. 77. p. 125. give is the erection of the body; He also said, *προσχωμεν*, by which word, saith † *Cabasilas*, he commands us not to stand slothfully, or † ^c N. 88. negligently, but to attend with our minds to the things done and sung. ^c p. 128.

The Priest before the reading of the Gospel, saith, let us hear the Gospel. The Deacon, The Lesson is taken out of such a place. And the Priest, let us attend to it, which were all vain words, if the people did not understand the Lessons.

* *Rerum Li-*
turg. l. 1. c.
25. §. 19.

§. 10. As for singing, it is confess'd by * *Cardinal Bona*, that in the times of the *Apostles*, and for some following Ages, the whole Congregation of the Faithfull did answer the *Priest* in solemn celebration of that Service, and were wont to sing together with the *Clergy*, this custome, saith he, is confirmed by

Ἐν ἑνὶ στόματι καὶ ἐν ἑνὶ στόματι πάντες ὡς ἓν ὁμοφώνως ᾄδοντες καὶ ψάλλοντες ὡς ᾠδὴν ᾠδῶν. *Hom. 3d. in 1 Cor. p. 487.*

Ἐν ἑνὶ στόματι ὡς ἓν ὁμοφώνως ᾄδοντες καὶ ψάλλοντες ὡς ᾠδὴν ᾠδῶν. *p. 489.*

Chrysostome, who speaketh thus, ^h *Anciently all met together, and sung in common, and this we do at present; And a little after he adds, what Bona took no notice of, i He that sings, sings alone, though all sing after him, and the voice is carried, as it were, from one mouth.*

^u *Casarius*, Bishop of *Arles*, also taught the Laicks, or vulgar sort, to sing *Psalms* and *Hymns* with an elevated modulated voice, and to sing *Prose* and *Anthem*s like as the *Clergy*, some in *Greek*, and some in *Latin*, that there might be no time for them to tell stories to one another in the Church. He also adds, that *St. Ambrose* commanded *Psalms* and *Hymns* to be sung after the manner of the *Eastern* parts, for the comfort of the Faithfull watching in the Church, and that *St. Austin* saith,

Did. Ex Au-
gust. Confess.
l. 9. c. 7.

that this custome derived in self from *Milan*, in almost all the Churches of the *West*. *Theodorot* informs us, that because *Harmo-*
nious the Heretick had composed *Hymns* by the sweetness of the sound to instill *Impiety* into the Hearers, *Ephraim Syrus* composed pious

Προστίονον τοῦ ἀνέμου ᾄδοντες ὁμοφώνως ὡς ἓν ὁμοφώνως. *Hist. Eccl. l. 4. c. 26.*

ⁱ *Oportet ut quando psallitur, psallatur ab omnibus, De Instit. Cler. l. 2. c. 52.*

^m *Canere igitur, & psallere, & laudare Deum magis animo quam voce debemus, l. 3. c. 2.*

ⁿ *Si in choro cantamus corde cantamus, cap. 3.*

Hymns after the like manner, and thereby ^k he provided for the Hearers a most sweet and profitable *Antidote*. *Rabanus Maurus* saith, ⁱ *It is requisite that when there is singing, all should sing. As for the manner of performing this Duty Amalarius Fortunatus* saith, that ^m *We ought to sing, and praise God more with the mind than with the voice. That ⁿ if we sing in the Choir, we sing with the Heart, for as much as the Heart is better than the Body, by so much is the service performed by it more devout than*

that which is performed by the Body. And speaking of the *Antiphona*, he in like manner saith, that as much as the Soul is better than the Body, so much is that singing which is performed by the Soul better than that which is performed by the Body; and therefore

fore that we ought to attend * *quæ sit Antiphona animæ, what is the Anthem of the Soul.* * L. 4. c. 7.

§ 11. Moreover, that the Custome of many other Christian Churches was agreeable to that of the Protestants, we learn from good Historians and approved Authours: For,

1. Of the Armenians, * Cassander tells us out of Petrus Bellonius, * Liturg. that all who assist understand the Armenian Tongue, which the Priest useth in celebration of their Service. And Brierwood produceth many Witnesses to the same purpose. P. 31.

2. The same † Brierwood, from Alvarez, the Portuguese, † Ib. p. 188. and many other Authours, shews, that the Habassins, or Midland Ethiopians, do celebrate their Liturgie in their own Language, which also the Liturgie it self, if you mark the long Answers of the People to the Priest in those prayers, sufficiently attests.

3. And no less certain, saith he, is it also of the Moscovites, and Russians, that their Liturgies are ministered in their vulgar Tongue. The whole Mass, saith || Cassander, is wont to be celebrated by them in the Mother Tongue, and the Epistle and Gospel are recited out of the Choir with a loud voice to the people, that they may the better understand them. Ibid. || Liturg. p. 32.

4. And as evident, saith * he, is it of the Illyrians, whom we commonly call Sclavonians, that they exercise their publick divine Service in their own Language; and in particular of the Liburnians, the more Westerly part of the Sclavonians, it is affirmed by Aventine, and of the Dalmatians, the more Easterly part of them, by Angelus Rocha, that they celebrate their Liturgies in their own Language. Of these Sclavonians the History of the Bohemian persecution saith, that in the Year of our Lord 968. when Dethmarus was consecrated Bishop, the then Pope endeavoured to deprive them of the use of the Mass in their own Tongue, whereupon they sent six of their Priests ° who obtained of the Pope that form of Liturgie in their Mother Tongue, which they still have. p. 188. p. 189.

° Qui impetrabant à pontifice vernaculam Litam.

nearum formam quæ hætenus extat, c. 5. p. 15.

D

Lastly,

ῥ Εκρωσιῶ Lastfy, ῥ *Metrophanes*, a Monk of Greece informs us that the
 Creed was uttered in the Eastern Church, even as all other things
 were with a loud voice, that all who were without the Veil might
 hear, and understand them. And that this Veil was opened, to sig-
 nifie that to those who were Orthodox in Faith, all things were re-
 vealed, and to be heard, whether they were Men or Women, young
 or old, rich or poor.

τῷ ὀρθο-
 τάσματι πάντα ἀνακαλυμμένα ἔ-
 ῥ. 378.

White Reply to Fish.

C H A P. II.

The CONTENTS.

The Fathers held it necessary that the Publick Service should be performed in a Tongue known to the People; this is proved, Cent. 4. from the Testimonies of Lactantius, Basil and S. Ambrose, § 1. Cent. 5. From the Testimonies of S. Austin and Cassianus, § 2. Cent. 7. From the Testimony of Isidore Hispalensis § 3. Cent. 8, & 9. From the Testimonies of Albinus Flaccus, Amalaricus Fortunatus, and Walafrius Strabo, § 4. Cent. 11, & 12. From the Testimonies of Micrologus and Ivo Carnotensis § 5. Cent. 13. From the 9th Canon of the 4th General Council of Lateran § 6. Concerning the Reading of the Lessons in a Tongue understood; this is farther proved, 1. From the custome of Reading the Lessons in divers Tongues, where the Assembly consisted of persons different in Language § 7. 2. From the Customs used in ordaining Readers § 8. 3. From the Custome of the Deacon to call upon the people to stand up, and hear the Gospel read, § 9. 4. From the Customs of the Priest before and after the Reading of the Gospel, § 10. 5. From the Custome of the People to sign themselves before and after, and say Amen to it, § 11. 6. From the Custome of the Reader, to mention the Book and Chapter, § 12. 7. From the Custome used in the Visitations of Bishops and Archbishops to enquire whether they who came to Church diligently listened to the Word read unto them, § 13.

Moreover, the Fathers, in their occasional Discourses on this Subject, do very plainly shew they held it highly expedient, and even necessary for the Edification of the Church, and for the due performance of Prayer, of Reading, and of Psalmody in publick, that the people should understand what was read, prayed, or sung in the Assemblies. This will be

evident both from their exprefs words, and alfo from many indirec^t, but cogent Arguments.

Cent. 4.

^a Hæc est enim Symphonia, quando concinit in Ecclesia diversarum ætatum atque virtutum, velut variarum chordarum indiscreta concordia, Psalmus respondetur, Amen dicitur. Hæc est enim Symphonia quam scivit Paulus, & ideo ait, psallam spiritu, psallam & mente. In Luc. 15. p. 124. D.

^b In 1 Cor. 14. 16.

§ 1. From the Testimony of ^a St. Ambrose, who saith, *This is Symphony, when the unsevered Concord of divers Ages and Vertues sings together in the Church, as divers strings of an Instrument, the Psalm is answered; Amen is said. This is the Symphony which the Apostle knew, and therefore saith, I will sing with the Spirit, I will sing with the understanding also.* Now, saith the same ^b St. Ambrose, the unskilfull person hearing what he understands not,

knows not the end of the prayer, & so he answers not Amen. He therefore doth insinuate, that this Symphony cannot be kept where the Service of the Church is in a Tongue not known to the Unskilfull.

St. ^c Basil is more exprefs to the same purpose, For God, saith he, is present beholding the affection of those who come to the Church, the prayer of every one is manifest to him, he

^c Τίς ἐπιστημένως ἐπιζητῶ τὰ ἐπεσθία. Basil. in ps. 28. p. 153. E.

^d Οὐκ ὡς ἔχουσιν τὰς ἀκοὰς λόγους θεῶ. Ib. p. 154. A.

^e Ἡ γλῶσσα λαλέτω, ὁ νοῦς ἐρευνάτω τὸ διὰ οἰαῦ τ' εἰρημνῶν, ἵνα ἄλλης τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄλλης, ὅτι τὸ νό. p. 154. c.

* Reg. Br. 278.

sees, who prays with affection, who understandingly seeks heavenly things.--- But miserable men leaving their houses, run to the Church, as if they would receive some benefit, whenas they do not give attention to the words of God. Then he proceeds to give this good Advice to such careless Hearers, *Thou hast a Psalm the Prophets, the Evangelical Precepts, the Preachings of the Apostles; let thy Tongue sing, let thy mind search out the meaning of the things spoken, that thou maist sing with thy Spirit, and sing with thy understanding also, God wants no glory, but he would have the worthy to be glorified; now as a Man soweth, so shall he also reap, sow therefore thy doxology, that thou maist reap Crowns, Honours, Praises in the Kingdom of Heaven. These things I have spoken by way of digression, not unprofitably upon these words, in his Temple let every one speak of his glory, because of those who talk uncessantly in the Church, and of those who unprofitably come thither; which, as he elsewhere tells us, is the case of him who hears such prayers he understands not, ἀνδρὸς ἀνελομένου, * no man being profited by such prayers: His Doctrine therefore is, 1. That it is the duty of all that come to Church to attend to the word*

word read, to pray understandingly, to sing so as that the mind may search the meaning of the words. 2. That when this is not done no benefit is received by what is read, sung or prayed. And, 3. That by coming thus unprofitably, God is robbed of his Glory, and the precept of the *Psalmist* is transgressed, which saith, *In his Temple let every man speak of his Glory.*

His Descant on the words of the following Psalm, *Sing to the Lord oh ye Saints of his*, is this, *He sings not to the Lord*. *Οὐκ εἰς τὸν κυρίον λέγει τὸ στόμα, ἀλλ' ἐκ τοῦ ὕδατος τῆς καρδίας αὐτοῦ.* *who speaks the words of the Psalm with his mouth, but they who from a pure heart send up Psalmody to God.*

μὴ ἀλλ' ἐκ τοῦ ὕδατος τῆς καρδίας αὐτοῦ. *ἀναπέμπουσιν τὰς ψαλμοὺς αὐτοῦ.* In ps. 29. To. 1. p. 163. D.

And upon those words of the 32. Psalm, v. 3. *Sing praises well*, *καλῶς ψάλατε*, i. e. saith he, *with a mind undistracted, and with sincere affection; sing in consent with one mind, or spirit, and with the unity of Love; without this, in his judgment, we cannot sing well.* *ἑνὶ τῷ ὕδατι.* Ibid. p. 172. A.

Amongst the Heathens subtile men have instituted faithfull silence in their sacred Offices, that the People may not know what they worship, saith *Lactantius*; and then he enquires thus, *How shall God answer the request of any Suppliant, when he comes to pray unto him neither from his mind, nor with observance?* *Hinc fida instituta sunt ab hominibus*

callidis, ut nesciat populus quid colat.--- quomodo Deus prestabit precanti quicquid oraverit cum ad precandum neque ex animo neque observanter accedat? *Laët. l. 5. c. 19. p. 519, 520, 521.*

§ 2. In the 5th Century *St. Austin* is exprefs for the necessity of using a Tongue known unto the People in the publick offices. Cent. 5. For in his Commentary on the 18. Psalm, he speaks thus, *having prayed to God that he would cleanse us from our secret sins, we ought to understand what this is, that we may sing with humane Reason, not with the voice of Birds: For Blackbirds, Popenjays, Crows and Pyes, and such like birds, are often taught to sound forth what they understand not, but to sing knowingly is given by the will of God, not to Birds but Man. And so, saith he, do many evil men sing their wicked Songs. But*

Quid hoc sit intelligere debemus ut humana ratione, non quasi avium voce, cantemus.

** Scienter autem cantare naturæ hominis divina voluntate concessum est.*

** We*

* Nos autem qui in Ecclesia divina Eloquia cantare didicimus, simul instare debemus esse quod scriptum est beatus populus qui intelligit jubilationem; quod consona voce cantavimus sereno etiam corde nosse debemus. c. 8. p. 683.

Intelligamus jubilationem, non eam sine intellectu fundamus, quid opus est jubulare & non intelligere jubilationem, ut vox nostra sola jubilet, & cor non jubilet, sonus enim cordis intellectus est, p. 11.

* We who have learnt to sing the divine sayings in the Church, ought to be instant, that we may be, as it is written, the Blessed people which understands the joyfull sound; what therefore my beloved, we have sung with our joyfull voices we ought to know also with a serene heart. And in his Comment upon Psalm 99 Blessed, saith the Psalmist elsewhere, is he who knows the joyfull sound, let us run to this blessing, let us understand the jubilation, let us not pour it forth without understanding, what need is there of the voice of Gladness, if we understand not the Jubilation, if our voice onely doth jubilate, and the heart doth not, for the sound of the

heart is the understanding. In his twelfth Book upon Genesis he discourses upon the different acceptations of the word Spirit, and saith, that by the Apostle, in the 1 Cor. 14. it is evidently distinguished from the mind in these words, For if I pray in an unknown Tongue, my spirit prayeth, but my understanding is unfruitfull; since then the Tongue is here understood to speak obscure and

* A quibus si mystical significations, * from which if you remove the understanding of the mind, no man is edified by hearing what he understands not, (whence he saith thus, he that speaketh in an unknown Tongue, speaketh not to Men but God, for no man understands, but the Spirit speaketh mysteries,) he sufficiently shews that he calls that a Tongue where there be significations, images or similitudes of things, which that they may be understood, want the insight of the Mind, and when they are not understood, he saith, that they are spoken with the Spirit, not with the mind, whence he adds more plainly, if thou blessest with the spirit, how shall he who occupies the place of the unlearned say Amen to thy Benediction, seeing he understands not what thou sayest. And in his Book de Catechizandis rudibus, he speaks to those who come from Schools of Rhetorick, or Grammar, thus: ¹ Let them know that it is not the voice but the affection of the mind which reacheth the Ears of God, and so they

¹ Noverint non esse vocem sed affectionem of the mind which reacheth the Ears of God, and so they ad aures Dei nisi animi affectum, ita enim non irvidebunt, si aliquis Antistites & Ministros Ecclesie forte animadvertent vel cum Barbarismis & Solecismis Deum invocare, vel eadem verba qua pronunciant non intelligere perturbateque distinguere. Non quia ista minime corrigenda sunt, ut populus ad id quod plane intelligat dicat Amen, sed tamen pie toleranda sunt ab eis qui didicerunt ut sono in Foro, sic voto in Ecclesia benedici. Cap. 9. p. 903.

will

will not deride them, if by chance they observe some Bishops or Ministers of the Church call upon God with some Barbarisms, or Solecisms, or who do not understand, or disorderly distinguish the words which they pronounce; not that these things should not be amended, that the People may say Amen to what they plainly understand, but that they may piously be born with in those who have learned, that as in the Forum they commend with a sound (or Hum.) so in the Church, with the affection. And in his fourth Book of Christian Doctrine, having laid down this Rule, that * the Instructour of * C. 8. others must in all his Speeches primarily and chiefly endeavour to speak with that perspicuity that he may be understood, that he should be carefull † not with what eloquence, but with what evidence he † C. 9. speaks, that he who teacheth should avoid all words which do not reach, ^m for what profits the Integrity of speech, which the understanding of the Hearer cannot reach, seeing there is no cause at all of speaking, if they understand not what we speak, for whose sake we speak that they may understand us? I say, having laid down these Rules in general, he adds that this ought especially to be regarded in our Sermons to the people, where all hold their peace, that one may be heard, and have their faces intent upon him, and where it is neither the custome nor decent to enquire of any thing he understands not, and therefore the care of the Speaker should here especially be employed to help him that holds his peace,--- for what profits a Golden Key, if it cannot open what we would have opened, or what hurts a Wooden Key, if it can doe this, when we seek nothing else but that what is shut may be opened? Which sayings of St. Austin are as full in confirmation of the Doctrine of Protestants and Condemnation of the R. Doctrine, as can be well imagined. For,

1. Speaking to his beloved Hearers, he saith they ought to understand what it is they pray, to be concerned to know the joyfull sound; When they sing to sing knowingly; not onely with joint Voices, but with heart serene; that to pray and sing thus was to act like men, that to doe these things without understanding, was to doe them like brute Beasts. I wish the greater part of Romanists were less concerned in this comparison.

2. He

Quid enim prodest locutionis integritas quam non sequitur intellectus audientis cum loquendi omnino nulla sit causa si quod loquimur non intelligunt, propter quos ut intelligant loquimur? Cap. 10.

Quid prodest clavis aurea si aperire quod volumus non potest? aut quid obest lignea, si hoc potest, quando nihil querimus nisi patere quod clausum est? Cap. 11, 12.

2. He declares as from St. Paul, that *No man is edified by what he understands not*, that the Speech which exceeds the capacity of the Hearer is unprofitable, and if so, must not the service of the R. Church be both unedifying, and unprofitable to all that understand not *Latin*? That *there is*, saith he, *no cause at all of speaking, but that they may understand*, that when the Minister is speaking, and the peoples faces are intently turned towards him, his great care should be to help their understandings; and therefore by just consequence to reade, and pray intelligibly, for if the Priest prays onely that God may hear, and not that Man may unite his petitions with him, there is no need that he should speak at all.

3. He adds, that when any Bishops or Priests in the Church Prayers call upon God in *Barbarisms*, or pronounce words they do not understand entirely, or distinguish duly, this ought to be amended, *that the People may say Amen to what they plainly understand*. Which is the very thing the Protestants desire to have amended in the Church of Rome.

Cassian in his second Book of the Canonical manner of nocturnal Prayers and Psalms, propounds to himself to produce for information of the *Novel Monasteries*, the most ancient custome of the * Fathers, which was preserved to the day he writ, throughout all *Egypt*, and in pursuance of this design he tells us, that these Monks of *Egypt* took their Rule of living from the Evangelist St. Mark, that at the beginning they consulted after what manner the daily Worship should be performed by the whole body of the Fraternity, that one of them rose up

to sing the Psalms, and all the rest sate, ^a having the whole intention of their hearts fixed upon the words of the Singer; that ^o they did persevere in prayer with great intention; that the ^p Devil made it his business to call off their minds from attention to the prayer; and therefore they thought it more profitable to use short and frequent prayers; that ^q they listened to the voice of the Singer with the whole intention of their Minds; that they diminished the labour of the body, and that they might perform their Vigils with more intention of mind. And suitably to what he here relates, St. Austin doth inform us, that

* Cap. 2.

^a In psallentis verba omni cordis intentione defixis. De Orat. Nocturn. l. 2. cap. 5.

^o Suis precibus intentius immorantur. Ibid. c. 7.

^p Abducere mentem nostram à supplicationis intentione. cap. 10.

^q Ad vocem psallentis omni cordis intentione dependant. cap. 12.

that ^r The Brethren in Ægypt are said to have very frequent, but short prayers, darted as it were swiftly up to Heaven, lest that watchfully erect intention which is very necessary to him that prayeth, should, through the length of the prayers, vanish, or grow dull, and by this they sufficiently shew that this intention, as it is not to be wearied or blunted, if it cannot persevere, so if it can continue, it is not quickly to be broken off, for much speaking must be avoided in prayer, but not much praying, if fervent intention doth continue.

The same Cassian, in his Ninth Collation, which is concerning Prayer, saith, ^r It is sufficiently manifest, that he who prays not with a mind intent upon the prayers, cannot observe that number of three prayers which is wont to be celebrated in the Assemblies of the Brethren, to conclude the meeting. And again ^r offering up our prayers with this discipline and intention of Spirit, we may efficaciously sing, Let my prayer be directed in thy sight as incense. And in his Tenth Collation he speaks thus, "The mind that being always flitting, and wandering, is distracted by divers things, in time of celebration, performs no office as it should, for instance, whilst it prays, repeats a Psalm or Lesson, whilst it sings, it thinks on something else than what the Text of the Psalm contains, when it recites the Lesson, it thinks of something it would doe, or hath done, and so ^r nothing is performed ^r orderly or conveniently."

natè nec opportunè recipitur, vel dimittitur. Cap. 13.

Here then we learn, for farther confirmation of our Faith, and confutation of the R. Doctrine, that in our publick Service, the whole intention of the hearts of all that do assemble must be fixed on what is sung, or prayed, or read; that this watchfull, fervent, and erect intention is very necessary to him that prayeth; that without it we cannot observe our duty, cannot perform it orderly and conveniently, nor be able to say, Let my prayer ascend before thee as Incense. And lastly, that the Devil is the person chiefly concerned to hinder this attention of the mind in Prayer.

^r Ne illa vigilanter erecta qua adoranti plurimum necessaria est per productiones moras evanescat intentio. Epist. 121. c. 10. p. 624.

^r Satis constat illum trina orationis numerum--- eum qui intento animo non supplicat observare non posse. Collat. Non. p. 527. cap. 34.

^r Hac qua diximus disciplina & intentione Spiritus exhibentes, &c. Ibid. cap. 36.

^r Atque in hunc modum nihil discipli-

* Est autem lectio non parva audientium edificatio, unde oportet ut quando psallitur, psallatur ab omnibus, cum oratur, oretur ab omnibus, cum lectio legitur, facto silentio, æque audiat ab omnibus. De Eccl. Off. l. I. c. 10.

§ 3. * Isidore in his 1st Book of Divine Offices, saith, that the Lesson yields no small Edification to the hearer, whence requisite it is that, when there is singing, all should sing, when praying, all should pray, when reading, what is read should silently be heard by all, — and think not the profit is little which comes by hea-

ring of the Lesson, for prayer it self is made fatter whilst the mind being filled with the Lesson, fresh in the memory, runs through the Images of the divine things it lately heard; for even Mary, the Sister of Martha, who, sitting at the feet of Jesus, heard the word attentively, is, by the voice of our Lord, declared to have chosen the better part. And in his second Book he adds, that He who is promoted to the degree of a Reader, must be skilled in the words he reads, and in their sense, that so expeditely he may know

the way of pronouncing them, that he may help the minds and senses of all men to the understanding of them. Skilfull knowledge is therefore necessary in such things, that all things may be properly and conveniently pronounced; — he also must know the accents, that he may not err in the syllable which he pronounceth long, for by

1 Ut ad intellectum omnium mentes sensusque promoveat, l. 2. c. 11.

reason of the mistakes of the unskilfull in this matter, ² they who seem to have knowledge are wont by way of derision to object unskilfulness to us, detracting from us, and swearing we know not what we say. Moreover the voice of the Reader must be plain and clear, and accommodated to all kinds of pronunciation; — it must also be grave, and without mimical gestures, ³ for the Reader must regard the Ears and Heart, and not the Eyes of others, lest he make them more Specta-

* Solent irridere nos imperitia hi qui videntur habere notitiam detrahentes & jurantes penitus nescire quod dicimus. Ibid.

tours than Hearers of him. It is an old opinion that the Readers had an especial care of their voice, because of pronunciation, that they might be heard afar off, whence long ago they were named **Criers**. And in the following Chapter, having derived the Original of Psalterists, from David, he adds, that from this Ancient Custome the Church took the Example of maintaining Psalterists, ⁴ by whose singing the minds of the hearers are excited to an affection towards God; and his singing, saith he, must

De Psalmistis cap. 12.

* Quorum cantibus ad affectum Dei mentes audientium excitantur. —

must

must be such as shews Christian simplicity, and
may ^c work compunction in the hearers.

^a *Quae compunctionem audientibus
faciat.* p. 24.

§ 4. ^d Rabanus Maurus adds, that the Readers are they who ^a De institu-
preach the word of God, that he who is a Reader ought to be skilled ^{tionem Clerico-}
in Books and Learning, and adorned with the knowledge of the ^{rum, l. i. c. 11.}
words, and sense of them, that sounding them clearly and distinct- ^{de Lectoribus}
ly, he may instruct the hearts of the hearers; and that the Church ^{p. 317.}
maintains Psalterists, by whose singing the minds of the hearers
may be excited to the love of God. And in his second Book,
and 52d. Chapter, concerning Readers, he saith, that Reading
is no small Edification of the Hearers, whence it is requisite that
when there is singing all should sing, when praying all should pray,
when reading, what is read should silently be heard by all; and so
he goes on, using the very same words which I have above
cited from Isidore, lib. 1. cap. 10. l. 2. cap. 11. and in his third
Book, and 30th. Chapter, he quotes that long passage for-
merly cited from St. Austin, where he saith, What profits the
integrity of speech, which the understanding of the hearer cannot
reach, seeing there is no cause at all of speaking, if they under-
stand not what we speak, for whose sake we speak, that they may
understand, &c. Walafridus Strabo, discoursing of the manner
of praying, and modulating the voice, saith thus, Let us con-
sider how we ought to be in the sight of God, and of his holy An-
gels, and so let us stand to sing ^c that our mind
may concur with our voice, — as for the dif-
ference of voices, that is fit for divine praises
which, howsoever it sounds, coming from the
good treasure of the heart, agrees with the in-
ward intention of the mind.

P. 383.

^e *Ut mens nostra concordet voci
nostra, vox internae intentioni concor-
det.* de Rebus Ecclesiast. l. 1. c. 12.
p. 398, 399.

Amalarius Fortunatus saith, that Reason directs the Rays of the
Appetite to the true Sun of the Lord, that by his light it may truly
and perspicuously know what, and of what na-
ture the thing is which it desires. ^f For no
man wills that which he knows not what, or of
what quality it is. And again, ^g We ought
therefore both to sing, laud and praise God
more with the mind than with the voice, that
when the people pray, they are to be admonished
that they ought to think of nothing but God, and

^f *Nemo enim vult quod omnino
quid vel quale sit nescit,* de Eccles.
Off. l. 1. in proem.

^g *Canere & psallere & laudare
Deum magis animo quam voce debe-
mus.* l. 3. c. 2. l. 3. c. 19. p. 192.

that not onely the sound of the voice, but the mind and sense ought with sincere intention to pray to God.

^a Cap. 11. § 5. ^b Micrologus informs us, that of necessity the Priest must say the Angelical Hymn with others lest he may seem to have deprived himself of his prayer, who in the preface of it, had supplicated that with the praises of the Angels, his and the voice of others might

^c Cap. 18. be admitted. And again, that ^d in the Mass men ought rather to be employed in publick, than in private prayers: For Pope Innocent writing to St. Austin and Aurelian, says, that we may profit more by publick and common prayers, than by our private.

Ivo Carnotensis saith, that the Reader must be instructed in the Knowledge of Letters, that he may understand the sense of the words, and know the force of the accents, and may read distinctly, ^e lest by confusion of pronunciation, he deprive the Auditors of understanding, that he must know the pointings of the Sentences, and what is to be read indicatively,

^f Ne confusione pronuntiationis intellectum auditoribus auferat. Serm. de excell. s. ord. p. 471.

and what by way of interrogation, for these things not observed, disturb the understanding, and provoke the Grammarians to laughter, and this he must doe, because his business is not to consult the Ear but Heart.

^g Quoniam in plerisque partibus intra eandem civitatem atque Diocesim permixti sunt populi diversarum linguarum habentes, sub una fide, varios ritus & mores, districte precipimus ut pontifices huiusmodi civitatum; sive Diocesum, provideant viros idoneos, qui, secundum diversitatem rituum & linguarum, divina Officia illis celebrent, & Ecclesiastica Sacramenta ministrent, instruendo eos verbo pariter, & exemplo. Concil. Generale Lateran. cap. 9.

§ 6. In the 4th General Council ^h of Lateran it is decreed, that because in most parts, within the same City and Diocese, people of divers languages are mixed, having with one faith, divers Rites and Customs, we strictly charge that the Bishops of such Cities and Dioceses provide fit men, who, according to the diversity of their Rites and Tongues, may celebrate to them Divine Offices, and minister the Ecclesiastical Sacraments, instructing them both by word and Example. Whence it is evident, that even then they thought a Language understood was necessary for the instruction of

their hearers by the Ear, as much as good Example for their instruction by the Eye. Note also that this determination is still extant in their Canon Law.

Besides,

Besides those plain expressions, so full and evident for confirmation of this Doctrine and Practice of the Protestants, and for the condemnation of the Determination of the Trent Council, and the pursuant practice of the Church of Rome, there were many Customes and Observations used in former times, which sufficiently shew, that they condemned the custome of Reading the *Lessons*, or any portions of *Scripture* in a Tongue unknown, as is at present practised in the Church of Rome. And,

§ 7. 1. Such was the custome of reading the Lessons in divers Tongues, where the Christians that assembled were of different Languages, or of interpreting what was read in a Tongue not known to the people. Thus of *Procopius* the Martyr, who suffered under *Dioclesian*, ^m *Valesius* saith, that he did the Office ⁿ Not: in Eu- of a Reader in the City of *Schythopolis*, and interpreted the Scrip- feb. libr. de- tures which were read in Greek to the People, who understood not Martyr. Greek, in the Syrian Language, which was their Mother Tongue. p. 172.

Amalarius saith, that the six Lessons were by the ancient Ro- Sex Lectiones mans, read both in Greek and Latin, (which custome is to this day ab antiquis observed at Constantinople,) if I am not deceived, for two causes, Romanis græ- the one was, because the Grecians were present, who understood not cæ & latine, the Latin Tongue, and the Latins were present, who understood not legebantur (qui mos a- the Greek: pud Constan- tinopolim ho-

diæque servatur,) ni fallor, propter duas causas, unam quia aderant Græci, quibus incognita erat latina lingua, aderantque Latini, quibus incognita erat græca. De Eccl. Off. l. 2. c. 1.

ⁿ *Rupertus Tuitiensis* in like manner, saith, that when the R. Church was mixed of Greeks and Latins, the several Lessons were read in both Tongues, for they who recited in one Tongue onely, could not be understood by the people of both Tongues. *John Belet* adds, that ^o in the primitive Church it was forbidden to speak in divers Languages, unless there was some one present that could interpret, whence came the good and wholesome custome, observed a long while in the Church, in several places,

ⁿ Cum Ecclesia Romana de Latinis & Græcis esset permixta singula Lectiones de utraque lingua recitabantur, nam ab una lingua recitantes ab utriusque lingue populis intelligi non poterant. *Rupertus de Divinis Officiis*, l. 3. c. 8. Eadem habet *Albinus Flaccus de Div. Off.* l. 1. cap. de 12. lectionibus p. 69.

^o Hinc illa laudabilis inolevit consuetudo in quibusdam Ecclesiis partibus, ut pronuntiatio secundum litteram

Evangelio statim vulgari illud populo proponeretur; ubi supra.

that

that after the Gospel was pronounced literally, it was expounded to the people in the vulgar Tongue.

§ 8. 2. Such was the custome used in the ordaining of Readers: For in the old *Ordo Romanus* before the ordination of

^p Quatenus distinctè legant qua in Ecclesiis legenda sunt. *Ord. R.* p. 89. Et mentis & vocis distinctione populo monstrare intelligibilia. *Ibid.*

Readers they prayed, that God would graciously pour his blessing on them, & that they might distinctly read the things which were to be read in the Church. And the benediction of them follows thus, Omnipotent Father, and eternal God, bless these thy Servants for the office of

Readers, that by assiduous Reading, they may become apt to pronounce the words of life, and to shew to the people things which are intelligible by the distinction both of mind and

^q Lector dicitur à legendo, eo quod ministerium legendi habet in Ecclesia. illi traditus est codex divinarum Apicum, ut distinctè ad intelligendum divinas lectiones pronunciando, populo placeat, cap. de Tons. Cleric. p. 72. R. Maurus, de Instit. Cler. l. 1. cap. 11. Ivo, Serm. de Excell. S. Ordinum, p. 470.

voice. *Albinus* saith, the ^q Lector hath his name from Reading, because he hath the ministry of Reading in the Church, and that to him was given a Book of Holy Scriptures, that pronouncing the Divine Lessons distinctly, so as they might be understood, he might please the people. *Rabanus Maurus* saith the same. And *Ivo Carnotensis*, that they had a Book delivered to them in the sight of the people, and it was

said unto them receive it, and be ye rehearsers of the word of God, and that they must consult in Reading not onely the Ear but the Heart. And out of *Isidore*, he adds, that he who is promoted to this Office, must understand the way of pronunciation, that he may move the mind and senses of all men to understand what is read.

§ 9. 3. This will be farther evident from the custome of the Deacon, so often mentioned in the *Old Liturgies*, both of the East and West, viz. to call upon the people before the Lessons

^r State cum disciplina, & silentio, audientes intentè, *Ord. Rom.* p. 37, 38, 40.

to stand up with Discipline, with reverence and silence, and to hear the Gospel. *Isidore* informs us, that it was the custome of the Deacon, before the Reading began, to command silence with a loud voice, that whilst they either did sing or read, unity might be preserved by all, and that what was preached to all might

^t Ut quod omnibus predicatur aequaliter ab omnibus audiat. *De Eccl. Off. l. 1. c. 10.*

equally

equally be heard by all. This custome of rising up when the Gospel was read, was done, saith Cardinal Bona, to signifie their readines to obey its commands. And therefore when some Priests in Germany and Burgundy heard the Gospel sitting, pretending a Tradition for so doing, Anastasius writes to the Bishops, that they should by no means suffer them, and commands, that *whilst the Holy Gospels are read in the Church, The Priests and all the people should hear it standing with devout attention.*

** Sacerdotes & ceteri omnes—
stantes dominica verba intente audiant, & fideliter adorent. Decret.
par. 2. c. 138. par. 6. c. 93.*

§ 10. 4. This is plain from the custome of the Priest, which was to say before the Reading of the Lesson, *The Lord be with you*, and to pray after the reading of the Gospel, that *God would confirm in their hearts the words of Salvation, which they had perceived*, of which Reading of the Priest the observation of the learned * Goar is this, "That in the Mass the Deacon, in the Mattins, the Priest reads the Gospel, that he may first feed them with the word of God, whom in the Mass he is to feed with the Sacraments, and in both he professeth to take upon him the care of feeding them with all celestial food, after the Example of Christ, who first fed the Church with his word, before he did it with the Sacrament of his Body.

" Ordo Rom. p. 3. Albin. cap. de Celebr. Miss. p. 81. orans in cordibus eorum verba salutis quæ perceperunt à Domino confirmari, ibid. p. 82.

** Nota in Ord. S. Minister. p. 34.*

§ 11. 5. This also may be gathered from that *Ancient Custome* of the people which was ** to sign themselves with the Cross in their Foreheads, that they might purge themselves from evil thoughts, that so they might remain pure to understand the words of Salvation.* And when the Gospel was done, to say *Amen*, that is, God make us to persevere in this Doctrine, and to sign themselves again, that what they had learned for their Souls health, out of the word of God, might remain, and might not by the fraud of the Devil be put out of their minds.

** Populus crucem in frontibus ponit ut à malis cogitationibus corda sua emundet ut ad intelligenda verba salutis pura maneat.*

ant. — Perlecto Evangelio iterum se signo crucis populus munire festinat ut quod ex divinis Eloquiis ad salutem percepit, signatum sigillo Crucis atque munitum permaneat ne à mentibus eorum Diabolica fraude evacuari valeat. Albinus Flac. de Div. Off. l. 1. c. de Celebr. Miss. p. 81, 82. Vide Bonam de Reb. Liturg. l. 2. c. 7.

§ 12.

§ 12. 6. From the Reader's making mention of the Book and place assigned for the Lesson; of which custome St. Chryso-

† Ἀναβάν δ' ἀναγνώσας λέγει
πρῶτον τὸ βιβλίον πνδὲς ὅτι, (τὸ
δὲ πρῶτον πυχὸν Προφῆτα, &c.) ὃ
τὸτε λέγει ἃ λέγει ὅτι ἐυσημώτε-
ρα ἡμῶν ὅτι, &c. in Hebr. Hom. 8.
p. 479.

some speaks thus, *When the Reader ascends, he first tells you what Book it is he reads, viz. the Book of a Prophet, Apostle, or Evangelist; then he tells you what he saith, that the things he reads may be more plain to you, and that you may not onely know what is there said, but the cause, and who it was that said it.*

§ 13. Lastly, That they esteemed it a great crime not to attend to the word read in publick is evident from the custome used in the Visitations of Bishops and Archbishops, who made this one Article of Enquiry in order to the punishment of Offendours, *Whether any who*

* Si aliquis in Ecclesiam intrans
fabulis vacare consuevit, & non di-
ligenter auscultat divina Eloquia.
Regino de Discip. Eccles. l. 2. q. 88.
p. 215.

came to Church accustomed himself to vain talk, and did not diligently listen to the word of God, as in the Articles of Enquiry still extant in Regino we may reade; they therefore must

account it a like crime at least to reade the word in publick so as that they who were present could receive no benefit by listening to it.

C H A P.

C H A P. III.

The CONTENTS.

This Assertion of the Fathers is proved by them, 1. From those words of the Psalmist, Ps. 46 7. Sing ye praises with understanding. § 1. 2. From those words of St. Paul, Be ye filled with the Spirit, speaking to your selves in Psalms and Hymns and Spiritual Songs, singing, and making Melody in your Hearts, &c. Eph. 5. 18, Coloss. 3. 17.

Moreover, the *Fathers* do not onely say in general that it is necessary that the Prayers and publick Service of the *Church* should be performed in a Tongue known to the people, but they establish this necessity upon *Scripture* precepts, delivered in the *Old* and the *New Testament*, as *v. g.*

§ 1. 1. From that precept of the Psalmist, *God is the King* Ps. 46. 7. *of all the Earth, sing ye praises with understanding*; they generally conclude, that they who sing should understand the meaning of the words used in singing. ^a *Athanasius* saith, that to sing so as that there is a *Spiritual Harmony* betwixt the Soul and the words, is to sing with understanding; and that they who sing after such a manner, as to join the *Symphony* of the Spirit with the Melody of the words, singing with the Tongue, and also with the mind, do greatly advantage those that hear them.

αι περισσείρεται ἡ ψαλμὸν μὴ τῇ γλώσσῃ, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῇ καρδίᾳ, ὡς καὶ τὸ νοῦν, ὃ μόνον ἐκείνους, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς ἀκούοντες αὐτῶν μακάριον ὀφελᾷσι. *Epist. ad Marcell. To. 1. p. 961. A.*

St. Basil having put the Question, what it is to sing with the understanding, answers thus, that ^b *understanding*, when it refers to the words of Holy Scripture is the same thing as the taste is to meats, for as the Throat by tasting discerneth Meats, so the

F

Mind

Mind doth Words, if therefore any man be so affected in his soul, by the force of every word (he sings,) as the taste is affected with the quality of meats, he hath fulfilled the commandment, which saith, sing ye praises with understanding. And upon Psalm the 32. v. 3.

Hearken, saith he, to the precept, Sing well, ^c that is, with a mind not wandring, with sincere affection. He calls the whole world, saith ^d Chrysostome, not simply to sing, but to doe it with much care and understanding; what is it to sing with understanding? it is so to sing as learning the things done, and attending to the greatness of his glorious works. The Psalmist, saith St. Austin, teacheth and admonisheth us to sing intelligently, ^c that we may not regard the sound of the ear, but the illumination of the mind. Sing with the understanding, saith St. Jerom, ^f that those things which you sing according to the Letter, you may understand spiritually. He excellently adds these words, Sing with understanding, saith Theodoret, ^g thereby instructing us, not onely to employ the Tongue in singing of our Hymns, but to stir up the mind to understand, or to consider the things spoken of.

^e Καλῶς ψάλλει, — ζυμπτῶ-
εἰς τὴν διανοίαν. In locum To. 1.
p. 172.

^d Μετὰ πολλῆς τ᾽ συνήσεως, με-
τὰ πολλῆς τ᾽ σπουδῆς. In locum.

^c Non quatenus sonum auris, sed
lumen cordis.

^f Ut qua canitis per literam, in-
telligatis spiritualiter dicta.

^g Διδάσκων μὴ μόνον τῇ γλώσσῃ
προσφῶν τῶν ὑμῶν ἀλλὰ καὶ τῇ
διανοίᾳ εἰς κατανόησιν τῶν λεγομέ-
νων ἐγείρει.

^h Catena Aur. He that sings, saith ^h Didymus, ought to think upon the things
in 50. Psalm. he sings, for they must not be onely versed in modulation of the
words, who study to profit, but necessary it is that they should per-
ceive the things they sing, for God rejects them who sing not so,
ⁱ In locum. but onely make a noise with their voice. Sing wisely, saith ⁱ Hay-
mo, that is, with great discretion discerning what, wherefore,
when, and after what manner you sing. Sing

^k I. e. Non lingua tantum, sed cor-
de et intellectu intelligentes, sc. id
quod canitis.

not simply, but wisely, saith ^k Euthymius up-
on the place, that is, not onely with the Tongue,
but with the heart and understanding; to wit,
knowing what it is you sing.

Now sure it is, that if the common People praise God at
all, when they are present at those Hymns of praise which are
used by the Church of Rome, in a Tongue unknown to them,
they must doe it without understanding, care, illumination by, or
due consideration of the things contained in them, they must doe
it

it simply, and not wisely, saith *Euthymius*, and so as to be rejected of God, saith *Didymus*, for sure it is, that they who boldly attend the prayers delivered in a Tongue unknown to them, cannot join the *Symphony of their Spirits with the Melody of the words*, or make any *spiritual Harmony betwixt their Souls and the words sung*, they cannot be affected with the force of them, in their Souls, as the taste is with meats, they cannot doe it with much understanding, or with illumination of the mind, or understanding spiritually what is sung according to the Letter, they cannot stir up their minds to understand or consider the things spoken of; they cannot perceive the things sung to them, they cannot sing with great discretion, or with the heart and understanding, nor know what they sing, Seeing they hear they know not what, and therefore, in the judgment of these *Fathers*, they cannot so join with the *Mass Priest*, as to sing with understanding the praises of the Lord. But if it be said, that it is not their duty to join in the publick praises offered to God in the Church, why are they stiled publick praises? Why doth the *Psalmist* call so oft to them saying, *Praise the Lord all ye people*? How comes *Christianity* to exclude them from what they were admitted to under the *Old Testament*?

§ 2. 2. The same Conclusion they gather from those two parallel places of St. Paul's Epistles who writing to the Church of Ephesus exhorts them *not to be drunk with wine, but to be filled with the Spirit, speaking to themselves in Psalms, and Hymns, and Spiritual Songs, singing, and making melody in their hearts to the Lord*; and doth advise the Church of Coloss to teach and admonish one another in Psalms, and Hymns, and Spiritual Songs, *singing with Grace in their hearts to the Lord*. On the now mentioned passage to the Ephesians St. Ambrose descants thus,

*He would have us sing with diversity of voices,
 1 that every Tongue may praise the Lord, that
 our singing may not be onely with the lips, but
 from the heart, by reason of that old saying of
 the Prophet, This people honoureth me with their lips, but
 their heart is far from me. What is it to sing with your hearts,
 faith Chrysostome? ^m It is to sing, taking heed with consideration,*

*1 Ut laus in Deum omni lingua
 canatur, ut non in labiis tantum sit,
 sed de corde prorumpat, &c.*

πεσοιχοις οἱ καὶ ἡ πεσοιχοις ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ ῥήματα ἐν καρδίᾳ καὶ κατὰ τὴν ῥηματικὴν ἐννοαν.

¹ *Ut laus in Deum omni lingua
canatur, ut non in labiis tantum sit,
sed de corde prorumpat, &c.*

F 2

for

for they who take not heed, do barely sing, uttering the words whilst their hearts wander after other things. And on those words to the Colossians, singing with grace in your hearts to the Lord, he comments thus, not onely with your mouth, but with attention, for this is to sing to God, but that is to sing to the Air. St. Je-

ⁿ Canere & laudare Dominum
magis animo quam voce debemus.—

Audiant hi quibus psallendi in Ec-
clesia officium est, Deo non voce sed
corde cantandum.

^o Nusquam legimus aliquem sine
voce cantasse, unde necesse est hic in
corde ex corde intelligi, ut non solum
ore, sed etiam corde cantemus.

^p Τὸν λογισμὸν αἰεὶ διεκείρυντες
τῇ καρδίᾳ ᾄδ' ἑαυτοῖς, — ἥ ἢ οὐκ εἰς
τὸ ᾄδειν λεγόμενον καὶ ἀνέησιν διεκεί-
ρων.

^q Ut cor cum voce concordet, vel
ut intelligatis quod cantatis.

^r Nihil est sola voce canere sine
cordis intentione, &c. Senten. l. 3.
c. 7.

^s Μὴ ἀπλῶς μόνον σώματι ᾄδοι
ᾄδ' ἢ καὶ ἔστιν ἄδην διὰ αὐτὴν εἰς αἶρα
λαλεῖν.

^t Τυτέσι μετὰ σωείπας, —
ὁ ᾄδ' περιστολὴν ἐκείνην ἐν καρδίᾳ
ἑαυτοῦ.

rom, in his Comment on the Epistle to the Ephesians, writes thus, ⁿ We therefore ought to sing and praise the Lord with the mind, rather than the voice, for this is that which is here said singing, and making melody to the Lord in your hearts. Let the young men, and those whose Office it is to sing in the Church, hear this, We must sing to God not with the voice, but with the heart. The Commenta-
tour upon the same Epistle, which passeth under the name of Jerom, speaketh thus, ^o We never read that any man sung without a voice, it is therefore necessary so to understand these words, as importing that we should sing not onely with the mouth, but with the heart, or from the heart. He calls upon them, saith Theodo-
ret, ^p still to stir up their rational faculty, for he singeth with the heart, who doth not onely move his tongue, but also stir up his mind to the consideration of the things spoken. He com-
mands, saith Primasius, that we sing not onely with the mouth, but with the heart, ^q that the heart may accord with the mouth, or that we should understand what we sing. ^r It is no-
thing, saith Isidore, to sing with the voice a-
lone, without the intention of the heart, but as the Apostle saith, singing in your hearts, that
is, not onely with the voice, but with the heart; and, as he saith
elsewhere, I will sing with my Spirit, I will sing with my understand-
ing also. He commands us to sing with the heart, ^s not with the mouth alone, saith Oecu-
menius, for that is not to sing unto the Lord, but to speak unto the Air. To sing with the heart, saith Theophylact, ^t is to sing with the understanding, and not with a wandering heart,
for

for he sings with the heart who gives good heed to what he sings. And again, to sing with the heart is to sing not onely with the mouth, but with attention to what you sing, for this is to sing to God, the other is to sing to the Air: * He therefore saith, sing with the heart because there be many who sing with the mouth, whose mind accords not with their voice, and who attend more to their voice, that they may please the Hearers, than who consider in their minds what they say. But they sing with the heart, whose mind agrees with their voice, according to that of St. Benit, so let us stand to sing, that our mind may accord with our voice: So Haymo, and upon those words, Singing with grace in your hearts, Col. 3. 17. he descants thus, Always so render thanks to God, that when you sing with the mouth you may understand with the heart, and the mind may accord with the voice. † The Psalm, saith Sedulius, refers to the Body, the Hymn to the Mind; this therefore is the thing here said, that we ought to sing and praise God rather with the heart than with the mouth.

Now if the Common People who hear *Mafs* sung, join with the Priests, and those who Chant it, seeing they do not understand it, they must honour God only with their Ears, whilst their hearts wander after other things, they must sing, not to God, but to the Air; they must do nothing in the account of *Isidore*, for to be sure they cannot sing with them from the heart, or with consideration, with attention, or with the mind, they cannot stir up their rational Faculty, or their minds to the consideration of the things spoken, or cause their Hearts to accord with the *Mafs* Priests Mouth, they cannot therefore in the Judgment of these Fathers join with the *Mafs* Priest in the Hymns or Praises used by him so as St. Paul enjoins all Christians to sing praises to God.

“Ο ὅτι μετὰ προσῶπιο τῷ το ὃ
ὅτι τῷ Θεῷ ἀδῶν ἐκ τῶ το τῷ ἀδῶν.

¶ Ideo dixit in Cordibus vestris quia multi sunt qui cantant ore, quorum mens non concordat voci, & qui magis attendunt ad societatem vocis ut auditoribus placeant, quàm considerent mente quid dicunt; illi verò cantant in corde, quorum mens concordat voci, juxta illud B. Benedicti, Sic stemus ad Psallendum, ut mens nostra concordet voci nostræ in Eph. 5. 19. id est, Semper reddite Deo gratias, ita ut quod ore canitis corde intelligatis, & mens concordet voci.

† Psalmus ad corpus Canticum refertur ad mentem, & canere igitur, & psallere, & laudare Deum magis animo quàm voce debemus, hoc est quippe quod dicitur, Cantantes & psallentes in cordibus. Ad Eph. 5.

More-

Moreover it deserves to be noted, that the later Commenta-
 tours on the place agree with the Ancients. Sing with Grace,

^b *Sapienter* saith ^a *Hugo*, that is, with understanding, and savour, singing in
 thy cum sapor- your Hearts, not onely with your lips, according to that of our
 re. in 3. ad Lord, and of *Esaiah*, This people honoureth me with their Lips, but
 Coloss. non so- their heart is far from me. Sing with your hearts, saith the
 Bon in labiis, Matt. 15. thy ^b *Glosse*, i. e. sing with attention, or not onely with your Lips, but
 El. 29. with your understanding. That the praise be not onely that of the
 Non solum mouth, but of the Heart and Spirit, saith ^c *Lyra*. In your Hearts,
 labiis sed cum saith ^d *Carthusian*, that is, with cordial Affection, and mental In-
 intellectu vel attention; this he saith against them who praised God with their Lips,
 in 3. ad Co- not with their Hearts; of whom God by *Esaiah* speaks.
 loss.

^a Ita quid non sit tantum laus oris, sed etiam Spiritus & cordis. Ibid. ^a H. e. Cordiali affec-
 tu & cum mentali attentione.

C H A P.

C H A P. IV.

The CONTENTS.

Containing Eighteen Arguments against the performance of publick Service in a Tongue unknown to the Common People, from I Cor. 14. and the descants of the Fathers upon that Chapter, viz. 1. Because it Renders the Reading of the Scriptures a speaking not to Men, § 1. 2. Because Reading them in a Tongue Known is more profitable, and more for Edification, § 2. 3. Because the profit of the Hearers is the thing chiefly to be regarded in these publick Exercises, § 3. 4. Because by Reading it in Latin to an illiterate Assembly no man is profited, § 4. 5. Because this in the Apostles Language is speaking into the Air, § 5. 6. Because it renders the Mass Priest a Barbarian, § 6. 7. Because Celebrating the publique Service in a Tongue Known, is requisite that we may excell to Edification, § 7. No sufficient provision is made for this Edification by the Injunction of the Trent Council, to expound something of the Mass, *ibid.* 8. Because he that speaks in an unknown Tongue must pray that he may interpret, lest his mind be unfruitfull, § 8. 9. Because we must pray and sing with the understanding, § 9. 10. Because the illiterate person cannot say Amen to Latin Service, § 10. A Reply to that Pretence, that Latin is always a Tongue Known to some, *ibid.* 11. Because otherwise the Idiot is not Edified, § 11. 12. Because in the Church it is better to speak five words so as to instruct others, than ten thousand words in an unknown Tongue, § 12. 13. Because such Service Argues the Officiator a Child in understanding, § 13. 14. Because this in the Law was denounced as a Curse, § 14. 15. Because it will give occasion to Strangers to think we are mad, § 15. 16. Because all things are to be done to Edifying, § 16. 17. Because he that speaks in a Tongue not known, in the Church ought to keep silence, § 17. 18. Because St. Paul declares of these directions that they were the Commandments of God, § 18. The Evasions of Roman Catholics answered as,
1. That

1. That the Apostle speaketh here not of set Offices, but of sudden prayers and extraordinary Exercises, Answered, § 19.
2. That those Christian Meetings were intended for the Instruction and Edification of their Auditours, but so is not the Service of the Church of Rome, but for other ends, § 20. Answered, *ibid.*
3. That the Languages then spoken, were utterly unknown to the Hearers, but so is not Latin, Answered, § 21.
4. That the Congregation is sufficiently Edified by a General understanding of the Mass, Answered, § 22.
5. That the Ignorant have other means to understand the Mass, if they will be diligent in using them, Answered, § 23.
- Lastly, That the Church may now vary from the Institution of St. Paul, by reason of the difference in Circumstances then and now, § 24.

BUT the chief Arguments we urge against the reading of the Scriptures, Prayers, and pious Lessons contained in the *Mass* in an unknown Tongue, are taken from St. Paul's Discourse upon that Subject, which affords almost as many demonstrations of his disapproving of this practice, as it containeth verses, and which the *Ancients*, who have either occasionally, or else professedly writ upon that place, with one Consent interpret as we do.

§ 1. And, 1. Our Argument from Verse 2. is to this effect ;
 “ That which doth render the Reading of the Scripture contained in the Mass a speaking not to Men, doth render it unlawful, because these Scriptures were designed to be spoken unto Men ; but the Reading of them in an unknown Tongue is reading of them *not to Men*, because *no man*, to whom the Language is not Known, *doth understand them*, v. 2.

§ 2. Arg. 2. “ If we must rather chuse to *propheſie* than speak with Tongues, because that prophesying is more profitable, and more for Edification, then also must we rather chuse to read the Lessons, and the publick Service, in a Tongue known to all, than in a Tongue unknown to most then present, because that also is more profitable, as is apparent from the ^a Confession of the *Trent Council*. To make this Argument, if possible, more evident, Consider,

1. That the *R. Mass*, or *Lyturgy* containeth many portions of H. Scripture.
2. That

^a *Missæ magnam continet populi fidelis eruditionem*, Sess. 22. c. 8.

2. That these portions of H. Scripture were written for our 2 Tim. 3.16. learning, and are profitable for our instruction, 15 Rom. 4.

3. That those persons who do not understand them when they are recited in the *Latin* tongue, are members of that Church which is to be edified, v. 4. they are indeed the Greatest part, and most considerable Members of the Church, such as stand most in need of the edification, instruction, and consolation mentioned v. 3.

4. That the *Apostle* here commands the *Corinthian* Officiatours in the Church rather to labour after the Gift of *Prophecy*, than that of *Tongues*, because the Gift of *Prophecy* did more conduce unto the edification, instruction, and consolation of the Church, v. 3, 4.

5. That Reading of the *Mass* in a Tongue known is, speaking to the edification of many in the Church, and reading it in a Tongue unknown is, speaking not to the edification or instruction of all them to whom it is unknown.

6. That therefore we are rather to read it to them in a Tongue known, according to the purpose of St. Paul's advice, than in a Tongue unknown unto them. Now suitably unto the purpose of these Arguments the *Fathers* descant upon these first four verses thus: that by ^b *Prophets* the

Apostle means the *Interpreters* of Scripture, for as the *Prophet* foretells future things which are unknown, so he, whilst he manifests the sense of Scripture, which is unknown to many, is said to prophesie: that though there be many spiritual degrees which he enumerates, yet is that better which provides for the advantage of the Church so that all may learn the purpose of the divine Law — to know the Law is the best sense, for knowledge join'd with charity is not puffed

up, but is meek, profiting to the benefit of all. For the Knowledge of the Law confirms their minds, and provokes to the increase of a better hope. He that speaketh in an unknown tongue profits onely himself, for men know not what he saith, and therefore they receive no profit by it, but he that prophesieth edifies all the people, whilst what is spoken by him is understood of all. So St. Ambrose. He makes a comparison of Gifts, saith Chrysostome, and he rejects that of Tongues, for by saying, he that speaks with tongues, speaketh not

^b *Prophetas Interpretes dicit Scripturarum: hic tamen melior qui ad utilitatem Ecclesie proficit, uti discant omnes divina legis rationem. Scire legem sensus est optimus. Scientia enim legis firmat animos — homines enim nesciunt, ideoque nullus est hac re perfectus, — dum intelligitur ab omnibus quid loquatur.*

Ambrose in v. 1, 2, 3.

* Διζῶν ἔτι πάλιν τὸ χηρίσμα
 οὐ τὸ καὶ χηρίσμα ἢ πῶς ἀκού-
 οντων παλαιῶν τοῦτο περιπατῶν τὸ οὐ
 πῶς ἢ πολλὰν ὀφελείαν γενόμε-
 νοι—, ὅσον ἢ τὸ μῖνον ἐνὸς καὶ ἐκ-
 κλησίας, ποῦτον διάφορον τῆτι καὶ
 ἐκείνῳ, in v. 3, 4.

betwixt the profit of one, and of the whole Church, so great is the difference betwixt speaking with unknown tongues, and prophesying.

He shews, saith Phorinus, that Prophecy is more profitable, casting

* Ἀπὸ τοῦ κοινῆς συμφέροντος
 δείκνυσιν πῶς περισφύλλεται κρείττονα.

down tongues, and he demonstrates that Prophecy is better ^d from the common profit of it, for though he that speaks with tongues, speaks by the Holy Ghost, yet is he so much less than Prophecy, as the profit of his speaking is less, wherefore as much difference as there is between the profiting of one, and the whole Church, so great must be the difference betwixt Tongues and Prophecy. Theophylact repeats expressly these last words, and on the second and third verses he comments thus, he speaks not

* Οὐκ ἐνὸντα λέγουσι πῶς ἀν-
 θρώποις καὶ σαφῆς, v. 2.

Παλαιῶν ἐκείνο πῶς ἢ μείζον
 τὸ ἀφελαιμώτερον, ibid.

to men, ^e because he speaks not things manifest, and easie to be understood by them. And again, every where Paul prefers that which is most profitable, as Prophecy is, building up the

* Ἐν ἑκάστῳ κεχρημένοι φα-
 ραίς, πῶς εὐαγγελικῶν διδασκαλί-
 ας κηρύττωσι· ὅθεν πῶς ἢ πῶς
 ἐν Κορίνθῳ διαλεγομένοις τῇ Σκυ-
 θῶν χηρίδι φωνῇ ἐπαίνον ἐκείνων ἢ
 διωαμῶν, in v. 2.

unstable, exhorting and stirring up the sloath-
 full, and comforting the feeble minded. He teacheth, saith Theo-
 doret, the use of this Gift of Tongues, for it was given to the Prea-
 chers of the Gospel, by reason of the different Languages of men,
^f that going to the Indians, and using their
 Tongue, they might publish the divine decla-
 ration; and again, discoursing to the Persians,
 Scythians, Romans and Egyptians, in every
 one of their Languages, they might preach
 the doctrine of the Gospel, for vain it was for
 men discoursing to the Corinthians to use the

Language of the Scythians, the Persians, and Egyptians, which
 they could not understand: now reading of the Scriptures is prea-
 ching in the Language of the Holy Ghost, for Moses of old times
 hath them that preach him in every City, being read in the Syna-
 gogue every Sabbath day; and therefore reading of the Gospels
 and

Act. 16. 21.

and Epistles must be preaching of the *Christian doctrine*, and must it not be vain, according to *Theodore*, to preach to the *English, French, Hungarians, Germans, Dutch, Swedes, and Normans*, in the *Latin Tongue* which they do not understand? Must not this practice contradict the very end for which the Gift of Tongues was given, that *the divine declaration might be published to every one in their own Tongue*? May we not argue from these sayings of the *Fathers* thus, " That which tends most unto the profit of the *Church* is every where to be preferr'd by the *Apostles Rule*, but prophesying or reading of the *Scriptures*, so as to manifest the sense of them: (which is not known, when it is read in an unknown Tongue,) and so that *all may learn the purpose of the divine Law*, so as that all the people may be edified whilst what is spoken is understood of all, so as to be profitable to them that hear him, so as to speak things manifest and easie to be understood by them, tends most to the profit of the *Church*; therefore this reading or this prophesying, by the *Apostles Rule*, must be preferr'd. And again, but reading and preaching in a Tongue unknown unto the Hearers is reading so as that the *Hearer knows not what you say*, and therefore he receives no benefit, he hath no profit; it therefore is that reading which they, and the *Apostles Rule*, assure us ought not to be preferr'd. And thirdly, That which tends best to *edification, exhortation, and comfort of the Church* should be preferr'd; but prophesying, or reading of the *Scriptures* so as they may be plain to, and easie to be understood by the Hearers, tends to the *edification of the doubtfull, exhorting the slothfull, the comforting the feeble-minded, to the confirming of our minds, and the advancing of our hopes*, 'tis as much better than speaking in a Tongue unknown, as the *edification of the whole Church is better than the profit onely of some few*, and therefore is to be preferr'd.

Arg. III. § 3. From the fifth verse, *I would that ye all spake with tongues, but rather that ye prophesied, for greater is he that prophesieth than he that speaketh with tongues*; we learn that the *Apostle Paul* doth rather wish that they should prophesie, than speak with tongues. For that that was the greater Gift, he proves by reason of the greater benefit the *Church* received by it, or because it tended most to the *edification of the Church*.

^g Hoc enim majus est, quod omnibus prodest.

^h Πλεον γὰρ ἔχει τὸ κέρδιον.

ⁱ Ὅ δὲ μάλιστα Θεολογῶν.

^k Διὰ τὸ πλεονεκεῖν ὠφελεῖν.

^l Διὰ τὸ πολὺ ὠφελεῖσθαι.

He saith it is the greatest Gift, & for that is greatest which profits all, saith Ambrose. Prophecy is the greater, ^h because it hath the greater profit, so Theodoret. Because they are many who receive the profit of it, ⁱ which chiefly is to be regarded, so Chrysostome. Prophecy is greater, ^k because it profits more, so Occumenius: ^l by reason of the greater benefit, so Theophylact. Since therefore the like rea-

son holds for reading of the *Mafs*, and all the pious Lessons contained in it, in a Tongue known unto the Vulgar, certain it is that greater is he that doth thus reade it, and that it were desirable it were thus read, rather than in a Tongue unknown to many of them, and therefore it is certain that the *Trent Council* hath defined unwisely, and not according to this declaration of St. Paul, and all these Fathers of the Church, that the

Seff. 22. c. 8. ancient Rite of reading in the Latin tongue should be retained every where.

Arg. IV. § 4. From the sixth verse, Now therefore, brethren, if I come unto you speaking in an unknown tongue, what shall I profit you, except I shall speak unto you either by revelation, or by knowledge, or by prophecy, or doctrine. What shall I profit you,

^m Docere enim nemo potest nisi intelligatur.

ⁿ Ἐὰν μὴ εἴπω ἀνάλυτον ὅμιν ἐνληπτόν τι καὶ διωαυτόν, ἢ οὐδὲν κερδαίνει ἀπὸ τοῦ λαλοῦ.

^o Apud Occum. in locum, Τὸ ἔστιν ἐν συννοήσῃ πᾶσι καὶ σαφὲς, καὶ νοεῖσθαι, ἢ ὅτι γινώσκει, τῶν ὁρίων ἵνα γινώσκῃ καὶ ἄλλος, &c.

saith Ambrose, ^m for no man can teach, except he be understood. He speaks these things, saith Chrysostome, demonstrating that he seeks their profit, and that which he saith is this, that ⁿ if I speak not something which may be easily perceived, and may be manifest to you, but only shew I have the Gift of Tongues, you will go away without any profit. ^o Phorinus thus descants on the words, I shall profit you nothing except I speak unto you in Revelation, that is, things manifest, and understood, and easie to be perceived; or in knowledge, that is, that you may know what I speak; or in Pro-

phesy, for they knew that was spoken according to the common way of conversing with one another, or in doctrine, for he that teacheth, endeavours that his hearers may understand the things spoken: what profit shall I bring unto you, saith Theodoret, unless I

use:

use a doctrine ^P revealing to you hidden mysteries: and with respect to such Interpretations of the ancient Fathers, Theophylact speaks thus, *some have so understood those words in revelation* ⁹ *as to signifie that they should speak things manifest and easie to be apprehended, and things laid open, and those words in knowledge, as to signifie that they should speak such things as may be known.*

Hence then the Argument runs thus, " That which doth nothing tend unto the profit of the Assembly consisting of persons not understanding what is spoken, ought not to be done by an Apostle, much less by men of less Authority; but to reade the *Mafs* in *Latin*, in places where it is not understood, is to doe that which nothing tends unto the profit of the Assembly: if no man can profit except he speak in Revelation, or in Knowledge, that is, *things manifest, easie to be perceived and understood*, then no man can profit the Assembly, consisting chiefly of illiterate persons, by reading of the *Mafs* to them in *Latin*: and therefore no man can by so doing doe what both St. Paul and Chrysostome say chiefly ought to be regarded in those parts of publick worship.

Arg. V. § 5. The seventh, eighth and ninth Verses afford still farther demonstrations of the absurdity of this practice, for thus they run v. 7. *in like manner things without life, giving sound, whether Pipe or Harp, except they give a distinction in the sounds, how shall it be known what is piped or harped; v. 9. So likewise you, except ye utter by the Tongue things easie to be understood, how shall it be known what is spoken; for ye shall speak unto the air. For, saith Theodoret,* ^r *when those that are present understand them not, the words are vainly cast into the air. You will speak unto the air, i. e.* ^f *so as to profit nothing; for to what end doth he speak who is not understood, so St. Ambrose. You shall speak to the air, that is,* ^t *to no body, saith Chrysostome. And again, He puts the voice as the subject,* ^u *the perspicuity of the voice as the species, (i. e. that which distinguisheth the*

^P Ἀποκαλύπτων ὑμῖν τὰ κρυπτά μυστήρια.

⁹ τὸ εὐληγῆσθαι πᾶσι καὶ σαφεῖ λέγειν καὶ ἀνακαλυμμένα--τὰ δυνάμει γινώσκονται.

^r τῶν γὰρ παρόντων ἢ ὡνόντων εἰς αἶρα μάτην διακίται τὰ ῥήματα.

^f h. e. Nihil perficientes, -- ut quid enim loquatur, quod nemo intelligit.

^t Τετέστιν ὅτι.

^u τὴν σαφήνειαν ὡς εἶδος, καὶ τὴν παρόντην ἢ δυνόντων τὰ ὑποκειμένα--τὸ τοῦ ἑκάστης ὡφελιμοῦ (kinds)

τὸ σαφές· ἢ εὐλκῆτον τοῖς ἀκούσι
—τὸ εὐσημον διακρινθεῖ, Chrysost.

kinds) which being wanting, there is no need
of the subject. He prosecutes his Discourse

thus, why do I say that which shall be thus
spoken by us will be unprofitable, but what is plain and easie to be
apprehended will be profitable to them that hear it, since this is so
even in Organs without life, which must have some distinction and
plainness of sound, or you doe nothing, and much more in the words
of men of life and reason, and in spiritual Gifts ought we to seek
for what is of an easie signification. The phylact transcribes these
words, and then he, with Chrysostome, applies the example thus,

* Ἐὰν μὴ τ' ὀλίγας εὐσημον
λόγον ἤτοι σαφῆ δώτε, εἰκὴν ἢ μά-
την λέγεις ἑδανός ἀκούσι.

† Εἰκὴν ἢ μάτην, ἢ ἀναφε-
λῶς, οἱ μὴ σαφῆ ἢ νοσημον ἔ-
πιτε λόγον.

So you * unless by this Gift of Tongues you ut-
ter a discourse plain and perspicuous, you will
speak in vain, and to no end, because no man
understands you: you will speak into the air,
saith Occumenius, that is, y in vain and un-
profitably, if your words be not plain and
understood; he also, out of Photius thus in-
troduceth the Apostle speaking, why say

I in my own person that what is understood is profitable, what
is not understood is unprofitable; a man may find this in Organs

* Εἰ ἔν ὅτῃ τῷ μικρῶν μίγα
ἢ σαφένεια πόσῳ μάλλον ἐπὶ τῷ
πυρμαλινῶν.

without life; and, ² if in little things clear-
ness is much, how much more in spiritual
things? Here then the Argument runs,
thus. "That which renders the meaning

" of the words read in the Assembly of Christians speaking
" into the air, i. e. speaking unprofitably, in vain, speaking to
" no body, doing nothing, or to no purpose, must be absurd and
" incongruous, but reading of the Mass in Latin to those
" Assemblies, renders it, speaking into the air, &c. to all
" to whom it is unknown, therefore it is absurd. Again, they
" who read so as that the Hearers cannot know what is spo-
" ken, and so as that they do not utter things easie to be under-
" stood, it is not plain, perspicuous and easie to be perceived, they
" speak into the air, but so read they who read the Mass in
" Latin to those Assemblies which do not understand that
" Tongue: Ergo, they speak into the air.

Arg. VI. § 6. Verse the eleventh, the Apostle speaketh thus,
If I know not the meaning of the voice, I shall be unto him that
speaketh a Barbarian, and he that speaketh shall be a Barbarian un-

to me. He admonishes them not to study that by unknown Tongues they may seem Barbarians to one another, but that ^a by unanimity of understanding, they may have common joy, saith Ambrose. I speak not these things, saith Chrysostome, rejecting the unknown Tongue, but shewing that it is ^b unprofitable to me whilst it is obscure, that it renders him that hears it a Barbarian to me, and me to him, not through the nature of the voice, but through our ignorance. He shall be a Barbarian to me that speaketh, i. e. ^c a Speaker of things not intelligible, if I know not the power of his voice, saith Theophylact. There are many kinds of voices, saith Oecumenius, but ^d what gain we by those voices, when we understand nothing of them? for if I know not what the voice signifies, we shall be both Barbarians to one another: how therefore is it that you speaking into the air, and speaking things insignificant to your own Countrey-men, are puffed up, as if you had done some great matters, and do not rather study, and take care that obtaining the Gift of Interpretation, you may use the Gift of Tongues unto the common benefit; ^e for he that onely speaks with Tongues using an insignificant and unknown voice unto the Hearer, instead of profiting, is a Barbarian to him, and the Hearer also is accounted a Barbarian to the Speaker, and what profit ariseth thence? You hear not their Anacharsis saying to me, all the Grecians are as Scythians, which is like that of our Paul, if I know not the meaning, &c. for as the Illyrians, Paonians, &c. are esteemed Barbarians to the Greeks, so to them who understand not the Greek Idiom, the Attick, Dorick, Æolick and Ionick Tongues are barbarous. Where, 1. It plainly is asserted, that every one that speaketh in a publick Assembly is unto him that heareth a Barbarian, because he doth not understand the meaning of the voice, because he speaks things unintelligible by him, and so he gaineth nothing by his voice. because he, speaking in an unknown voice, speaks not unto the common benefit. 2. It is here tacitly supposed that this is a great fault, and manifest absurdity, and that which the

Apostle.

^a Ut per unanimitatem intellectus communi letitia glorientur.

^b Δεικνύς ὅτι ἐμοὶ ἀνωφελεῖς ἔως ἂν ἢ ἀπαρὶς — ἡ δὲ φύσις τῆς φωνῆς, ἀλλὰ οὐδὲ πῶς ἡμῶν ἄγνοια.

^c Βάρβαρος ὁ τῶν ἀνθρώπων ὁμιλητής.

^d Τὶ κερδαίνωμεν ἡμεῖς ἐκ τῶν φωνῶν ἐκείνων, μὴδὲν αὐτῶν ὠφελεῖς.

^e Ὁ δὲ μόνον γλώσσας ἀλλὰ ὧν ἀσῆμους καὶ ἀναπινύσας τὴν ἰσχυρίαν τῶν ἀκροατῶν ἀντὶ τοῦ ὠφελεῖν ἔσται αὐτῶν Βίβλος — καὶ πῶς τὸ ἐντεῦθεν κέρδος.

Teodoret. de Cur. Græc. aff. Serm. 5. p. 556.

Apostle reprov'd in the *Corinthians* as by no means fitting to be done. Hence then the Argument runs thus, "That which renders the *Mass*-priest a *Barbarian*, a Speaker of things unintelligible to the Hearer, so that he gaineth nothing by his voice, a Speaker in that very manner for which St. Paul reproves the Speakers in like Assemblies of the *Corinthians*, that ought not to be done; but celebrating the *Mass* in Latin, i. e. in such a Language in which in most Assemblies few understand the meaning of his voice, renders the *Mass*-priest a *Barbarian*, &c. Ergo this ought not by him to be done.

Arg. VII. § 7. The Apostle exhorts those *Corinthian* Emulatours of the Gift of Tongues, that seeing they so earnestly desired to abound in Gifts, they would do it to edification, endeavouring to excell in that which chiefly tended to that noble end: for thus he speaks, *Even so ye, forasmuch as ye are zealous of spiritual Gifts, seek that you may excell to the edification of the Church. Strive chiefly for them*

v. 12.

^f Δι' ὧν ὑμῶν ἡ ὠφέλεια τῆς ἐκκλησίας καὶ ἡ αἰκοδομία κατασκευάζεται.

^g Τῆς ἐκκλησιαστικῆς αἰτεκεν ὠφελείας.

^a Quia prodest Scripturas explanare.

ⁱ Εἶδες αὐτὸν ὅτι σκοπεῖ πανταχοῦ πῶς πρὸς ἕν ὁρᾷ διηγεῖται, καὶ διὰ πάντων τὸ πρὸς πολλοῖς χρησίμουν τὸ πρὸς ἐκκλησίαν ὠφελεῖν ὡς περ πνεῦμα ἁγίου πρὸς πάντας.

^f by which the profit and edification of the Church may be promoted, saith Occumenius. He commands them to do all things ^g to the benefit of the Church, saith Theodoret; ^h because 'tis profitable to explain the Scriptures; For the mind is excited and rejoices when it understands any thing of Scripture; therefore he admonishes them to apply their studies to this, so St. Ambrose. ⁱ You see his scope every where, how he points continually to one thing, in every thing laying down this as a Canon or Rule to walk by, that what is most profitable to the most; most

beneficial to the Church, ought always to be done, so Chrysostome. Hence then the Argument is this, "That which is requisite, that we may excell to the edification of the Church, to the profit of most, that in the publick Service is always to be done, according to the Exhortation and the Command of the Apostle. But celebrating the publick Service of the Church in a Tongue commonly known to the People which assembled to join with us, is plainly requisite to this end; for, as the Fathers and St. Paul have often told us, unless they know

" know the power of the Voice it cannot profit, nor will they
 " be Edified; Ergo, the publick Service ought to be celebrated
 " in a Tongue known unto the People.

Sol. Unto this Argument some of the Romanists Reply,
 That the *Trent Council* hath observed the mind of the *Apostle*
 here, in that he hath commanded all Pastours, *during the Cele-*
bration of the Mass, to expound some part of what is read.

Rep. But to this Subterfuge I Answer,

1. That which the *Council* saith is onely this, *The Holy Synod*
doth command all Pastours frequently to expound some of those things
which are contained in the Mass, it therefore doth not command
that they should always doe something of that Nature, and so
the Apostles Precept must be neglected when this is not done.

2. The *Council* doth not oblige them to expound any certain
 part of the *Mass*, so that if they expound one Verse contained
 in it, they satisfy the Injunction of the *Council*, but by no
 means do they satisfy *St. Pauls* Injunction, *that all things should*
be done to Edification, that if there be no interpreter they should
hold their peace, and least of all those sayings of the Fathers,
Let there be nothing done among you which doth not edifie one ano-
ther. That the *Apostles* Rule is this, *that what is most profitable*
to the mass, most beneficial to the Church, ought always to be done.

3. The Reason which the *Council* assigns of this Injunction,
viz. Lest the Sheep of Christ should hunger, and the little ones desire
bread, and there be none to break it to them, seems to be a plain
 Confession that when this is not done, as frequently it is not,
 it must so happen, that *Christ's Sheep* do by this means *hunger,*
and desire bread, when there is none to break it to them. Since
 then, according to *St. Paul,* and these *Expositors,* the profit of
 mass is chiefly to be regarded, and he prefers that every where which
 is so done, since what is spoken in a Tongue known is, say they,
 understood by all, and therefore edifies all the people, but what is
 read in a Tongue not known, is to read, that they receive no
 profit, no benefit by it, seeing there is the same reason for inter-
 preting all they read, as any portion of it, 'tis evident all
 should be interpreted, or read in a Tongue understood by
 all. And,

It deserves to be observed, that though the Church here requires the Priest to expound sometimes, or preach, yet hath she not obliged the people to hear them,

Sum. Rosell. Domin. N. 4.

Nullo (hoc) jure cavetur, sed solum de Missa, that Mass which they cannot understand, they must hear, but the Sermons which they may understand they need not. I affirm, saith Suarez, that there is no precept which in rigour, and by it self obliges to hear the Sermon on Festivals (or the Lord's Day) so all the Doctors suppose as evident, and it is apparent from the constant use, and apprehension of the faithful. So that though without this the Council doth confess, the sheep of Christ must hunger and desire Bread, and have none to break it to them; yet are they not obliged to that which can alone assuage their Hunger.

§ 81 Arg. VIII. The Apostle proceeds thus, Wherefore let him that speaketh in an unknown Tongue, pray that he may interpret, for if I pray in an unknown Tongue, my Spirit prayeth but my understanding is unfruitfull. Let him pray that he may interpret, saith Theodoret, That he may bring profit to the Church. Let him pray not onely for the Gift of Tongues, but of Interpretation also, that he may be profitable to all, saith Chrysostome.

¶ Ut proficeret ceteris studium. That his endeavour may profit others also, saith St. Ambrose. He sheweth the manner how they might procure Gifts that will profit the Church, saith Origen. He sheweth how this Gift may be used to the publick benefit, saith Theophylact.

The reason follows, For if I pray in an unknown Tongue, my Spirit prayeth, that is, saith Photinus, my spiritual Gift is exercised, but my mind is unfruitfull; that is, saith Theodoret, I not interpreting my Gifts have no fruit, others that hear me receiving no benefit. For the fruit of the Speaker is the profit of the Hearers, for so he speaketh in the Epistle to the Romans, that I may have some fruit among you, as among other Gentiles. My mind will be found unfruitfull, saith Photinus, because it will not profit others,

others, & for the fruit of the mind is by vari-
 ous, and all kind of notions to bring profit to our
 Neighbours. If any one speak with unknown
 Tongues, his mind is unfruitfull, not to himself,
 but to the Hearer, for whatsoever is said he un-
 derstands not. So the Commentary that pas-
 seth under the name of Jerom. When they
 that are present understand the prayer, then he
 that prayeth hath fruit, to wit, the Edification
 of those that are helped by his prayer. Saith
 Basil, but when the words of the prayer are un-
 known to them that are present, then without
 controversie the mind of him that prays is with-
 out fruit, since no man receives benefit by it,
 and the Case is alike in treating of any other
 words of God, for so 'tis written, let no speech
 come from your mouth but what is good, for the
 Edification of faith; and that the mind is
 said by the Apostle to be unfruitfull not with respect unto the
 Speaker, but to the Hearer is evident.

1. Because the Apostle in this very Chapter saith, that he
 that speaks with Tongues edifies himself, v. 4. adding, that
 where that Tongue is not understood, it doth not edify.

2. Let him, saith the Apostle, who speaks with Tongues
 pray that he may interpret, not to himself, but others, for
 their benefit; when therefore he assigns this Reason of that ex-
 hortation, that otherwise his mind will be unfruitfull, he must al-
 so mean not to himself, but unto others.

3. The Apostle seems plainly to interpret his meaning thus
 by saying, v. 19. In the Church I had rather speak five words *διὰ
 τῆς νοῦς μου*, with my mind, (not unfruitfull, but understood) than
 I might instruct others, than ten thousand words in an unknown
 Tongue.

Hence then these Arguments do very naturally result, "If
 he that speaks with an unknown Tongue, must pray that he
 may interpret, that he may bring profit to the Church, that
 he may be profitable to all, and use his Gift to the publick
 benefit; then he that reads, or prays in publick, must so
 reade, and pray, that he may be profitable to all, and con-
 duce to the publick benefit, which sure he doth not who

" Celebrates the *Mass* in *Latin*, where that language is so far from being understood of all, that tis scarce understood of any in most of our Churches. *Esthim* upon the place confesseth, that what is spoken here doth happen unto them who being ignorant of the *Latin* Tongue, *Latino sermone preces recitant*, *Reade prayers in Latin*, for their mind is unfruitfull; and must it not then also happen unto them who being ignorant of the *Latin* Tongue, *Latino sermone preces audiunt*, *Hear prayers read in that Tongue*: for if prayer not understood by the Suppliant is unfruitfull to him, so is prayer not understood by the Hearer to him also, on the same account.

Again, " That which makes the prayer of the Suppliant unfruitfull to the Hearer, ought not to be done, this being the reason of the *Apostles* exhortation to the *Corinthian* Officers, to pray they might interpret, lest their mind should be unfruitfull. But praying so as that the words of the prayer are unknown to them that hear them, so as they do not understand them, so as they are not good for Edification of him who knoweth not the meaning of them, is praying so as that the mind of him that prayeth is unfruitfull to the Hearer, and so by parity of Reason is praying in *Latin*, to every Hearer who understandeth nothing of it, it therefore is not to be done.

§ 9. Arg. IX. What therefore is it, I will pray with the Spirit, I will pray with the understanding also, I will sing with the Spirit, I will sing with the understanding also. i. e. What therefore is to be done, saith *Oecumenius*, how shall we contrive that we be not unfruitfull. What is the best and most profitable to be done, saith *Chrysostome*, and *Theophylact*. He calls the Spirit his Gift, saith

Ἐπὶ τῷ πνεύματι καὶ ἐν νοῦν ὡς ἡμεῖς
διαλεγόμεθα, ἢ τὸ ἐπὶ ψαλμοῖς,
ἢ τὸ ἐπὶ ᾠδαῖς, — ἢ αὐτὸν
ἐκμυθίζοντες οἱ ἀκούοντες ἡμῶν
τῶν, &c.

Theodore; the Mind he calls the Interpretation of what is spoken; and saith, that it becometh him who discourseth in another Tongue, whether it be in *Psalms*, in *Prayer*, or *Doctrine*, either himself to interpret, what is said to the profit of the Hearers, or to take another to his assistance who can doe it; which words are punctually transcribed by *Oecumenius*, who also adds from *Photinus*, that to speak with the Spirit, and the mind, is to speak for our own profit, and also to speak so as that others may enjoy the fruit of our minds, that

is,

is, to speak unto the profit of our Neighbours; and this will be done, if speaking with Tongues we receive also the Gift of Interpretation, for having taken up the name of Prayer, for speaking of things good and serious, that you might not think that he spoke primarily, or chiefly of prayer, and not also of any necessary and usefull words spoken in Tongues, he falls to Psalmody, saying as it were, whether it be in Prayer, or Psalmody, or any other good and necessary words, in all alike, we should endeavour both to profit our selves, and others. Cassian relating, and proposing to the imitation of others the customs used by the Egyptian Monks, which he says were very ancient, he first informs us, that one of them rose up to sing the Psalms in the midst of them, and that the other sate, *"having the intention of their hearts fixed upon the words he sang; for, saith he, they are not delighted with the multitude of Verses, but with the understanding of the Mind, following that with their whole power, I will sing with the Spirit, I will sing with the Mind also, and therefore they judge it more profitable that ten Verses should be sung rationally, than that a whole Psalm should be poured forth with confusion of the mind. The meaning therefore of the Apostles Resolution, the thing that he approveth of, as best and most advantageous to be done in publick, *o dei witness,* which ought to be done, is, that in the Service of the Church we pray with the mind, that is, so that others may enjoy the fruit of our minds, and the Hearer may be profited; and that this must be the true import of the words, is evident from the preceding words, which say, that if we pray in a Tongue unknown, our mind will be unfruitfull unto others; and thence infer, that we must so pray in the Spirit, as to pray also with the Mind, that is, so as the Mind be not unfruitfull. 2. This is apparent from the connexion of the words with the ensuing Verse. *I will pray with my understanding, for otherwise how can the Idiot say Amen unto my prayer, or by my Psalm be edified, seeing he understands not what I pray, or thank God for. Now manifest it is the understanding of the Suppliant contributes nothing unto the understanding of the Idiot, unless he utters by his understanding words which the Idiot can apprehend, 'tis therefore manifest the Apostle here discourseth of such words, and not of those the Suppliant alone doth understand.**

Hence

" In psallentis verba omni cordis intentione defixis. Cassian. l. 2. c. 5. Sed mentis intelligentia delectantur illud tota virtute sectantes. Psalm Spiritu, &c. c. 11.

Hence then the Argument runs thus ; “ If by the Rule of the
 “ *Apostle*, whether we be engaged in the publick Service, in
 “ Prayer, in Psalms, or Doctrine, we must speak so as that the
 “ *Hearers* may have profit by it, and may enjoy the fruit of our
 “ minds, that the intention of our hearts be fixed upon the
 “ meaning of the words, and with our whole power we fol-
 “ low after the doing of the Service with the intention of the
 “ Mind, then must we pray, and sing, and reade in words which
 “ they that hear can understand, and profit by, and have their
 “ hearts intent upon, and therefore not in *Latin* words, where
 “ the *Hearers*, or the Generality of them, cannot understand,
 “ or have their minds intent upon them, because they do not
 “ understand the meaning of that Tongue.

§ 10. Arg. X. *Else when thou shalt bless with the Spirit, how shall he that occupieth the room of the unlearned say Amen at thy giving of Thanks, seeing he understands not what thou sayest. When thou blessest with the Spirit, that is, with the Spiritual Gift of Tongues, so Theophylact, with the voice of a Barbarian, so Chrysostome, how shall the Idiot, that is, say Chrysostome, Theodoret, St. Ambrose, Jerom, Oecumenius, the Laick, and unskilfull person, Sedulius, Haymo, Anselm, and Aquinas, the Man that onely understandeth his own Mothers Tongue, how shall he say Amen*

* *Quomodo tua perhibet benedictioni testimonium vel consensum?*

† *Imperitus audiens quod non intelligit, nescit finem orationis, & non respondit Amen, ut confirmetur benedictio, per hos enim impleretur confirmatio precis qui respondent Amen.*

* *Δεινυσι αὐτὸν ὁ μωροὺς ἰσχυροῦς ὁ ἱερὸς ἄνθρωπος ὅταν πρὸς αὐτοὺς ἡ ἀποστολὴ τοῦ κυρίου.*

at thy giving of Thanks? * *How shall he give his Testimony, or assent, unto thy benediction? saith St. Jerom. † The Unskilfull hearing what he understands not, knows not the end of the Prayer, and therefore saith not Amen, that the benediction may be confirmed, for by them is the Prayer confirmed, who say Amen, so Ambrose, that which he saith is this, if thou blessest in a Barbarous Tongue, the Laick not knowing what thou sayest, nor being able to interpret thy words, cannot say Amen; ‡ and he shews that he suffers no small damage, when he cannot say Amen. He brings in an Example, saith Photius, for, saith he, if thou blessest in the Spirit, i. e. thou onely understanding, or profiting thy self in blessing, but the hearer understands not what thou sayest,*

sayest, what will he answer to thee? How will he say Amen, if it be needfull for him so to doe, not knowing at all what thou sayest? For if thou only knowest, saith he, what thou prayest, or blessest, and thy soul speaketh this in the Spirit, the rest not knowing the power of the things spoken, how shall the Idiot say Amen at thy prayer? This therefore is the thing he saith,

^a that he that sings and prays should interpret the things spoken, and the Hearers likewise that they may say Amen. In the whole he would have the words understood, and not in some definite kind, ^b and therefore sometimes he speaks of singing, sometimes of blessing, and giving of Thanks, shewing that his exhortation and care is universally of every necessary and usefull thing spoken in Tongues. Now hence these things do clearly follow. 1. That it is the

duty of the unlearned to join with the Minister in prayer, for he must say Amen, he must give his Testimony, and assent unto his benediction, he must confirm the Prayer by saying Amen, and when he cannot doe it, because he knows not what the Priest saith, he suffers no small Damage, saith St. Chrysostome. 2. It therefore must be the duty of the Priest so to blefs, and pray in publick, that the unlearned may be able to say Amen, and yield his Testimony and Assent unto the Benediction of the Priest, and may not suffer damage by his Inability to doe so. 3. That the illiterate person cannot perform this duty, when he cannot understand the matter of the prayer, or know what the Priest saith, and so must suffer damage, when he so officia-
reth, as that the Idiot knows not what he saith. Hence then the Argument runs thus:

• That Prayer, or Benediction, to which the Idiot cannot say Amen, is not to be used in the Church, because he is not to be damaged, or made incapable of the performance of his duty, by that service which was undoubtedly design'd for his advantage, and his help in the performance of his duty.

• But Prayer and benediction in Latin, where it is not understood by the Idiot, is that to which he cannot say Amen. Or thus,

^a Τὸ τοῦ ἱερέως ὅτι λέγει ἅλλων καὶ προσευχόμενος ὁ ἀκούων καὶ σιωπῶν τὰ λεγόμενα καὶ τὸ ἀκούων οὐδὲν ὁμοίως ἵνα εἴπωσι τὸ γένοιτο.

^b Διὸ ποτὶ μὲν τὸ λέγειν ἄλλοτε καὶ τὸ εὐλογεῖν καὶ μὴ αὐτὸ καὶ αὐτὸ αὐτῷ ἥδιστα τὸ εὐχαριστῆσαι δεκνύς ὅτι καὶ οὐκ ἀναγκαστὴν ἀναγκάσει καὶ σπινθηρίον γλώσσας λαλῶντος ὅτι αὐτῷ ἢ παραίνεσι καὶ ἢ σπουδῇ.

That

" That which the *Idiot* understands not, and therefore cannot say *Amen* to, must not be used in the Church; But Prayer in *Latin*, in all places where *Latin* is not a known Tongue, is that which the *Idiot* understands not, therefore it is not to be used in the Church.

Answ. To this some answer, that *Latin* is always a Tongue known to some,

Rep. 1. This is false, with reference to thousands of our Congregations.

2. It is impertinent, for the *Apostle* distinguishes the congregation into the *Idiot*, and others, and blames the prayer or benediction uttered in an unknown Tongue, as being such as *Idiot*s in the Congregation could not understand.

3. Is God an Acceptor of Persons? Would he have the learned edified by the Churches publick Service, who have the least need of these helps, and the unlearned want the benefit? if not, must it not be acknowledged, that the *Apostles* reason dictated by the Spirit of God, concerns them both?

§ 11. Arg. XI. Whereas the Question might be asked, Why may not the *Idiot* say *Amen* to what he understands not, seeing the matter of the Prayer is good? the *Apostle* Answers verse the 17th, for thou verily givest Thanks well, but the other is not edified. Where saith St. Ambrose, ^c If you

^c Si utique ad edificandam Ecclesiam convenitis, ea debent dici quae intelligent audientes. Nam quid prodest ut quis lingua loquatur quam solus scit?

^d Τὴν οἰκοδομίαν συντακὴν ζῆτον ὁ ἐκκλησία.

^e Ὁ δὲ ἀκεραιὸς ἐν ἑαυτῷ καρπὸν ἐν ἑαυτῷ ἀρετῆς ἔχει, &c.

meet together that the Church may be edified, you ought to say those things which the Hearers may understand, for what profit is it for a man to speak in a Tongue which he only knows? such a one ought rather to hold his peace, that others may speak who can profit the Hearers. See, saith St. Chrysostome, how he throws the stone at the Mark, ^d always seeking the edification of the Church. ^e The Hearer gains nothing, he is profited nothing by thee, saith Occumenius, that is, he receives not from the things heard, the fruit gathered for him, the mind of the Speaker profits him not, he enjoys not the fruit of it, he is destitute of fruit, is unfruitfull,

fruitfull, & there being no benefit to thy Neighbour, thy thanksgiving is unprofitable. So Theophylact. Now hence I reason thus:

" That which the Idiot doth not understand, is that by which
 " he doth not edifie, and therefore that which is not to be used
 " in the service of the Church; but Latin Service, where it is
 " an unknown Tongue, as to every Idiot it is, is that he un-
 " derstands not, and therefore that by which he is not edified,
 " it therefore is not to be used in the Church, especially where
 " it consisteth chiefly of such Idiots.

§ 12. Arg. XII. The Apostle proceeds thus, *I thank my God I speak with Tongues more than you all. But in the Church I had rather speak five words with my mind, that I may instruct others, than ten thousand words in an unknown Tongue;* & he declares, saith, Ambrose, what it is more profitable to speak few words plainly, which all might understand, than a long Speech in obscurity. What is it, saith Chrysostome, to speak with my mind, ^h it is to speak with knowledge, and to instruct others; for this only yields much profit, and this is that which he every where seeks after, what may profit all. With my mind, saith Oecumenius, ⁱ that is, so that I may be able to interpret, and make plain to the Hearers what I speak, that they may be profited. Hence then the Argument runs thus:

" If we ought, when the Congregation meets, rather to
 " speak few words plainly, and so as to instruct the Hearers,
 " and make that plain unto them which is spoken, than a long
 " discourse which they understand not; then ought we rather to
 " celebrate Mass in the Mother Tongue, than in Latin, which to
 " the generality of most Parishes is a tongue they understand not.

§ 13. Arg. XIII. The Apostle thus proceeds, *Brethren be not children in understanding, but be ye children in malice, in understanding be ye perfect. He will have them to be perfect,* saith St. Ambrose, ^k that they may know what is necessary for the Instruction of the Church, that they may study those things which profit to the advan-

^g Utilius dicit paucis verbis in apertione Sermonis loqui quid omnes intelligunt, quam prolixam orationem habere in obscuro.

^h Μακάρι σιωέπως εἰπεῖν, καὶ διδάξει τοὺς ἀκούοντάς— τὸ τοῦ ὁδοῦ οὐκ ἀλλοτρίως, ἀλλὰ τὸ κοινῇ συμφέρει.

ⁱ Ταῦτά ἐστιν ὡς τὸς ἑρμηνεύειν καὶ τοῖς ἀκούοντάς διδάσκειν ὅπως ὁφείλουσι.

^k Ut sciant quid ad instructionem Ecclesiae sit necessarium.

Theophylact. *rage of the Brethren, he uses now a sharper style, he not ye children, for 'tis the property of Children to admire little things, as Tongues, and despise great things, that is, prophesying. Hence I thus argue :*

“ That which renders the *Officiatour* a Child in understanding, ought not by him to be done, but speaking to the
 “ Congregation in *Latin*, when it is an unknown Tongue,
 “ and preferring that before prophesying, or speaking to the
 “ Instruction of the *Church*, doth render the *Officiatour* a
 “ Child in understanding.

§ 14. Arg. XIV. From v. 21. the Argument runs thus :

*Hoc non competit fidelibus audire
 linguas quas non intelligunt, sed in-
 fidelibus, qui digni non sunt intelli-
 gere.*

“ That which was in the Law denounced
 “ as a Curse upon God's people, ought not
 “ to be the chosen, or be the constant prac-
 “ tice of the *Church of Christ*; but such was
 “ speaking in an unknown Tongue, and so as
 “ that they could not understand with ease.

§ 15. Arg. XV. From v. 23. thus :

“ That which will give occasion to the Stranger, and the
 “ *Idiot*, entering into the Assemblies of Christians, to say that
 “ they are mad, ought not to be done; but the use of *Latin*,
 “ where the meaning of it is not known, as it is not in many
 “ *Parish Churches*, will minister this occasion, therefore it is
 “ not to be done.

§ 16. Arg. XVI. Let all things be done to edifying, v. 26. He speaks of *Psalms*, *Doctrine*, and *Prophecy*, saith *Theophylact*, and adds, let all these things be done to edifying :

*ἢ τὸ τοῦ χριστιανικοῦ ἰδού τοῦ
 κοινῆς τὸ ὠφελεῖν.*

In V. 22,

*ἢ εἰς τὸ Ἀποστόλου σκοπὸς ἢ ὅτι
 πολλὰ ὠφελεῖ.*

*ἢ ὅτι χριστιανικὴ τὴν κοινὴν
 ναὶ τὸ κοινὸν ἀποδοῦναι ἀποδοῦναι
 οὐκ.*

“ For 'tis the business of a Christian to edify and profit; but how can he do this, who speaks in an unknown tongue? If he procure an *Interpreter*, ⁿ the scope of the *Apostle*, saith *Theodoret*, is one, the profit of many, and therefore he exhorts the *Corinthians* to prefer *Prophecy*, as being that which brings a common benefit. ^a See, saith *Chrysostome*, the foundation and the Rule of Christianity, for as the work of an Artificer is to build, so the work

work of a Christian is in all things to profit his Neighbour. I regard only one thing, this, saith he, only am I concerned for, to do all things to Edification. ^p This is the Conclusion, that nothing should be performed in the Church in vain, saith Ambrose, and that this chiefly is to be laboured after that the unskilfull may profit, that no part of the body may be dark through ignorance. ^q Let all things look to one end, saith Occumenius, whether Psalm, Doctrine, or interpretation, viz. ^q the Edification of the Church.

^p Conclusio
hæc est, ut ni-
hil incassum
in Ecclesia ge-
ratur.
^q Πρὸς τὸ ὁ-
νοδομεῖν τὴν
ἐκκλησίαν.

§ 17. Arg. XVII. But if there be no Interpreter, let him hold his peace in the Church. Let him do this, saith

Theophylact, ^r lest he seem to most a Barbarian, and one that speaks things which cannot be discerned, or understood. Let one Interpret,

^r ἵνα μὴ δοκῇ βάρβαρος εἶναι
καὶ ἀδιανοῦτα φησὶν αὐτῷ τῶν
πολλῶν.

saith Theodoret, for ^s they who are present ought to understand the things spoken, but if there be no Interpreter let him hold his peace, for it is most absurd to use his Gift for ostentation,

^s Χρὴ γὰρ τοὺς παρόντας νοεῖν τὰ
διαλεχόμενα.

and not for profit. Let him be silent, saith St. Chrysostome, ^t for ^t nothing ought to be done in vain, or superfluously, nothing for vain

^t οὐδὲν γὰρ
δὲ ὑπερβολῶς
γινεσθαι.

Glory, for you come not together for this end, that you may show that you have the Gift of Tongues, but that you may edifie the Hearers, as he said in the beginning, Let all things be done to Edification. Let him be silent, saith St. Ambrose, ^u for He ought to speak in the Church, who can profit all. Whence the Argument runs thus:

^u In Ecclesia
enim ille debet
loqui qui om-
nibus proficit.

“ If they who are present at the Church Assemblies ought to understand the things spoken there, and if he who can profit all, ought to be the Speaker in the Church, because the end of his Assembling with them is, that he may edifie the Hearers, then ought he to be the Speaker in the Church Assemblies, who speaks in a Tongue known to all, i. e. who speaketh to them who understand no other, in the vulgar Tongue, since otherwise he cannot profit all, or answer the end of his Assembling with them, nor can they who are present understand the things spoken. Again,

“ If he who speaks in a Tongue not known in the Church, ought to keep silence, lest he seem to most a Barbarian, i. e. one speaking things which are not understood, and because nothing ought to be done in the Church in vain, and because it is

“ absurd to use even the Gift of Tongues where it doth not profit,
 “ then He who reads the Lessons, sings, or prays in *Latin*,
 “ where that language is not understood, ought to be silent,
 “ it being as true of him, as of the person speaking with a
 “ Tongue unknown to the *Corinthians*, that he is a *Barbari-*
 “ an, He speaks in vain, and not unto the profit of his Audi-
 “ tours.

V. 37.

§ 18. Arg. XVIII. If any man be spiritual, or a Prophet, let him know that the things which I write unto you are the Commandments of the Lord. Here he asserts, saith *Ambrose*,
 “ that he speaks not his own sense, but that of
 “ Christ's. That which is the strongest of all he
 “ puts last, saith *Theophylact*, I declaring that
 “ God commands these things by him. “ Let him
 “ know, saith *Oecumenius*, that the things which
 “ I write are the commandments of God, and

“ Hic nihil suum tradere se dicit
 “ sed Domini.

“ Οτι ο Θεός ταύτα ἐπιταῖναι δι-
 “ ἰκεῖ.

“ Ἰστω ὅτι Θεὸς ἐπιτελεῖ αὐ-
 “ τὰς ἐντολὰς.

that I am not moved to speak these things of my self: And if these things thus written by him concerning speaking, pray- ing, singing with a voice plain, and easie to be understood, and so as to instruct others, and as most tendeth to the edi- fication of the Hearers, be the commandment of God, then they who do not observe, but apparently transgress them, must be violaters of the commandment of God. Let us see then what the *Doctours* of the Church of Rome, can say in favour of their Service in the *Latin Tongue*, and to excuse that practice from being a plain violation of these Precepts and Instructions, which is not fully answered, and confuted by these plain De- scants of the *Fathers*: and by the words, or the plain meaning of *St. Paul* in these Expressions. All their Evasions may be reduced to three heads.

1. “ That the *Apostle* speaks not of such Service as is used
 “ in the Church of Rome. Or,

2. “ If what he speaks concerns their Service, yet do they
 “ nothing in it which contradicts the mind of the *Apostle*.
 “ Or,

3. “ That the Case is now so altered, that the Church may
 “ have reason to vary from the Rules of the *Apostle*, and prac-
 “ tise now, in reference to this matter, what in the *Primitive*
 “ Ages of the Church was not convenient to be done. And,

§ 19.

§ 19. 1. Their Answer is, that, the *Apostle* speaks not of the publick and set prayers of the Church, but of extraordinary and spiritual Exercises, of Exhortations, and sudden Prayers. The Prayers and spiritual Exercises, which St. Paul speaks against were Vane. *Lost* extemporal, made in publick Meetings, saith Dr. Vane, according *Sheep. p. 355.* to the present Inspired Devotion of the Speaker, so that the unlearned Hearer, or he that supplied the place of the Clerk, except he understood the Language, and consequently the matter, could not prudently say Amen to it, seeing he knew not whether the thing spoken were good and lawfull, or no, but the Liturgy and Service of the Church hath set Offices for every day, approved by the Church, and therefore from hence a man may be confidently assured, that it is good and lawfull, and therefore he may boldly say Amen.

Ans. 1. Those who have the confidence to say that the *Apostle* speaketh here onely of Exhortations and publick Preaching, are abundantly confuted by what St. Paul, and all these Commentatours have discoursed of Prayer, and of Thanksgiving, v. 14. 15. of the unlearned Person's Incapacity to say Amen, v. 16. of *Psalmody*. v. 26. and from the Suffrage not onely of the forementioned Fathers, but also of *Primasius*, *Haimo*, *Anselm*, *Carthusian*, *Lyra*, *Lombard*, *Aquinas*, and others that the *Apostle* speaks of Divine Offices in General, and in particular of Prayer.

2. Admit that the *Apostle* speaketh of Extemporary, and extraordinary Prayers, yet if the Reasons why he doth condemn them do as much condemn the use of an unknown Tongue in publick ordinary Service, that publick Service must equally deserve to be condemned. Now that this really is so, we have just ground to take for granted, till these our Arguments, applied unto the publick Service of the Church of Rome, are Answered.

3. To that of Dr. Vane I answer, that without doubt the Hearers might be assured that the *Holy Spirit*, who did assist these Persons, would indite for them good Petitions, it being *Blasphemy* to say he would suggest unto them evil ones; since then they prayed with the Spirit, as all then knew, they also knew that the matter of their Prayer was good and lawfull, and yet 'tis added, that they could not say Amen, for want of understanding the Prayer.

4. The

The *Apostle* and these *Commentatours* do not say the unlearned cannot say *Amen*, because they know not whether the thing spoken be good and lawfull, or no; but because they do not understand it, yea in flat contradiction to this vain imagination Saint *Paul* expressly saith, thou givest thanks well, but yet he blames this good Thanksgiving, because others are not edified by it; though therefore *Romanists*, may boldly say *Amen* to what they do not understand; How they can regularly do it is still the question of St. *Paul*, and it is answered in the *Negative* by all the *Commentatours* cited.

Wanc, p. 358.

§ 20 *Answ.* 2. 2. The *R. Doctors* add, " That these *Christian Meetings* were intended for the instruction and Edification of the *Auditours*, therefore it was fit the Exercises thereof should be in a Tongue which they who were to be instructed understood, but the publick *Liturgy* of the Church was instituted for the Service and Praise of God, and therefore may be without unlawfulness in any tongue which he understands to whom it is dedicated. Moreover the end of the Church Meetings here spoken of by the *Apostle*, was to instruct the ignorant, and convert the *Infidels*, as may be gathered from v. 23, 24. but the drift of the Church in appointing *Liturgies*, and set forms of Common Prayer, and readings in the *Mass* was not for the peoples Instruction, but for other Reasons, as,

Elther apud
Dr. White,
p. 374, 375.

1. " That by this publick Service a continual daily Tribute or Homage, of Prayer and Thanksgiving might be offer'd publicly, and paid unto God by his *Priests*.

2. " That *Christians*, by their personal assistance at this publick Service, might profess and exercise exterior Acts of Religion, common with the whole Church, represented by the *Synaxis*, or Ecclesiastical meeting of every *Christian Parish*.

3. " That every *Christian* by his presence yielding consent unto the publick Prayers, Praises, and Thanksgivings of the Church, may participate of the benefits and fruits which the Church doth ordinarily obtain by her *Liturgies*, and publick oblations. Now for these ends there is no need that every one should understand word by word the prayers that are said in the publick *Liturgy*, but it sufficeth that the Church in general, and in particular, *Pastours* and Ecclesiastical Persons dedicated

" dedicated to the Ministries of the Church, have particular notice of all the Prayers that are said.

Rep. 1. The Trent Council confesseth that the Mass containeth Great Instruction of the faithfull people, if then the Church did not institute it for the instruction of them, she doth not answer the purpose for which it is adapted; but rather hinders them from receiving that instruction by locking it up in an unknown Tongue, if it were not intended for the edification of the Auditors, then ought it to be cast out of the Church, where all things, saith St. Paul, are to be done for edification, and we must follow after things whereby we may edifie one another, and where the Fathers Rule is this, *Nihil sit in vobis quod non edificet alterutrum*; Let there be nothing done among you which is not for edification.

2. If the Lessons of Scripture read in the Mass, are not read, or appointed to be read for the Peoples instruction, 'tis plain they are not read or appointed for their proper use; for all Scripture, saith the Apostle, is profitable for Instruction, and whatsoever things were written aforetime, were written for our learning. 'Tis plain, that then they do not follow the Example, nor approve the Wisdom of that God who appointed them to be read for this very end unto the People, that they might hear and learn to do all the words of that Law. Then 3. By the Rules and Sayings of the Holy Fathers, and St. Paul, seeing God speaketh in the Scriptures read in the Mass, he must speak to himself, and not to men, for he that speaks to Men, speaks, saith St. Paul, in a known Language, and speaketh to Edification, Consolation and Instruction, 1 Cor. 14. 3; 4. He must speak into the Air. He must be a Barbarian to his People. He must speak so as not to instruct others all the year long, and in every publick Assembly, which St. Paul saith He would never do, v. 19.

As for the Instances of Prayers and Praises, these Answers do also plainly contradict St. Paul, for he, enquiring what is to be done, and consequently what ought to be intended to be done in publick Prayers and Praises, answers thus to that Enquiry, *I will pray with the Spirit, I will pray with my understanding also*, that is, as I have proved already, *I will so pray*, and praise in publick as to be understood in both, of those that hear me, and that their minds may be instructed touching the matter

matter of my prayer, you may do so if you please, say the R. Doctours but our Church intendeth no such matter in her publick Prayers and Praises.

Again, He that understands not what you say when you pray, or bleſs, cannot say *Amen* to your giving of Thanks, ſaith the Apoſtle, becauſe he underſtands not what you ſay who do officiate. What is that to the purpoſe, ſaith the Romaniſt, the Prayers and Praises of the Church were not intended for the Knowledge of the People, or for their Inſtruction, but onely to be a continual Tribute paid to God by his Priests, if the people yield their bodily preſence, and ſome exteriour Worſhip, tis no matter for their ſaying *Amen*, much leſs for their ſaying it underſtandingly.

Your Action is blame-worthy, though as to the matter you Give thanks well, ſaith the Apoſtle, becauſe another is not edified, or inſtructed by what you ſay. We never intended that he ſhould be inſtructed by our Prayers or Praises ſay the Romaniſts.

Either the People are bound to thank God in publick, and to pray in publick, or they are not, if they are, then muſt they know what they ask in Prayer, and thank God for, or elſe they cannot offer to him rational Service, they cannot ſing praises with underſtanding, as the Pſalmiſt, and all the Ancient Commentatours on him ſay they ſhould, they cannot be the bleſſed people that underſtand the joyfull ſound, if they are not bound to pray, or to thank God in publick, or to concur with him who doth officiate in publick, wherefore then do they meet in publick? to exerciſe exteriour acts of Religion, ſay the R. Doctours, and to conſent unto the publick Prayers and Praises by their preſence. But I deſire to know of them whether any man can join in Prayer or Praises, by exerciſing any other act of outward worſhip, which is neither any act of Prayer or Praise; or what need is there either of Prayer or Praises, in order to the performance of thoſe Acts of Worſhip which may be done as well without the ſpeaking of one word? and if by being preſent they yield conſent to what they do not underſtand, Saints Paul, and all the Fathers who have writ upon that place muſt be exceedingly miſtaken, when for this very reaſon they aſſert that the unlearned cannot ſay *Amen* to the Thankſgiving of him who doth officiate in an unknown Tongue, becauſe he
knows

knows not what he saith, and so cannot consent unto his Prayer or benediction.

And lastly, The *Apostle* and the *Antient Fathers* never argue thus, these Hymns, Prayers, Praises, Prophecys, were intended for *Instruction*, and therefore you doe ill, because you do not answer the intention of them, but they expressly do condemn the practice of using such a Tongue in the performance of them as was not understood, because not being understood, it could not tend unto Edification or instruction, they therefore more undoubtedly condemn the *Mass*, which never was intended for these ends, if these great *Doctours* may be credited, since this Assertion plainly contradicts, and overthrows the whole foundation of the *Apostle's* Argument. And I desire any *R. Doctour* to produce one single *Anchor* within a thousand years of *Christ*, who ever did assert, That the Church Liturgies were not intended for the Instruction or the Edification of the People. It is an evidence their Cause is very bad, who are constrained to advance such strange *Paradoxes* to defend it.

§ 21. " The Languages then spoken were utterly un-Disparity 3.
 " known many times to any man there present, even to the
 " Speaker himself, but the *Lyturgie* of the Church is in a Lan-
 " guage known to very many, as being in *Latin* which is Fisher, *ibid.*
 " known to all *Scholars*, to most Gentlemen, and Youth bred p. 372.
 " in Grammar Schools, and in some Countries to most *Me-* Vane, *ubi su-*
 " *chanicks*, it cannot therefore absolutely be said to be an pra.
 " unknown Tongue.

Ans. To this supposed disparity I answer,

1. That it is very frivolous in reference to all those Prayers which are uttered by the *Mass Priest*, in so low a voice that none can hear him, which, saith the Authour of *Poperie Mis-* p. 61.
represented, is the greatest part of the *Mass*, for as to all these portions of the *Lyturgie*, it is apparently all one to speak to them in a voice that none can know, and in a voice that none can hear.

2. If we may credit their own *Authours*, there was no great disparity in former Ages, either as to *Priest* or People, it be-

K

ing

ing * confessed by them, that many *Mass Priests* understood not *Latin*, nor the things they prayed; yea, of the *Popes* of *Rome* † *Alphonfus à Castro* saith, many were so illiterate that they understood not *Grammar*, and what then was to be expected from the *Mechanicks*, or the *Common People*? And however matters may be now in some other Countreys, we know in our Dominions that in many *Parish Churches* there is scarce one person who understands one Sentence of the *Latin Tongue*. In all such Churches therefore there is no disparity, as to the Hearers, betwixt the Tongue unknown, forbidden by *St. Paul*, and the *Latin Service* used by the *R. Priests*. For what is it to such persons, whether the Priest speaks by *Enthusiasme*, or by *Discipline*, what he perceives not, or whether the Priest understand himself or not, his ignorance of what is said or read being alike in both these Cases.

* De literis verò & doctrina quid loqui attinet, cum Omnes ferè Presbyteros sine aliquo captu aut rerum aut vocabulorum morosè syllabarumque vix legere videamus? quem ergò fructum, quam exauditionem ex suis orationibus siue sibi siue aliis impetrabunt quibus barbarum est quod orant. Nicol. de Clamangis de Corrupto Eccl. Statu, cap. 16.

Multo jam tempore indoluit paternitas tua tantam in Ecclesia Dei invalescere incertiam, atque tam crassam eorum qui sancto ministrant Altari & divinas ex Officio personant laudes ignorantiam, ut Rari admodum inveniantur qui exactè & integrè quæ legunt aut canunt intelligunt, qui eorum quæ ore expromunt sensum capiant aut rectam tentant, percipiantve sententiam. Clichtov. Præfat. Elucid. Eccles.

Non solum qui suppleant locum Idiota plerumque non intelligunt quid oratur, verum etiam sæpenu-mero nec ipsi Presbyteri, aut Diaconi, qui orant aut legunt. Ambros. Compl. apud Sixt. Senens. l. 6. Annos. 263.

† Constat plures Papas adeò illiteratos esse, ut Grammaticam penitus ignorent. Adv. Hæres. l. 2. c. 4. Edit. Paris. 1534.

3. The Plea for *Latin Service* on the account of this disparity is flatly opposite to the *Apostles* words, and to the Comments of the *Fathers* on them, for they distinguish the Congregation into *Idiots*, that is, the *Layman*, the *Plebeian*, the unlearned and unskilfull, the man that onely understands his Mother Tongue, and others that are learned, and blame those Prayers and Praises which are uttered in a Tongue which the unlearned understand not, and therefore cannot say *Amen* to; this consent to, this confirmation of the Prayers and Praises used in publick they are concerned to give, say the *Fathers* on the place, and they receive no small damage if they cannot doe so, saith *St. Chrysostome*, and that they cannot doe it where they do

do not understand the meaning of the words, both the *Apostle* and the *Fathers* do with one voice assert.

4. Is God an Acceptor of Persons? Would he have the learned edified by the *Churches Service*, who have least need of those helps, and the unlearned want this benefit? If not, must it not be acknowledged that the *Apostle's* reason, dictated by the Spirit of God, concerns them both? If profit of the Hearers be to be regarded in these Services, must not the profit of more Hearers be more to be regarded? If St *Paul* here prefer what is done to the profit of many, and is most beneficial, as the *Fathers* generally teach, must he not prefer the celebration of the publick Service in the *Mother Tongue*, by which all may be benefited, before the celebration of it in the *Latin Tongue* by which so many do receive no benefit? Put Case that many Persons in a mixed Congregation understood *Greek*, or *French*, would it be therefore lawfull ordinarily to preach in either of those Tongues to such a Congregation? If not how comes it to be lawfull to reade the *Scriptures* in *Latin* to such Congregations?

To proceed then to their Second Plea, that they doe nothing in contradiction to the *Apostle's* meaning in celebration of their Service in the *Latin Tongue*. This they plead,

§ 22. 1. " Because the Congregation, say they, is edified Vanc p. 356.
" as the *Apostle* appoints it should be, by the things done, and
" said in the *Church*, while the people have but a general un-
" derstanding of the several passages thereof.

Ans. Is this General Understanding a Knowledge of the meaning of the words spoken in reading, singing, praying, or is it not? If it be, then must illiterate persons know the meaning of the words uttered in *Latin* by the *Mass Priest*, as they would doe, had they been spoken in their *Mother Tongue*, which they for shame will not assert; if it be not, it cannot be the Knowledge here required by the *Apostle*. For he requires speaking *with Revelation* in the Church, *speaking words easie to be understood*, v. 9. with a voice whose power or meaning is known unto the Hearer, v. 11. So that he knoweth what the Priest who blesseth saith, v. 16. A voice that may instruct others, v. 19. and where this voice is wanting, he saith

the Speaker cannot profit, *v. 9.* that he will be a *Barbarian*, and one that *speaketh to the air*, that his mind will be *unfruitful* unto others, and he will not edifie them; as if he had designed the Confutation of these *Romish Glosses*. The sayings of the *Ancient Fathers* also are exprefs for a particular knowledge of the meaning of the words read unto the People, and of the Prayers and Praises in which they do join, or to which their assent is to be given, that is, according to their descant, the Prayers and Praises used in the Assemblies where they are.

Fisher, *ibid.*
p. 372.
Vanc, p.

§ 23. *Plea 2.* " Because, say they, means are applied to
" the ignorant multitude, by which they are, or may be, if
" they use diligence therein, made to understand the publick
" Prayers of the Church; namely, Sermons, Exhortations, Ca-
" techisms, private Instructions, Manuals, and Primars in vul-
" gar Languages, where the Prayers used in the Church are
" found, so that the ordinary and common passages of the pub-
" lick Service may be, and are easily understood, even by Wo-
" men and Children, and they may understandingly say *Amen*;
" therefore as the *Apostle* did allow of an unknown Tongue in
" the Exercises of the *Corinthians*, provided there were some
" to interpret it, so the Service in *Latin* is very allowable
" even under this Notion, while there are the aforesaid means
" used for the Interpretation thereof. The *Author* of a *Pa-
pist Misrepresented and Represented*, doth not blush to say, they
" have directions in English Prayer Books set forth in great variety,
" for the help of the Ignorant, by which they are taught the meaning
" of every part, and Ceremony of the Mass, and how to apply their
" Devotions accordingly.

chap. 24.

Answ. *Quid verba audio, cum facta videam?* To what end do you tell us that such and such things might by the due attention of the ignorant be done, when 'tis notoriously known that the people still continue ignorant, and whilst they doe so, you transgress the Laws of the *Apostle*, by praying in a Tongue they understand not? Was it not notorious here in *England* before the Reformation, that scarce two in a *Parish* understood the Service? Let us have Service in a vulgar Tongue, untill you find the *Latin* Service generally understood, and we will cease to charge you with contradicting the *Apostle*.

2. Either

2. Either the diligence required of the Common People imports the learning of the *Latin Tongue*, and then they may also preach in *Latin*, and say the Common People may understand them, if they use diligence therein, or it importeth onely such industry as may be used by those who can onely reade these *English Prayer Books* which give such exact directions, if we may believe them, to understand the meaning of every part and Ceremony in the *Mass*. Now could they by their industry attain unto this knowledge, what must those many thousands, yea Myriads, doe, that know not letters? What will their *Manuals* and *Primras* avail them towards the understanding of their Bulky *Missal*? But that all this is but a blind and vain pretence, is evident from these considerations, that the Translation of the *Mass* into vulgar Tongues is carefully prohibited, and judged of *very evil Consequence, which could not be if it were common for the People to have such *Manuals* and *Primras*, as contained all the Prayers, Hymns and Lessons of the *Mass*, and taught them the meaning of every part and ceremony of it. 2. That they have no such *Manuals* or *Primars* printed in the vulgar Tongue, as will instruct them in the meaning of every Lesson Prayer and Hymn used in their *Mass* on every day throughout the year, that no illiterate *Papists*, or very few amongst us can be produced who by perusing of any of their Books now extant in the vulgar Tongue, can understand, or know the meaning of the *Mass* Priest in every Lesson that he reads, or prayer he makes in a loud voice, that No such Bookes are usually brought into their Assemblies in which the *Mass* there used is so interpreted, that they may reade in their own language what he reads in *Latin*, and join with him as certainly as if he spake in their own *Mother Tongue*. No *English Bibles* in which the *Psalms* and *Lessons*, the *Collects* and *Epistles* may be read with him in that language which they understand. Nor,

3. Can we reasonably expect that they should be concerned either privately to instruct them in, or be importunate with them to use this diligence so requisite to the understanding of their Churches Service, or reprove them for not doing it when we consider what they have already taught us, that the *publick Service* never was intended for the Edification or Instruction of the People, that the words do not belong to them, that 'tis not the business of

*As the seed-plot of Disobedience, Rashness, Sedition, Schism, and many other evils. Bull. Alex. 7. Mi.

Popery Mis-
represented
and Repref.
p. 59, 60, 61,
62.

the Congregation present to employ their Ears in attending to the words, nay, that they are instructed Not to understand them, that it is a thing undeniable, that to say Prayers well, and devoutly, 'tis not necessary to have attention on the words. Can it be thought that they who generally assert these things, should be solicitous to teach the people, or they who read them should be concern'd to learn the sense and meaning of the words used in their publick Service?

Maxima pars hominum cum nunc orat, nescio si Spiritu, tamen mente non orat, nam in lingua orat quam non intelligit; — qui sic, ut passim solent, orant, parum se oratione edificant, & alios nequaquam sua oratione edificare valent. Com in 1 Cor. 14. F. 122. B.

Oprandum videtur ut juxta Apostolicum Mandatum & priscum Ecclesie morem in publicis Ecclesie precibus, Concionibus & Lectionibus quæ populi causa suscipiuntur, populi quoque ratio aliqua habeatur, neque in totum & perpetuo ab omni communione precum & lectionum divinarum fidelium vulgus arceatur. Cass. de Off. pii viri, p. 865. Vide Reliquos infrâ. cap. ult.

** Instruât. Sacerd. l. 2. c. 13. 5, & 6.*

† Prohibemus etiam ne libros veteris Testamenti aut novi Laici permittuntur habere, nisi fortè Psalterium, vel Breviarium pro divinis Officiis, aut horas B. Mariæ aliquis ex devotione habere velit, sed ne promissos libros habeant in vulgari translatione arctissime inhibemus. Concil. Tolos. Can. 14. A. D. 1228.

That this has not been done among them they are even forced to acknowledg; *Faber* complains, that *The greatest part of Men in his time did not pray with the mind, but in a Tongue they understood not, and by which they were not able in the least to edifie others.* They pray in a Tongue, saith *Mercer*, our People do not understand; So as they cannot be understood, saith *Cajetan*. They are kept Strangers from all Communion in the prayers and Divine Lessons, saith *Cassander*. The Priest sounds forth words not understood, saith *Erasmus*. They do their duty when they say their Prayers, though they do not understand, saith * *Tolet*, and were it otherwise, very few would do their duty, when so very few do at all understand what they say; and could it be otherwise in *France* when the Council of *Tholouse* made this Decree † *We forbid to give to the lay people permission to have the books of the Old and the New Testament, except that probably some for devotions sake desire to have the Psaltary, or the Breviary for Divine Service, or the Blessed Virgins Prayer Book, neither are they to have these Books in the vulgar Tongue.*

4. This Plea is grounded upon this supposition, that it is meet and needfull that unlearned persons should be taught the sense of the publick Service by *Catechisms*, *Manuals*, and *private Instruction*, and if so, is it not far more reasonable that the Service should be spoken in a *Vulgar Tongue*, seeing by this all persons present would certainly, and immediately be taught the meaning of the words, whereas these other means

are

are such as will not certainly be used, nor if used, will not certainly produce the same effect, and in most never will produce it; if they in any do produce it, this cannot be done immediately, but by long study and application of mind, so that these Learners must be a long time ignorant of the sense of the *Church Service*, and that must be left to the industry and ingenuity of people, and the instruction of the *Priest* which by the other Method might be certainly obtained.

§ 24. *Plea.* Lastly, Some of them do ingeniously confess, that St. Paul hath here taught, that as well Prayers as Sermons should be celebrated in a Tongue commonly known in the Assemblies of the faithful that the Church may be edified, but then when this Objection stares them in the face, that therefore the Church men do offend who obey not St. Paul, but murmur Prayers and Psalms, neither understood by themselves, nor others. They answer by saying, that * the Church offends

Non peccat Ecclesia discens ab hoc Pauli institutione, sed quum Ecclesia fuerit liberum hanc Pauli sive etiam Dei Institutionem infringere, quæ olim ad utilitatem Ecclesiæ fecerit, nostro autem tempore nihil vel saltem parum adificationis habeat. Hoffmeister in 1 Cor. 14. p. 272.

Repl. This is indeed a full and Catholick Answer to this and to all other Objections that can be urged from Scripture against the Errours and Superstitions of the Roman Church, viz. That She hath Power to Infringe the Constitutions even of God himself, onely it is so like exalting the Church above all that is called God, and not onely breaking the Commandments of God, but also teaching men to doe so, that methinks men who would not be esteemed Antichristian, should not assert such things?

2. Let us consider what are those mighty Reasons which constrain this Church thus to infringe God's Institution: Hoffmeisterus saith, She may doe it, because this Institution anciently tended to the benefit of the Church, but now it hath little of Edification, which indeed is very true, as they have ordered matters by reading much of their Service in a voice so low, that

that the people cannot hear, and other parts of it in such confused and broken words, say *Capitan*, *Albertus Pius*, and *Erasmus*, as that the people cannot understand them; this Apology therefore is too true, but not much for their credit, for in effect 'tis this, we serve God so in publick, that in what language soever we should speak, the people could not understand us, and therefore 'tis no matter in what Tongue we celebrate.

Repl. 2. At this rate of answering farewell all Church Government and Discipline, v. g. when in the same Chapter he saith, *Let all things be done decently, and in order*, and elsewhere, *Let there be no divisions among you*, All these things, may the *Phariseick* say, must be referred to the condition of those times, which is far different from the condition of the Church in our days. But wherein doth this Great disparity consist? *Thomas Aquinas* gives it thus, *Perhaps in the Primitive Church the Benedictions were in the vulgar Tongue, that they might be understood by the People, and that they might the more conform themselves unto them, but since the Faithfull have been instructed, and know the things they bear in the common Office, the Blessings are performed in Latin.* *Lyra* doth in like manner say, that in the Primitive Church the Blessings and all other publick things were done in the vulgar Tongue; but since the Church is multiplied, and the people have accustomed themselves to conform to the Ministers of the Church; it suffices that the Clerk say Amen.

In locum lect.
3. p. 185.

In 1 Cor. 14.

Apud Sixtum
Senenf. l. 6.
Anot. 263.

Repl. These Assertions are confessedly false, as hath been proved already: For never were the people more ignorant than they were in the Age of *Thomas*, and the two following Ages; nor is it to be wondered that they should know so little of those Prayers which many of the *R. Priests* themselves understood not; as will be farther evident from these Confessions: *Not onely they who supply the place of the ignorant understand not for the most part that which is said in the prayer, but even the Priests themselves, or the Deacons that pray, or read, very often understand it not.* So *Ambrosius de Compfa.*

Many

Many, saith Biel, are admitted to officiate, which neither understand the things which they pray or speak. *Many Clerks and Religious Persons, saith Joseph Angles, pray in Greek or Latin, who neither do, nor can understand the sense of the words they utter.* Nor was it to be expected that it should be otherwise in England, when, as *Matthew Paris* testifies, *Clerks and Men in Orders were so illiterate, that he was a mirror among his fellows who had learned Latin.*

In Can. Miss. Lett. 62. F. 171. B. In 4. Sent. ap. ad poenit. d. attent. 95. diff. 2. Chron. in Guilielm. Conq. p. 7.

L C H A P.

C H A P. V.

The CONTENTS.

That the Doctrine of the Fathers of the fourth and fifth Centuries concerning the Repugnance of Publick Service, performed in a Tongue not understood by the People, to the fourteenth Chapter of St. Paul's first Epistle to the Corinthians, continued to be asserted in the following Ages, is proved from Century the 6th to the 9th, by the Novel of Justinian, and the Nomocanon of Photius § 1. Century 9. from the Council of Aquisgrana. The Grant made to the Sclavonians by Pope Nicholas I. and Pope John VIII. and from the Testimony of Amalarius, § 2. Moreover, the same is largely proved from the Commentaries of Primasius, Sedulius, Haymo or Remigius, Anselm, Hugo de S. Victore, the ordinary Gloss, Lyra, Dionysius Carthusianus, Faber Stabulensis, Vatablus, Isidore Brixianus, and Valla.

WHat hath been thus discoursed, gives us a full assurance that all the *H. Fathers* living in the fourth and the fifth Centuries were plainly of the opinion of the *Protestants*, that by the suffrage of the *holy Scriptures*, the Lessons, Prayers and Praises used in the publick Service of the Church, were to be read unto the People in a Tongue understood by them; and that they still continued of the same judgment in the following Centuries will be exceeding evident from their plain testimonies and declarations in reference to this affair.

*Novel 123.
Ed. Holoand.*

*• Jubemus
quod omnes E-
piscopi, pariter*

Cent. 6. § 1. For (1.) In the sixth Century, The Constitution of Justinian is very plain to this effect. • We command that all Bishops and Priests likewise, celebrate the holy Oblation, and the
• Presbyteri, non tacito modo, sed clarâ voce, quæ à populo exaudiatur, sacram oblationem & preces in sancto Baptismate adhibitæ celebrent, quo majore exinde devotione in depromendis Domini Dei laudibus audientium animi efferantur; ita enim & D. Apostolus docet, dicens in 1 ad Cor. Epist.

Epist. Enimvero si solummodo benedicas spiritu, quomodo is qui privati locum implet dicit ad gratiarum actionem tuam Deo ipsum Amen, quandoquidem quid dicas non videt? tu quidem pulchre gratias agis, alter autem non edificatur. Et rursus in Epistola ad Romanos sic inquit, Corde creditur ad justiciam, ore autem fit confessio ad salutem. His igitur de causis convenit ut inter ceteras preces, & ea quæ in sancta oblatione dicuntur, clarâ voce à religiosissimis Episcopis & Presbyteris Domino nostro Jesu Christo Deo nostro cum Patre & Spiritu Sancto proferantur. Scituris religiosissimis Sacerdotibus, quod si quid horum neglexerint, & in horrendo judicio magni Dei & Servatoris nostri Jesu Christi de his rationem reddent, neque nos hæc cognoscentes conquiescimus relinquemusq; inulta. Apud Callandr. Lyrurg. p. 63.

Prayers used in holy Baptism, not silently, but with a clear voice, which may be understood by the faithfull people, that so the minds of the Hearers may be raised with greater devotion to set forth the praises of the Lord God; for so the holy Apostle teacheth saying, 1 Cor. 14. For if thou onely blestest in the Spirit, how shall he who occupieth the place of the Idiot say Amen to God at thy giving of thanks, since he discerns not what thou sayest? thou indeed givest thanks well, but the other is not edified. And again in his Epistle to the Romans he speaks thus, With the heart it is believed to righteousness, and with the mouth confession is made unto Salvation; for these causes it is convenient that, amongst other Prayers, those also which are said in the holy Oblation should be utter'd with a clear voice, by the most religious Bishops and Presbyters to our Lord Jesus Christ, with the Father and the holy Spirit. And let our most religious Priests know, that if they neglect any of these things, they shall both render an account of them in the tremendous judgment of our great God and Saviour Jesus Christ, and that we also, knowing these things, shall not rest, and leave them unpunished. Where note that the Marginal Gloss on the Decretals published A. D. 1553. l. 1. Tit. 31. c. 14. descanting on these words, qui secundum diversitates rituum & linguarum divina illis officia celebrent, saith thus, adde novellam 123. de Ecclesiasticis diversis Capitalis c. 5. § ad hæc, ubi ex D. Pauli doctrina, 1 Cor. 14. jussit Justinianus omnes Episcopos & Presbyteros sacras preces & mysteria clarâ & vernaculâ voce peragere: that is, add to this the 123. Novel of Justinian, where, from the doctrine of St. Paul, 1 Cor. 14. he commands all Bishops and Presbyters to celebrate the holy Prayers and Mysteries in a clear Voice, and in their Mother Tongue. Note secondly, That Justinian was not onely Emperour of Greece, but also of the West, and therefore, as he saith in another of his Laws: ^b We decree this shall obtain not onely in old Rome, and this our Royal City, but also throughout the Christian world,

^b Δία πάντων
ἐν ἡμετέρῳ
παιδίῳ.
Nov. 37.

world, so have we reason to believe that this Law was to be of equal latitude, especially seeing the words run thus, καλούμεν πάντας ἐπισκόπους καὶ πρεσβυτέρους, we command all our Bishops and Priests, without distinction of the Occidental Bishops from the rest. And whereas some of the R. Doctours do endeavour to elude this Testimony, by saying it is not to be found in the ancient Copies of the *Novels*. To this I answer, 1. That it is found in *Photius* his *Nomo Canon*, and cited by him among the publick and common Rules of the *Uniuersal Church* concern-

^c Λέγει ὁ ἐκκλησιαστικὸς ἀρχιεπίσκοπος κοινῶς καὶ τὸ ἀρχιεπισκοπικὸν ὄφειλεν ἔξασθαι τὸ λαὸν.

^d Ἐστὶν καὶ τὸ αὐτὸ τὸ ὅτι καὶ ὁ βασιλεὺς ἔχοντες τέτοις καλέσασθαι πάντας ἐπισκόπους καὶ πρεσβυτέρους μὴ κατὰ τὸ σιωπηλῶς, ἀλλὰ μετὰ φωνῆς τὸ πιστοτάτω λαῷ ἐξακηρύξας, καὶ δεῖαν προκομιδὴν, καὶ ὅτι τὸ ἀρχιεπισκοπικὸν ὄφειλεν ἔξασθαι τὸ λαὸν καὶ τὸν βασιλέα καὶ τοὺς ἀρχιεπίσκοπους καὶ τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους καὶ τοὺς ἐκκλησιαστικούς, καὶ ὅτι καὶ ὁ βασιλεὺς ἔχοντες τέτοις καλέσασθαι πάντας ἐπισκόπους καὶ πρεσβυτέρους μὴ κατὰ τὸ σιωπηλῶς, ἀλλὰ μετὰ φωνῆς τὸ πιστοτάτω λαῷ ἐξακηρύξας, καὶ δεῖαν προκομιδὴν, καὶ ὅτι τὸ ἀρχιεπισκοπικὸν ὄφειλεν ἔξασθαι τὸ λαὸν καὶ τοὺς βασιλέα καὶ τοὺς ἀρχιεπίσκοπους καὶ τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους καὶ τοὺς ἐκκλησιαστικούς. Schol. *ibid*.

ing *Ecclesiastical* matters, and is there summ'd up briefly thus, ^c *The Novel* saith that the Prayer of the H. Communion, and of H. Baptism, ought to be heard by the People. And ^d *Balsamon*, in his notes upon it, saith that it is to be found *Cap. 21. Tit. 1. L. 3. Βασιλικῶν*. 'tis also extant in the Latin Edition of *Holoander*; all which things do unquestionably prove that it is genuine. Let it be then considered, that neither in that, or in the Ages following, to the time of *Photius*, did any Bishop, either of *Rome*, *Constantinople*, or any other place, resist, oppose, or contradict this *Edict*; and then it must be granted, that it is a full evidence, both that the

practice and the Faith of Christians of those times, was consonant unto these sayings of the Emperour, and that they all believed the present practice of the Church of *Rome*, in saying so much of the Canon of the *Mass* in a low voice, and in a language which cannot be understood by the Faithfull, is repugnant to the doctrine of *St. Paul*. Lastly, let it be noted, that this Decree supposes that it was already granted on all hands, that 'twas convenient that all other Prayers should be uttered with a clear voice, and that by virtue of the words of the *Apostle* cited in this *Novel*; witness those words, for those causes it is convenient that among other Prayers, those Also of the Holy Oblation should be uttered with a clear voice, whence it is evident that this *Edict* condemns the whole practice of the *R. Church*, in praying with a Tongue unknown.

§ 2. In the 9th Century a Council held at *Aquisgrana* under *Cent. 9.*
Ludovicus Pius, A. D. 816. declares, that

the mind of those who sing in the Church to the Lord, ought to agree with their voice, that the saying of the Apostle might be fulfilled, I will sing with the Spirit, I will sing with understanding also. Moreover this, say they, must be done be-

Psallentium in Ecclesia Domino mens concordare debet cum voce, ut impleatur illud Apostoli, psallam spiritu, psallam & mente. c. 132.

cause the Angels are present when we are specially employed in Divine Service, that is, when entering into the Church, we either give attention to the Sacred Lessons, or are employed in *Psalmody*, or Prayer, or celebrate the Solemnities of *Mass*; and in the following Canon, *Let such*, say they, be constituted Readers and Singers in the Church, who by the sweetness of their reading, and Melody, may both allure the learned, and instruct the unlearned; and in their reading and singing, may more regard the edification of the people, than vain and popular soothing, and they who cannot dexterously perform these things, let them first be instructed of their Masters to perform them, and being instructed, so fulfill them, as to edify the Hearers. Note that this Council is stiled a General and Holy Assembly.

Tales ad legendum, cantandum & psallendum in Ecclesia constituantur, qui suavitatem melodiarum & lectionis & doctos demulceant, & minus doctos erudiant; plisque velint in lectione vel cantu populi edificationem, quam popularem vanissimam adulationem. C. 133. Concil. To. 7. p. 1398.

About the Year 860. *Aeneas Sylvius*, who afterward was Pope, relateth in his *History of Bohemia*, that *Cyril*, Bishop of *Moravia*, being at Rome, with Pope Nicholas the 1st. desired of him that he might be suffered to say Divine Service to those of that Nation whom he had converted and baptised, in the *Sclavonian* Tongue; and that when the matter was disputed in the sacred Synod, and contradicted by not a few, a voice was heard, as it were sent from Heaven, let every Spirit praise the Lord, and every tongue confess unto him; upon which *Cyril* was granted his request.

Ferum Cyrilum (Moravorum presulem) dum Roma ageret, supplicasse ut Sclavorum lingua ejus gentis hominibus, quos

baptizaverat, rem divinam agens, uti posset; de qua re, dum in sacro Senatu disputaretur, essentque non pauci contradictores, auditam vocem tanquam de caelo in haec verba missam, Omnis Spiritus laudet Dominum, & omnis lingua confiteatur ei, indeque hoc Cyrillo indultum. *Aeneas Sylvius, Hist. Bohem. c. 13.*

Methodius was Companion to *Cyril* in this work, and he was sent by *Sphento Pulcher*, Prince of that Countrey, to *John* the

Concil. To. 7. part 1. Ep. 247. p. 91.

the 8th. who sent him back with power to celebrate Mass, and Divine Service in the Sclavonian Tongue; for thus John in his Letter to Spheuto Pulcher writes. We have just cause to commend the Sclavonian Characters invented by a certain Philosopher called Constantine, whereby the Praises of God are published abroad, and we command that in that same language be recited the Sermons, and Works of our Lord and Saviour.

^b Neque enim tribus tantum, sed omnibus linguis laudare Dominum auctoritate Sacra monemur, quæ præcipit dicens, Laudate Dominum omnes Gentes, & Collaudate eum omnes populi. Et Apostoli repleti Spiritu Sancto loquuti sunt omnibus linguis magnalia Dei. Hinc & Paulus cælestis quoque tuba insonat monens, omnis lingua confiteatur, quia Dominus noster Jesus Christus in Gloria est Dei Patris. De quibus etiam linguis in prima ad Corinthios Epistola satis & manifestè nos admonet, quatenus linguis loquentes Ecclesiam Dei adificemus, nec sane fidei vel doctrinæ aliquid obstat si missæ in eadem Sclavonica lingua canere, siue S. Evangelium vel lectiones divinas N. & V. Testamenti bene translata & interpretatas legere, quoniam qui fecit tres linguas principales, Hebraeam scilicet Græcam & Latinam, ipse creavit & alias omnes ad laudem & Gloriam suam. Joh. 8. Ep. 247. Concil. To. 9. F. 177.

^b for we are warned by divine Authority to praise the Lord, not onely in three Languages, but in all, which Authority enjoins us this commandment, when it saith, All Nations praise the Lord, and all people bless his name. And the Apostles, being filled with the Holy Ghost, spake forth in all languages the wonderfull things of God. Thence also it is, that St. Paul, that heavenly Trumpet, publisheth this warning, Let every Tongue confess that our Lord Jesus is the Christ, to the Glory of God the Father. Touching which languages he also instructeth us fully and plainly in the 14th. Chapter of the 1st. Epistle to the Corinthians, how we are to edifie the Church in speaking several languages, and certainly it doth no way prejudice the Faith or Doctrine to sing Masses in the Sclavonian Tongue, or to read the Holy Gospels, or Divine Lessons of the old and new Testament well translated and interpreted, or to say, or sing all the other Offices, because he who made the three principal languages,

Hebrew, Greek, and Latin, is the same who hath also created all other languages for his praise and glory. However we appoint that in all Churches under your inspection, for the greater honour, the Gospel be read in Latin, and because 'tis translated into Sclavonian, that it be read to the People who understand not Latin, as it is practised in some Churches.

ⁱ Utroque nomine, id est benedictionis & orationis, vocatur oratio Sacerdotis; de benedictione dicit Apostolus, si benedixeris spiritu, qui supplet locum Idiotæ quomodo dicit Amen

The Prayer of the Priest, saith Amalarius Fortunatusⁱ, is called both by the name of Prayer and Benediction; of Benediction the Apostle saith, if thou bless with the Spirit, how shall he who supplieth the place of the Idiot say

say Amen to thy benediction, seeing he knows not what thou sayest. This Benediction Ambrose calleth Prayer, saying, the unskilfull hearing what he doth not understand, knows not the end of the Prayer, and answers not Amen, that is true, that the Benediction may be confirmed, for the confirmation of the Prayer is fulfilled by them who answer Amen. And to the same effect he cites a large passage from * S. Cyprian's Discourse on the Lord's Prayer, which I have formerly made use of. In his second Book and 8th Chapter he saith, *Esdra*s will teach us how we ought to pray, for it is said, ^k they read in the Book of the Law distinctly, and plainly to be understood; the Bishop in his Oration to the Reader repeats the words of this Scribe, informing him, that he may be apt by continual reading to pronounce the words of life, ^l and by distinction of the voice and mind, shew things intelligible to the people.

super tuam benedictionem; quia nescit quid dicas? Hanc benedictionem vocat Ambrosius orationem, dicens, Imperitus enim audiens quod non intelligit, nescit finem Orationis, & non respondet Amen; id est verum, ut confirmetur benedictio, per hos enim impletur confirmatio precis, qui respondent Amen. De Eccles. Officiis l. 3. c. 9. Ed. Hittorp. p. 132.

* *Ibid.* c. 19.

^k Distinctè & aperè ad intelligendum.

^l Et mentis, & vocis distinctione populo monstrare intelligibilia.

§ 3. Besides these *Authors* who have occasionally delivered their opinion of this matter in full conformity to the Doctrine of the Church of England, and so as plainly to condemn the present practise of the Church of Rome. The *Latin Commentatours*, who have writ upon the 14th Chapter of the first Epistle to the *Corinthians*, from the time of *Ambrose*, to the 14th Century, do with equal plainness of Speech, and evidence of Reason, declare that the *Apostle* condemns reading, singing or praying in the publick Service in a Tongue not understood by the people, as the *Greek Commentatours* which I have produced already; as will be evident from their plain Discourses on that Chapter. And,

1. Desire Spiritual Gifts, but rather that you may prophesie, [i. e. may plainly expound the Prophets, and other Books of the Old and New Testament, Haymo. That you may preach, Serdulus. That you may expound the Scriptures to the edification of others, Primasius and Hugo.

V. 2. For he that speaketh in a Tongue [unknown, or foreign, Sedul. Haymo, Hugo, Gloss. Dionys. Carth. Anselm. In obscurity of Speech, Haymo. In a Tongue not perfectly explained or understood, Lyra] speaketh not to men, [not to the understanding of men, because his voice is Barbarous, and they understand it not, Sed. Haym. Anf. Gloss. Not to the Instruction or the profit of men, Carth. Lyr.] for no man understandeth him, [none of the Standers by know what he saith, Haym. and so no body receives profit by it, Anf. None hears with the hearing of the Heart, Carth. or understands the inward Sense of the Words, Lyra.

Note. Hence evident it is, that they held generally that all Speech in Reading, Singing, Praying to, or with others, must be unprofitable and barbarous to those Standers by who did not understand the sense and meaning of the words, and to whom they were not explained, or in the words of Hugo, *Inutiles esse linguas sine manifestatione.*

Note 2. The Apostle reasons thus, saith Lyra, That which tends to the honour of God, and the benefit of our Neighbour too, is better than that which tends to the Honour of God onely. And again, that by which we profit our selves and others, is better than that by which we profit our selves onely, but by using Tongues not perfectly understood, nor explained, (and consequently by using of the Latin Tongue in the Mass where it is not perfectly understood, nor explain'd) we onely doe that which tends to the honour of God, and the benefit of our selves, by using Prophecy, or a Tongue known to the Hearers, we may both honour God, profit our selves, and benefit our Neighbour; Ergo, the using of the vulgar Tongue in the Mass is better.

§ 4. V. 3. But he that prophesieth, [i. e. who in plain words expounds the Prophets, or the Scriptures, Prim. Haym. Carth. Lyr. Gloss.] speaketh unto Men [to the profit of them, Carth.] for edification, [of the mind, or understanding, persuading the things which belong to faith, Sed. Haym. Anf. And instructing the Novices in it, Hugo, Gloss. Carth. Because they understand what the Teacher saith, and learn the solution of Questions, Haym. Hugo,] for exhortation, [of grown persons to good works,

Works, Ans. Hugo, Gloss. Carth.] for consolation, [which they receive from words uttered in a Tongue known by the promise of future blessings, and by the comforts they administer against the troubles of this present life, Sed. Haym. Ans. For the comfort of the sad and desolate, Hugo. Gloss. Carth.]

V. 4. He that speaketh in an unknown Tongue edifieth himself [alone by what he speaketh, and not others, because He onely understands what is spoken, Prim. Sed. Haym. Ans. Carth.] but he that prophesieth, edifieth the Church. [All, even the whole Congregation of the Faithfull, by speaking to their profit, or things which they all understand, Prim. Sed. Haym. Ans. Lyr.]

Note Here, that two things are plainly taught by these Expositours.

1. That he who speaketh in the Church what is not understood by others, speaketh not to their edification, whereas he who speaketh to them in plain words, speaks to the profit of all, by speaking things which tend to their edification in the Faith, to exhort them to good works, to comfort them in time of tribulation.

§ 5. V. 5. I would that ye all spake with Tongues, but rather that ye prophesied, [i. e. plainly expounded the obscure places of the Scripture, Haym. Carth. because this is more profitable, or profits more, Ans. Hugo, Gloss. Lyr.] for greater is he that prophesieth, than he that speaketh with Tongues, [He is more worthy, and spiriually more perfect, because he profits more, which is a work of Charity, for that is greater which is more profitable, Sed. Prim. Ans. Gloss. Carth. and because a Tongue profits not, but Prophecie doth, Gloss.] except he interpret, that the Church may receive edifying [by his interpretation; i. e. unless he be able to expound what he saith, or speak that plainly which he had uttered in a Tongue unknown, Haym. Ans. Gloss. Carth.] Where

Note, How they all agree in that General Axiom of Haym and Anselm, *Hoc majus est quod pluribus prodest*, that is greater, more worthy, more spiriually perfect, more charitable which profits the most. Having therefore in their Descants on the

M

fore-

foregoing verse declared, that *He who useth plain words in what he speaketh to the Congregation of the Faithfull profits most*, they must have taught us, that he is greatest, and most worthy to be employed in the whole Service of the Church. And having also declared, that *He who speaks not in the Church words understood, profits not, or doth not edifie the Church*, they must condemn his manner of officiating in her publick Service.

§ 6. K. 6. Now Brethren, if I come unto you, speaking with tongues, what shall I profit you, [*by thus speaking, nothing at all, seeing you know not what I say, you can onely admire, but cannot profit by me*, Prim. Ans. Hugo, Gloss. Lyra.] except I shall speak to you either by Revelation, [*by interpretation, and plain words, or by explaining of my words*, Prim. Haym. Ans. Gloss.] or by knowledge, [*expounding the things which concerneth you to know, and which clear up the faith*, Gloss. Carth.] or by prophesying, [*expounding, and manifesting things obscure*, Prim. Ans. Gloss. Carth.] or by doctrine, [*i. e. by plain Historical Narration, declaring the things which concern faith, or the information of our manners*, Prim. Ans. Carth. Gloss.

Note 1. Here then we learn that, in their judgment, then onely can the Church be profited by the Officiatour, When he speaks plain things, the words of *Primasius* being in effect the descant of them all, *tunc vobis prodero si interpreter, aut plana loquar, aut prophetias exponam, aut moralia doceam*, then shall I profit you, when I interpret, or speak plain things, or expound Prophecies, or teach Morality.

Note 2. That they here generally say, that the *Apostle* by proposing his own example, dehorts the *Corinthians* from seeking that which profits not the Church, as if it were some great thing, Prim. Sedi. Ans. Lyra. And that then he proceeds to discourse thus, *viz. that which I have proved by my own example, who am a reasonable Creature, viz. that tongues without interpretation profit not the Church, is also evident from things inanimate*, Ans. Hugo, Gloss. Carth. Lyra.

§ 7. V. 7. For even things without life giving sound, whether Pipe, or Harp, except they give a distinction in the sound, [i. e. distinct, intelligible sounds, Ans. Hugo, Gloss.] how shall it be known what is piped or harped. [how shall we discern or judge of it. Gloss. Carth.]

Note. On this verse some of them descant thus: *As it is with that Pipe and Harp which giveth no distinct intelligible sound, and so cannot be understood, so will it be with the voice of the Teacher, or Preacher, if they understand not the things spoken, it will afford no benefit, it will much more be rejected as vain and superfluous; whereas the spiritual sweetness of it should be such as gratifies not the Ear (onely,) but the mind, Prim. Haym. Ans.*

§ 8. V. 8. For if the Trumpet give an uncertain sound, [which doth not plainly signifie War, doth not determine whether we are called to War, or to a Feast, as the Jews were by Trumpets, Prim. Haym. Ans. Gloss. Carth. A sound not to be understood, Hugo,] who shall prepare himself to the Battel? [i. e. So must your voice be understood, that it may prepare the Souldiers of Christ to their Spiritual Warfare, Prim. Ans. Haym.] For how can the Hearer understand the Teacher, speaking in a Tongue unknown or obscure, Haym. The Pipe morally imports the Doctrine of the Holy Scripture, which excites us to fight against the Devil, and is elsewhere compared to a Trumpet, and if this Trumpet give not an intelligible sound, who shall prepare himself to the Spiritual Warfare? For as St. Austin saith in his Book of Christian Doctrine, what profits the integrity of Speech, if it do not edifie the Hearer, for we speak to no purpose, if we be not understood, Hugo.

V. 9. So likewise you, except you utter by the Tongue words easie to be understood, [unless your speech be manifest to the Hearers, Ans. Carth. Lyra,] how shall it be known what is spoken? [the hearers will not understand the sense of your words, Ans. Haym. Gloss. Carth.] For you shall speak into the Air, [in vain, so as to profit no body, Prim. Sed. Haym. Ans. Gloss. Hugo, Carth. Lyra.] You will utter an empty sound which may reach the Ears, but not the Hearts of the Hear-

ners, and so your words will not be profitable, but vain and idle, Ans. Gloss. Carth. Faber.]

V. 10, 11. There are it may be so many kinds of voices in the world, and none of them are without signification, therefore if I know not the meaning of the voice, I shall be to him that speaketh a Barbarian, and He that speaketh shall be a Barbarian unto me, [for all Speech that is not understood is barbarous to the Hearer, Prim. Sed. Carth. I shall not be understood by him to whom I speak, and whom I ought by speaking to instruct, because I cannot interpret the meaning of my words unto him, Ans. Hugo, Gloss. Carth.]

V. 12. Even so ye, forasmuch as you are zealous of Spiritual Gifts, seek, that you may excel to the Edification of the Church, [that is, that you may not be Barbarians to one another, as you will be if you speak with Tongues which you do not interpret, Ans. Hugo, Gloss. Lyra. Seek that you may intepret, and explain your words, that you may be fruitfull to others, and may co-operate to their Salvation, which is to Edifie the Church, Haym. Hugo, Gloss. Carth. Lyra.] Here therefore,

Note 1. That they expressly teach, that unless the Speech of the Officiatour, or Reader in the Church, be manifest to the Hearers, so that they understand the sense of his words, He will speak in vain, so as to profit no body, affect the Hearts of none, yea, so as to be to them a Barbarian. And consequently, that the Mass-Priest is a Barbarian, a vain unprofitable Talker to all that do not understand him. For, *Nemo edificatur audiendo quod non intelligit*, No man is edified, saith Anselm, by hearing what he doth not understand. Hence Hugo here complains, that Many Preachers, and Doctours, who by Countrey are not so, make themselves Barbarians by seeking out subtile and hard words, and tells them, that as the building of the Tower of Babel was hindred by this, that the builders understood not one the other, so the Edification of the Church is hindred by such as they, and that God therefore saith to Ezekiel, I send ye not to a people of a strange Speech, and unknown Tongue, because he would be to them unprofitable.

Note

Note 2. That to avoid being thus *Barbarous*, and unprofitable, they ought to use words manifest, and easie to be understood, which may instruct the Hearer, or to interpret and explain what they had uttered in a Tongue obscure, that so it might be fruitfull to the Edification and Salvation of the Hearer. For saith St. *Anselm*, *Quid vobis prodero, nisi lingua accesserit intellectus, ut non Spiritu tantum, sed etiam mente agatur quod agitur?* What shall I profit you, unless you understand my Tongue, that what is done, may be done not onely with the Spirit, but the understanding also.

§ 9 V. 13. Wherefore let him that speaketh in a Tongue [*Obscure and unknown*, Haym.] pray that he may interpret [*let him speak so, that what he speaks may be understood*, Prim. Sed. Let him pray that God would give him the Gift of Interpretation also, that he may plainly understand, and evidently propound to others what he speaks, Gloss. Lyra.]

V. 14. For if I pray in a Tongue [*unknown, or in obscurity of Speech*, Haym.] my Spirit prayeth, [*my spiritual Gift*, Gloss. Hugo,] but my understanding is unfruitfull, [*to me, because I understand not what I say*, Prim. Sed. Haym. And if it be unprofitable to speak what is unknown to my own Mind, how much more to speak what is unknown to the Hearers? Sed. My Mind is unfruitfull, as the effect shews, for hence nothing is done, no knowledge wrought in the Hearers, Hugo.]

V. 15. What is it then? [*Since what is thus spoken is unfruitfull, what is to be done? what is profitable? what is necessary?* Haym. Ans. Hugo, Gloss. Carth. Lyra.] I will pray with the Spirit, I will pray with my understanding also. [*So as that I may make known my mind to others*, Sed. Hugo. Because these things are to be spoken, which may profitably be brought forth and manifestly understood, Haym. Nor doth it suffice that a man speak with the Spirit in a strange Tongue, unless the mind and understanding be added, that He may know himself, and unfold, to others what he saith, Sed.] I will sing with the Spirit, I will sing with the understanding also. [*So as what I spiritually understand, I may explain to others, and they may also understand it*, for so the Apostle doth admonish us to doe, Eph. 5. Col. 3. teaching and admonishing our selves in Psalms, and Hymns, and Spirituall Songs

Songs, singing with Grace in our hearts to the Lord, Hugo. He that speaketh with a Tongue, saith Valla, let him pray God that what he prays in one Tongue, he may interpret in their Tongue to whom he speaks, that so his mind may not be without fruit, but may edifie others by instructing them, and then that will be done which follows, I will pray with the Spirit, — and the Understanding also; i. e. that I may be understood of them that hear me, I will sing — with the understanding, that is, so that I may perceive I am understood by those that hear me.] where,

Note 1. That according to these Descants, When we speak or reade in publick, those things are onely to be read or spoken which may be understood by others, and which may plainly and evidently be propounded to them, and that what is not spoken thus, becomes unprofitable. For it is read in Nehemiah, saith Hugo, that Esdras brought the Book of the Law, and read in it distinctly and plainly; and to Esaiah it is said, take to thy self a Book, and write in it with the stile of a man; that is, a plain and common stile. And therefore Christ speaks without difficulty in the Gospel; and the Doctrine of Wisemen is easie, saith the Preacher. Whereas to our Preachers we may say, as God to Job, Who is this that darkeneth Counsel by words without Knowledge?

Neh. 8.

Esa. 8.

Job 38. 2.

Note 2. That what is thus to be observed in reading and preaching of the word, is in like manner to be observed in praying, and in singing, it is profitable, yea, it is necessary to pray and sing in publick, so as to be understood of them who hear: This the Apostle here concludes is to be done. The Apostle, saith Hugo, expounds this of prayer to God, and saith, that when my Spirit prays onely, it hath no devotion, and my mind is without Fruit; for, as Gregory saith, what profits the noise of the mouth, where the heart is mute? The Apostle, saith Lyra, shews the same thing of Prayer, which he had done of preaching, both as to private and to publick Prayer, and as to the first he argues thus, That Prayer which refresheth the understanding, and affections, is better than that which onely refresheth the affections, so is it in this case; yea so, say I, also is it in the Case of publick Prayer, for when it is performed in a Tongue understood by the people it refresheth both
their

their understandings and affections, which to be sure it doth not when it is uttered in a Tongue unknown, and therefore Prayer in a Tongue known to them is better. *Faber Stapulensis* saith, the greatest part of men, when they now pray, I know not whether they do it in the Spirit, yet with the mind they do not doe it; for they pray in a Tongue they understand not: and yet Paul doth especially prove that the faithfull should pray with the Spirit, and the mind, and they who pray, as customarily they are wont to doe, they do little edifie themselves, and are not able in the least to edifie others with their Speech; wherefore it were better that they should speak five words in Prayer, both with the Spirit and the mind, that they might edifie themselves and others, than to pray with ten thousand of such words: They who pray thus are both in mind and reason Children, in which part it becomes them not to be so; for the Apostle doth subjoin, Brethren, be ye not Children in understanding.

§ 10. V. 16. Else when thou shalt blest with the Spirit, [i. e. when thou, the Priest or Bishop, speakest to thy hearers in a Tongue unknown, or without that interpretation which will make thy words clear, Sed. Haymo, Ans. Hugo, Gloss. Lyra, Carth.] how shall he that occupieth the room of the unlearned, [who knoweth onely his Mother Tongue, in which he was born, as is proper to the Hearers, the rude, illiterate, the simple and unintelligent people, which stands by thee whilst thou celebratest the mystery of the Mass, makest an oration, or givest a blessing, Sed. Haym. Ans. Hugo, Gloss. Carth. Lyra.] how shall he say Amen at thy giving of thanks, [he can by no means doe it, he cannot with attention add the word of consent and desire to thy benediction. He not knowing the end of thy prayer, knows not how to conform himself to thee, who art the Minister by pronouncing that Amen, which is the word of confirmation and consent, by which the prayer is completed, Sed. Haym. Ans. Gloss. Lyra, Carth.] seeing he understands not what thou sayest? [He perceives not the sense of thy benediction, nor the close of thy Prayer, Haym. Carth.]

V. 17. For thou indeed givest thanks well; [to God in a tongue unknown, Sed. Haym. Gloss. Carth.] but the other [who knoweth not what thou sayest, Haym.] is not edified. [he is not affected with, he is not profited, or instructed by thy words which he understands not, Ans. Carth.] Here therefore,

Notes

Note 1. In the General, that from these Descants, we are sufficiently instructed that the simple and unlearned people cannot be edified or instructed by the *Mass* said in *Latin*, nor can they say *Amen* unto the Prayers, and Blessings, or Thanksgivings used in it.

Note 2. That many of these Commentatours give particular Descants on these verses wholly repugnant to this practice of the Church of *Rome*. As, v. g. The inference of *Anselm* from them is this, *Therefore when you come together in the Church for edification, those things ought to be spoken in the Church which are understood of all, and afford edification to the hearers. He therefore that speaks in an unknown Tongue, ought to hold his peace in the Church, that they may speak who can profit the Hearers.*

The Apostle, saith *Hugo*, proves the same by another example, if the Respondent doth not understand the Opponent, he cannot answer him, and so it is here; for in like manner they who are instructed in the Church, if they be instructed by him who speaks in Tongues, and knows not how to interpret, they cannot answer, It is true; and therefore if there be not an Interpreter, the Apostle bids him who hath the Gift of Tongues keep silence in the Church, where nothing is to be done, but to edification. And whereas it is said, *Another is not edified*, this he represents as contrary to those words of *St. Paul*, *Let your Speech be such as is good for edification, that it may minister Grace to the Hearer*; and to the same purpose he alleadgeth that of the Psalmist, *Sing ye praises with understanding.*

Lyra adds, that the Apostle here discourseth of publick Prayer, declaring, that if the people understand the Prayer and benediction of the Priest, they are better reduced unto God, and more devoutly say *Amen*, than otherwise they profit nothing, or very little. I confess he adds, I suppose by way of excuse of the *Latin* Service used then, that *Now* that the people have accustomed themselves to conform to the Ministers of the Church, it suffices that the Clerk say *Amen*. *Ans.* Doe they this with understanding or without it? If still without understanding, the reason still remains for doing all Offices in the vulgar Tongue, if with it, then must they understand the words in which the Priest officiates

ficiates, and so the Tongue in which he speaks is not an unknown Tongue.

§ 11. V. 18. And that you may not think I speak this to disparage the Gift I have not, Prim. Sed. Haym. Gloss.] I thank my God, I speak with Tongues more than you all.

V. 19. Yet in the Church [*in the Assembly of the Faithfull*, Haym. Carth.] I had rather speak five words with my understanding, that I might teach others also, [*instructing them in Faith and manners*, Gloss] than ten thousand words in a Tongue. [*unknown, or in obscurity of Speech*, Haym.] where,

Note 1. That some of these Commentatours do inform us, that the Apostle here propounds himself for an example, who knew all Tongues, and yet when he spake to the French, He spake onely in the French Tongue, and so to others, Hugo. By his own example he teacheth them not to speak publickly in Tongues which do not edifie, Gloss.]

Note 2. That they summ up his Discourse thus, few words which profit, are better than many which do not profit, few words plainly spoken, than many in obscurity, Prim. Sed. Haym. that is, few words in the Assemblies of the Faithfull spoken in the vulgar Tongue, before many uttered in Latin, where it is not understood.

§ 12. V. 20. My Brethren be not Children in understanding, but men. [*Not Children, as they are who affect to speak obscurely, for it is Childish to affect to speak with Tongues, in which is some delight, but no profit, unless they be interpreted*, Sed. Haym. Anf. Be not like Children, preferring a less before a greater good, Tongues before Prophecie, Hugo, Lyra.] howbeit in malice be ye Children but in understanding men, [*such as perfectly understand what they speak, that they may instruct others, and know what is necessary to the instruction of the Church*, Haymo, Anf. Gloss. Lyra. Now hence,

Note, That the inference of Faber, viz. that they who pray in a Tongue by which they do not edifie others, (as the Mass-Priests do,) act like Children, and that to celebrate the Mass in a

N

Tongue

Tongue known to all, would be to act like Men, is an inference which clearly follow from the Descants of these Commentatours: and much more do they act like Children, who thus read the *Scriptures*.

§ 13. *V.* 21, 22. In the Law it is written, that in other Tongues, and other Lips will I speak unto this People; and yet for all that will they not hear me, saith the Lord. Wherefore Tongues are for a sign not to them that believe, but to them that believe not; but Prophefying serveth not for them that believe not, but for them that believe. [*It is, saith Vatablus, as if he should have said, let not such men speak in the Church, who speak with strange Tongues, which they do not interpret; for it would be a sign that you are not beloved of God, who is wont to send such Prophets to an unbelieving People, whom he would not have to understand the truth, and know the Holy Scriptures; and this whole Passage is transcribed by Isidore Brixianus, and put into his Comment on the place. It is dealing with the Church, say others, as God deals with perverse and wicked men, to whom he speaks obscurely, because he would not have holy things given to Dogs; and as he dealt with the wicked Jews, to whom he spake in Parables, lest bearing they should understand, and to shew that they were unworthy to know the secrets of God, Ans. Hugo, Gloss. Lyra, Carth. The reason why they ought not to seek Tongues, say they, is because they are for a sign to Unbelievers, which you are not, Ans. Gloss. Carth. And because Tongues are for a sign, not for Edification, whereas Prophetia, plain and open truth, without veil and obscurity, belongs to the Faithfull, Gloss. And because now there can be no need of Tongues, when the Faithfull are many, and every Tongue hath a Doctor of its own.*] So that according to these Glosses the Faithfull in the R. Church are not onely deprived of the privileges of Believers, and of Edification, but are dealt with as men not beloved of God, men whom God would not have to understand the Truth, as perverse and wicked Men, and Dogs before whom God will not have his Pearls to be cast, as Men unworthy to know the Secrets of God, and even as Unbelievers.

§ 14. *V.* 23. If therefore the whole Church be come together into one place, and all speak with Tongues, will they not say,

say, that you are mad? [*because you speak confusedly, not understanding one the other, and because they know not what you say; will you not be ridiculous to them whom you ought to instruct, when they find that your Speech profits none?* Ans. Hugo, Carth. Lyra.] And here let any reasonable person consider, whether there be not the same Reason for any *Idiot* hearing *Mass* in a Tongue unknown to say they are mad?

§ 15. V. 26. How is it then Brethren, [*what is to be done, Sed. Carth. For he now begins to determine how Tongues and Prophecies must be used.*] Every one hath a Psalm, [*to the praise of God, Ans. Gloss. Lyr.*] when you come together, [*in the Church, where you ought to work for the Edification of the Hearers, Ans.*] Let all things be done to Edification. [*The Conclusion is this, that nothing be done in the Church in vain, but rather that all labour, that the unskilfull may profit, for every one ought to improve the Grace given to him for the Edification of the Church, and so speak in it, that there be nothing in him which may not edifie another, Ans. Hugo, Carth. Lyra.*]

V. 28. If there be no Interpreter, let him keep silence in the Church, [*because he edifieth none, and in the Church nothing is to be done but to Edification, no word is to be heard, which doth not edifie, Ans. Hugo, Gloss.*] And let any reasonable person judge, whether these Commentatours, who have declared so fully on the former Verse, that *there can be no Edification by words not understood by the Hearer*, could judge that they comply with this Rule of *doing nothing in the Church but what doth answer to that end*, who celebrate the whole *Mass* in a Tongue unknown to almost all the *Hearers*.

These things being considered, let any reasonable person judge whether the Authour of the *History of the Trent Council*, had not just cause to say, *He that would know what Language is to be used in the Church, needeth onely, without any more discourse, read the 14th Chapter of St. Paul, in the 1. to the Corinthians, which will sufficiently inform him, though his mind be never so much prepossessed with a contrary opinion.* And whether Mercer had not cause to add, *they amongst us have done rashly, Com. in Eccles. who brought in the custome of praying in an unknown Tongue. Whe-* 5. v. 1.
ther Cajetan had not ground to say, that from this Doctrine of

St. Paul 'tis proved that it is better for the Edification of the Church, that publick Prayers, which are said in the hearing of the People, should be said in a Tongue common to the Priest and People, rather than in Latin. And that hence we ought to learn, that it is more eligible that the Divine Offices, Canonical Hours and Masses, should be said intelligibly, — than so as that they cannot be understood. And whether he had not cause to say, when he was reprehended for this plainness, that *He founded his Doctrine upon St. Paul*. And lastly, Whether *Erasmus* did not rationally ask, *Cur dubitat Ecclesia tantum Authorem sequi? Imò, cur audet ab eo dissentire?* Why doth the Church doubt to follow so great an Authour (as *St. Paul?*) Yea, how dares she to dissent from him?

To. 3. Opuse.
ir. 15.

In 1 Cor. 14.

C H A P.

CHAP. VI.

The CONTENTS.

Containing Arguments from Reason against the Latin Service used in the Church of Rome, viz. 1 That it renders the voice of the Mass-Priest useleſs to all who do not underſtand it, and makes it equal to them whether a Dumb Priest do Maſs, or He that hath the moſt audible voice. §. 1. 2. That it is contrary to the very end of ſpeech which is to ſignify ſomething to the minds of thoſe to whom we ſpeak. §. 2. 3. That it renders the Service no more beneficial to the Idiot preſent at it, then to him who is abſent, and conſenteth to the prayers of the Church in General. §. 3. Saying Maſs in a low voice that cannot be heard is contrary to Scripture, Reason, and Antiquity, Ibid. 4. This Latin Service uſed to them who do not underſtand it, is repugnant to the nature of Prayer in general, and to the ends of Prayer vocal and publick in particular. §. 4. The ſame is proved of Latin Hymns and psalmody. §. 5. It is highly unreaſonable to read the Scriptures in publick in a tongue unknown. §. 6. The miſchievous effects of this practice ſhewed in ten plain queſtions. §. 7.

TO Scriptures thus interpreted by the *Fathers*, and other *Doctours* of the Church, I add the plain and cogent Reasons againſt the Latin Service of the Church of Rome; which alſo may be ſtrengthened from the conſent of *Fathers*, and other *Doctours* of the Church.

Arg. I. Then I Argue thus: that which rendreth the voice of the *Maſs* Priest uſeleſs, and makes it as good to moſt that are preſent to have a *dumb* Priest to do *Maſs*, as one that hath a tongue to ſay it, ought not to be done; but to read *Maſs* in Latin to them who underſtand it not, rendreth the voice of, the *Maſs* Priest uſeleſs to them, and all one as if the Priest who celebrates the

the *Mass*, were dumb. Ergo, *Mass* ought not to be said to them in *Latin*.

^a Nobis ergo verba necessaria sunt quibus commoneamur & inspiciamus quid petamus; non quibus dominum seu docendum seu flectendum esse credamus. Ep. 121. cap. 11. p. 626.

^b Quare non opus est locutione cum oramus, id est sonantibus verbis, nisi forte, sicut sacerdotes faciunt significanda mentis suae causa non ut Deus sed ut homines audiant & consensione quadam per commemorationem suspendantur in deum. De Magistro. cap. 1.

This Argument is bottomed upon these sayings of St. *Austin* that ^a words are necessary for us, not to instruct, or move our God, but that we may be minded by them what we are to ask, and ^b that there is no need of speaking when we pray, that is of sounding words, unless it be for the end for which the Priests do use them, viz. to signify their minds, not to God, but that men may hear them, and by remembering of them with consent may be carried up to God. Whence the Argument runs thus. There is no use of vocal prayer in reference to God, because he understands the Priests

heart when he speaks not, as well as when he speaks, he hears the prayer of the heart, and sees the word of the mind.

Nor secondly can speech be usefull that the Priest may understand himself, or know the import of the words he utters, for if he knoweth not before the import of them, he cannot do it by sounding forth he knows not what; speech was given to man not to make him know his own thoughts, or words, for then he must be supposed to speak he knows not what till he hath spoken it, but to make his mind known to others; that man is therefore senseless who, speaks to himself that he may understand himself.

Nor, thirdly, can his speech be usefull to make his Hearer's understand him, provided that he speaks in such a language which they do not understand, for he that hath no tongue, and he that hath none to be understood, is alike insignificant to them; a *Dumb Priest* can do all the ceremonies, and make the Signs, shew his Crucifix, and elevate his Host, and he that speaks aloud to them that understand him not doth no more.

Here then we may enquire with St. *Austin* what avail right words which the Hearer doth not understand, seeing there is no cause of speaking, if they do not understand what we speak, for whose sake we speak. For since there is no use of vocal prayer in publick, but that all together may signify their desires,

^c Quid prodest locutionis integritas quam non sequitur intellectus audientis? cum loquendi omnino nulla sit causa si quae loquimur non intelligunt propter quos ut intelligunt loquimur. de Catech. Rudibus. cap. 11.

fires, and stir up one another, and even in the expression of them to God, where this end cannot be obtained, because the Hearers do not understand the voice of him that prayeth, *cui bono?* to what end is the voice used in such prayers is an unanswerable question, And 'tis the very question of St. Ambrose in 1 Cor. 14. to what end doth he speak who is not understood? and the assertion of St. Chrysostome that there is no need of words where the perspicuity of the voice is wanting. Ibid.

This Argument is urged by others thus. That which is contrary to the very ends of speech ought not to be done, but speaking of the Lessons, Psalms and Prayers in *Latin*, to them who do not understand that tongue is speaking contrary to the ends of speech, for what we would effect when we speak, saith St. Austin, ^d is either to teach or learn, or bring to remembrance, and ^e He that speaks doth outwardly give a sign of his will by an articulate sound, and for that cause only is there use of such a sound in publick prayer. He adds that words are signs and signs are nothing if they be not significant, that ^f by the words which come out of our mouth we give a sign to others that they may understand something, and ought not to speak if we signifie nothing. That ^g in prayer to God whom we cannot teach, and to whose remembrance we can bring nothing; we either admonish our selves, or do it that others may be taught or admonished. And that ^h in those things which are discerned by the mind, he in vain hears the speech of others, who cannot discern his mind by it. Now sure by speaking of the Mass in *Latin* to them who do not understand it, they teach them nothing, they bring nothing to their remembrance, they give no significant sign of their mind to them, they do not admonish others, they therefore must by St. Austin's Rules, speak contrary to the ends of speech. Yea in the judgment of St. Paul, and all the Fathers who do Comment on him, they are Barbarians, they speak into the air, vainly, unprofitably, to no body, to the profit of none, and therefore doubtless in their judgment, they do not answer the great ends of publick Speech.

^a Aut docere aut discere. lib. de magistro cap. 1.

^c Qui loquitur sua voluntatis signum dat per articulatam sonum. Signum, nisi aliquid significat, potest esse signum?

^f Omnibus quae ex ore tuo prorumpunt signum mihi das ut intelligam aliquid, cap. 2.

^g In orando deo quem doceri aut commoneri existimare non possumus, id verba valeant ut vel nos ipsos commone faciamus vel alii commoneantur doceanturve per nos. cap. 6.

^h In iis quae mente cernuntur frustra cernentis loquelae audit quisquis ea cernere non potest. cap. 13.

Arg. II.

Arg. II. 2. " That which hindreth the Lessons read, the Psalms
 " chaunted, the Prayers offered up to God from being done to
 " edification ought not to be done, the command of the Apostle
 " being expresse and plain that *all things should be done to edi-*
 " *fication*, but reading of the Lessons, chaunting of the Psalms,
 " and Prayers in *Latin* to them who do not understand that
 " tongue, hindreth the Lessons read, the Psalms and Prayers
 " chaunted, from being done to edification, at least in refe-
 " rence to them. *Ergo*. It ought not to be done. The Minor which
 alone can be denied, is guarded with the constant suffrage
 of the holy Fathers, who, with St. *Austin*, do unanimously de-
 clare that *no man is edified by hearing what he doth not under-*
stand, and that *when men speak with an unknown tongue they*
can profit none. If it be replied that although these things be
 not done to the edification of the illiterate, yet are they done
 to the benefit of the Learned *Clerks*, and that is sufficient, the
Fathers baffle this evasion by declaring that St. *Paul* every where
 prefers that which tends to the benefit of most, τὸ κοινὴ συμφέρον
 that *which is for the common benefit*, that he lays down this
 as a Canon, that *which is usefull to most must be done in the*
publick service of the Church, that we must chiefly labour that
 the unskilfull may profit by them, and say, without distinction,
 or exception, that *if we meet for the edification of the Church,*
those things ought to be spoken which the Hearers understand.

Arg. III. 3. " That which renders the Service of God no more
 " beneficial to the unlearned that are present, then to them
 " that are absent, and consent to the prayers and praises in
 " general, and to what is done for all faithfull people, that
 " ought not to be done, much less enjoined, because it ren-
 " dreth their coming together not for the better, which is the
 " crime objected by St. *Paul* to the *Corinthians*. But praying
 " in *Latin* rendreth that Service of God, to them to whom
 " *Latin* is unknown, no more beneficial, though present, then
 " if they were absent and consenting, &c. For what profit can
 he receive who hears a sound and discerns it not? were it not
 as good he were absent as present, may he not as well place
 himself in some part of the *Church* where he cannot hear it,
 as where he can? 'tis true by accident it may be better for
 him to be there, because he sees what is done, and guesse at
 some-

De Genesi ad
 lit. l. 12. c. 8.

1 Cor. II. 17

something in the general, but still he is no better for what he
 hears, but onely for what he sees, nor is he farther benefited
 by that than as it helps his understanding, and therefore all
 that is not understood, does him no more benefit that is pre-
 sent, than to him that is absent, and consents to the prayers
 in general, and to what is done for all faithfull People. Nor
 can any man give a good account why a deaf man should not
 be as fit an hearer of such service, as is the illiterate person
 of the quickest ear, though it be evident that 'tis to him all
 one whether you speak when he is present, or when he is ab-
 sent. And truly these things how absurd soever they may
 seem to us *blind Protestants*, seem to be no absurdities or in-
 congruities unto the *R. Catholick*, for *he*, saith the Authour
 of a Papist Misrepresented and Represented, *is commanded* " to Cap. 24 p. 59.
 " assist at the Church Service, and to hear Mass, and in this
 " he is instructed, not to understand the words, but to know
 " what is done. For the Mass being a sacrifice wherein is
 " daily commemorated the Death and Passion of Christ by
 " an oblation made by the Priest of the body and blood of
 " the immaculate Lamb under the symbols of bread and
 " wine according to his own institution, 'tis not the business
 " of the Congregation present to employ their ears in attending
 " to the words, but their hearts in contemplation of the di-
 " vine Mysteries, by raising up fervent affections of love, thank-
 " giving, compassion, hope, sorrow for sins, resolutions of a-
 " mendment, &c, That thus having their heart and intention
 " united with the Priests they may be partakers of his prayers, p. 60.
 " and of the sacrifice he is then offering, and for the raising
 " of these affections in his soul, and filling his heart with the
 " ecstasies of love and devotion, he thinks in this case
 " there is little need of words, a true Faith without these is
 " all sufficient. — and if he must needs have words, let him
 " behold with the eye of faith the gaping wounds of his Re-
 " deemer, and see if those speak nothing to his soul, if they
 " do not 'tis because he wants faith; if nothing therefore con- p. 61.
 " cerns his devotion, that the Mass is said in Latin, if the
 " Church has ordered it thus, So to preserve unity as in Faith,
 " so in the external worship of God, and to prevent altera-
 " tions and changes which it would be exposed to if in vul-
 " gar Languages, and other good reasons, What's that to him?
 " he

“ he should receive but little advantage if it were in his mother Tongue. For, besides that *the greater part is said in so low a voice that 'tis not possible that he should hear it, the words do not belong to him*, that's onely the Priest's office, and his obligation is to accompany the Priest in prayer and spirit, to be a joint offerer with him to contemplate the Mysteries there represented, and to excite in his soul devotions according to the exigency of every passage. Now if these things be true,

1. It evidently follows that a *Dumb Priest* may well officiate, and a *deaf man* may join with him in the celebration of the *Romish Mass*, and that there is little need of tongue to speak, or ear to hear this great and solemn part of *publick worship* in the *Church of Rome*, for if it be not the business of the congregation to *employ their ears in attending to the words*, if the words *do not belong to them*, they may as well attend upon this service who cannot hear one word as they that can, should they who understand this *Latin be like the deaf Adder that stoppeth her ears, which will not hearken to the voice of the charmer*, or like them, who, as the *Prophet Zechariah* complains, *stopped their ears that they should not hear*; the *Church of Rome* could have no reason to complain of any of her members for so doing. And seeing the *Dumb Priest* could elevate the *Host*, and shew the *Crucifix*, and lay the *Consecrated wafer* on the Table, and do all the outward signs, which tend to raise the affections of the people by contemplation of what they see, I know not why he may not officiate as well as he who hath the loudest voice among those people *to whom the words belong not*, and who are *not concerned to attend* to any of the words pronounced, but are instructed, according to this Authour, *not to understand the words*.

2. Hence it must follow that the *Papist* is irrationally commanded to hear Mass, as he saith he is, and that he rather should be commanded to see Mass. For what is more absurd than to command him to hear words that *do not belong to him*, and which *'tis not his business to attend to*, and which for the greatest part are *said in so low a voice that 'tis impossible that he should hear them*, saith this Authour.

3. As for the present practise of the *Church of Rome* which, saith he, is to *read the greatest part of the Mass in so low a voice that*

that 'tis impossible the people should bear it. He doth, or may know that this is contrary to the practise of Christ, in the first institution of the Sacrament, and to the Declaration of St. Paul, that he who understandeth not the *Eucharistical* thanksgiving of the Priest cannot say *Amen* to it, and contrary to the universal practice of the Church of Christ by the plain Testimonies of the *Fathers*, who expressly teach that the Faithfull, even all the people present said *Amen* at the consecration, and benediction of the *Eucharistical oblations*, particularly from the forementioned testimony of Justin M. in the second Century, from the Testimonies of ^a Tertullian, and ^b Denys of Alexandria in the third from the forementioned Testimony of Cyril of Jerusalem, and from the Testimony of ^c St. Ambrose in the fourth, from the Testimonies of ^d St. Austin, and of Pope Leo in the fifth Century, from the Novel of Justinian in the sixth Century, which expressly forbids all Bishops and Priests to celebrate the holy Communion, κατὰ τὸ σιωπώμενον, in a silent voice, and as expressly commands them to do it, μετὰ φωνῆς τῷ πιστοτάτῳ λαῷ ἐξακροῦντες, with a voice heard by the faithfull people, From the *Nomo canon* of Photius in the ninth Century which mentions this Novel as a Law then obtaining in the Church of Christ, as also doth Balsamon in the twelfth Century to shew the practice of the West even to the close of the ninth Century, to the Testimonies of Albinus and Amalarius, I add the words of Paschasius Rathbertus, which are these, Behold in the book of the Sacraments compiled, (as we believe) by blessed Peter what the Priest prays in the Canon, that the Sacrament may be made the body and blood of Thy beloved Son, which prayer being finished, with a joint voice we say All Amen, And so every Church in every Nation and Language confesseth and prays. And the words of ^e Florus who saith that Amen which is answered in all Churches is interpreted true, this therefore do the Faithfull Answer at the consecration of such a Mystery, as do they also in every lawfull Prayer. And therefore Cardinal Bona having cited these words, confesseth that afterwards it was decreed that the Canon should be said with a low voice, and so this custome ceased. So that this custome is as great a Novelty, and apparent deviation from the practice of the Church of Christ, and from St. Paul's prescription, as that of praying in a tongue not understood, and therefore cannot justify that practice.

^a De spectac.
c. 25.

^b Apud Euf.
l. 7. c. 9.

^c De iis qui
myst. initian-
tur. cap. 9.

^d Resp. ad qu.
Orosii 49.

serm. 7. de
Jejun.

Epist ad Frun-
deg.

^e Amen, quod
ab omni ec-
clesia respon-
detur, inter-
pretatur ve-
rum. Bona
Rerum liturg.
l. 2. c. 12.
p. 775.

4. This Apology for reading of the *Canon* of the *Mass* in *Latin*, or in a Language not understood by the people, is built on an assertion abundantly confuted by *St. Paul*; and all the *Ancient Commentators* who have writ upon him, for 'tis to this effect, that *the people suffer no injury by this practice, because it belongs not to them to know the words of the Prayers, Lessons, or Thanksgivings used in the Canon of the Mass.* "Nor are they
 " concerned to attend to any of the words pronounced, that
 " without the knowledge of them they may raise up fervent
 " affections of love, thanksgiving, hope, compassion, sorrow
 " for sins, resolutions of amendment, and have their hearts, and
 " intentions so united with the *Priest's* as to be partakers of his
 " prayers and sacrifice, and so be edified as well as if they
 " knew the meaning of the words.

But *St. Paul* in opposition to these things saith *v. 5.* that the *Church* cannot be edified by him *who speaks with tongues*, unless he doth interpret, he enquires *v. 6.* *if I come to you speaking in tongues, what shall I profit you, without revealing of the import of them?* He saith that he *speaks to the air* who speaks not in the *Church* words easie to be understood, or of clear and distinct signification to the Hearer, *v. 7. 9.* That he who speaks so in the *Church* as that the Hearer doth not know *the power of his voice*, is to him a *Barbarian*, *v. 11.* That if he prays in the *Church* in an unknown tongue *his mind is unfruitfull* to the Hearer, *v. 14.* He is *not edified* by it, *v. 17.* He cannot say *Amen* to it because *he understands not what he saith*, *v. 16.* And from these things concludes, this was to be done, that he who spake with tongues should interpret what he spake, and he that prayed with his spiritual Gift should also pray *with his understanding that he might instruct others*, *v. 15---18.* To which the Admirers of Tongues might Answer in the words of this *Representer*, what of all this, what injury do the people suffer by all this, since *they are not concerned to understand the words, or attend to them*, what if they profit nothing by the words, which belong not to them? *true Faith without them is alsufficient.* Hast thou forgot *St. Paul*, that we *Christians* have *Mass* every day, or every *Lord's day* at least, and that in this the *Christian* is instructed *not to understand the words, but to know what is done, to employ not his ears in attending to the words, but his heart in contemplation of the Divine Mysteries, by raising*
 up

up fervent affections of love, thanksgiving, &c. what therefore though the *Mass Priest* be a *Barbarian*, and speak to the air, in using words which belong not to the hearer, what absurdity is in that; what though the hearer be not edified, or do not profit by the words he hears. Since by this *alsufficient faith* without them, and by this contemplation of the divine Mysteries, he edifies so much?

Again the *Fathers* do unanimously declare, in opposition to this *Paradox*, that *they who meet together that the Church may be edified ought to say such things which the hearers may understand*, that otherwise they are *Barbarians to the hearers*, their words are *lost in the air, and profit no body*, and the poor ignorant persons, they conceived an absurdity in reading, singing, or praying in any part of publick service, whereas it seems 'tis no such matter, the hearer being *not concerned to attend to the words*, but rather being instructed *not to know them*, *St. Paul*, say these mistaken *Fathers*, would have the words of him that prayeth understood, 'tis convenient by Reason of the words of *St. Paul*, that the prayers of the *H. Oblation*, i. e. the Canon of the *Mass*, should be uttered with a clear voice, the hearers, say they, should be able to interpret the prayer they hear, for otherwise they cannot say *Amen*. (Let the *Clark* do it for them say the *R. Doctours*) the *Priest* must utter in his prayer words known to them that are present, for otherwise his prayer hath no devotion, is deprived of its effect. No man receives benefit by it, what of that, say the *R. Doctours*, the drift of the Church in appointing *Lyturgies*, and set forms of Common prayer and readings in the *Mass*, was not for the peoples instruction. 'Tis not Popery Mis- the business of the congregation present, saith another, to employ repr. c. 24. their ears in attending to the words; the words do not belong p. 59. to them, it being an undeniable thing that to say prayers well, p. 61. and devoutly, it is not necessary to have attention on the words, p. 62. or on the sense of the prayers. Whatsoever these ignorant *Fathers* might say to the contrary.

Besides these Arguments which do in general concern reading, praying and singing of the *Mass* in *Latin* to them, to whom it mostly is a Tongue unknown. There be many clear and convincing demonstrations against all these practices in particular, and to begin with Prayer.

1. That

1. That which is repugnant to the nature of Prayer in general, to the ends of prayer *vocal*, and *publick*, in particular, and to the circumstances required to make it acceptable, and free from blame, that ought not to be done, but prayer in *Latin*, to them to whom it is a tongue unknown, and by whom it is not understood, is such. *Ergo*, it ought not to be done. And

1. it is repugnant to the nature of prayer, for that in *Scripture* language is *the pouring forth of the soul before God*, the *lifting up of the heart unto him*, the *crying to him with the heart*, the *desire of the heart*. But the heart cannot desire what it understands not, we cannot *pour forth the soul*, or *lift up the heart to God*, or be excited so to do by words which are of no significancy to us when they are either heard, or uttered. Prayer by the * *Fathers* is defined to be *incense ascending from the soul as from an Altar*, and *from a pure mind and conscience*, such words as do ascend like incense from pious souls, but where the soul hath no perception of the meaning of the words it hears, or utters, they can inspire it with no desires, the mind and conscience cannot be affected with the hearing of them, and so no prayer, truly and properly so called, can be produced by the hearing of them.

2. It is repugnant to the ends of *publick vocal* prayer, for they are 1. a *symphony*, or mutual consent of those who pray in what they ask, according to that saying of our Lord, *if two of you consent in any thing which you shall ask, it shall be done unto you*. 2. The glorifying God not onely with one mouth, but *with one heart*. So *Chrysostome*, *Occumenius*, *Theophylact* upon that place. But how can the illiterate person, who understands not what the *Priest* doth say, be moved by it to *glorifie God in his heart*, together with him? or to conspire with him in the very thing he asketh? and if he cannot do this, how can he answer these great ends of publick prayer? Agreeable to this is even the doctrine of many *Schoolmen*, and other *Doctours* of the *R. Church*. for the ends of vocal prayer set down by † *Biel*, are first *the excitation of inward devotion*. 2. *The illumination of the mind*. 3. *The more easie calling of those things to mind we pray for, in the time of prayer*. 4. *The keeping of the mind from wandring*. 5. *The more complete rendering of what is due to God, viz. The doing it both with mind and body*. 6. *A kind of redundance from the soul upon the body from*

Psal. 25. 1.

Psal. 84. 21.

119, 145.

Ecclef. 15. 2.

*Clem. Alex.

Strom. 7.

p. 717, 719.

Orig. contra.

Cels. l. 8.

p. 389.

Matt. 18. 19.

Rom. 15. 45

† De Can.

Missa

leſ 62. F.

170. A.

from the vehement affection and devotion of it, and lastly the instruction of others. Now which of all these ends can be obtained by hearing of that prayer we understand not: sure Biel thought that none of them could be obtained unless the prayer were known unto the people, whence in the same place he asserts, that * *Vocal prayer ought to be known to the people for whom 'tis offered, which it cannot be, saith he, unless it be vocal, for the people ought in this to be conform to the Ministers of the Church entreating God in a way possible to them, whence the Church did reasonably appoint that the Ministers of the Church should pronounce such prayers with a loud voice that they might come to the notice of All.* This it seems was anciently the care of the Church, not that they should come to the knowledge of very few, and in some places of none at all, as, through the care of the *Trent Council* and the *R. Church*, it is at present. *Thomas Aquinas* in his *Summs* had before uttered these last words, and from him Biel did verbatim transcribe them, as also three of the seven ends of prayer, viz. 1. † *The excitation of the inward devotion by which the mind of the person that prays is elevated to God.* 2. *The paying what is due to God, that man may serve God with the whole that he hath from him, not onely with the mind, but with the body.* 3. *That there may be a redundancy from the soul upon the body, according to that of the Psalmist, my heart is glad, and my tongue rejoiceth,* and having given the opinion of these two great Schoolmen in this case, it will be needless to shew that others of them followed these leading Doctours of the Schools.

3. As for the circumstances required to make this Service acceptable, and prevalent, or to preserve it from being ineffectual and blame worthy, *St. Paul* requires. 1. That it be *reasonable service*, i. e. the service of our rational faculty, that we should love and serve him with all our heart, and all our mind, that we should come unto him with a true heart in full assurance of faith, and serve him with our spirit, and glorifie him with our soul, and body which are his, particu-

* *Oratio publica necessario est vocalis; oportet enim quod talis oratio innotescat populo pro quo offertur, quod fieri non potest nisi sit vocalis, debet namque se populus in hac Ministris Ecclesie conformare ipsum secundum modicum (l. modum) sibi possibilem publice deprecando & ideo rationabiliter statuit Ecclesia, ut Ministri Ecclesie huiusmodi orationes etiam alta voce pronuncient ut ad notitiam omnium poterint pervenire, ibid. F. 169. B. & F. 170.*

† *Oratio est ascensus in Deum ille autem fieri non potest per voces nisi conjuncta sint mentis.*

Rationale officium.
Tertull. de Orat. cap. 12.
Rom. 12. 1.
1 Sam. 12. 20.
Matt. 22. 37.
Deut. 10. 12.
Hebr. 10. 22.
1 Cor. 14. 9.

1 Cor. 6. 19. larly that we should pray *with the understanding, with the de-*
 Rom. 12. 11. *fire of the heart*, that we must seek him *with all our heart,*
 1 Cor. 14. 15. *and all our soul,* must set our heart and our soul to seek the Lord
 Rom. 10. 1. *God,* must seek him *with the whole heart.* Psal. 119. 2. 10.
 Deut. 4. 29. *Search for him with all our hearts,* and engage our hearts to
 Jos. 22. 5. approach unto him, and lift up our hearts with our *hands*
 1 Chron. 22. 19. *unto God in the Heavens,* and they who do not cry *unto him*
 Jer. 29. 13. *with their hearts,* and who draw nigh unto him *with their lips,*
 30. 21. *when their hearts are far from him,* are said to worship him in
 Lam. 3. 41. *vain.*
 Hos. 7. 14.
 Matt. 15. 8.

Moreover, the prevailing prayer must, saith St. James, be
 Jam. 5. 16. *ἰσχυρὴ ἐν ᾧ ἐκτενῶς*, a prayer put up with great devotion, *intention*
and inward working of the heart, and sutablely the Fathers gene-
 rally tell us, this intention of the mind is necessary, *because*
 Moral. 22. *God is not the hearer of the voice, but of the heart,* or as St. Gre-
 c. 18. *gory, true prayer consists not in the words of the mouth, but in the*
thoughts of the heart, that we must knock with a pious exci-
 tation, and fervent intention of the heart in prayer, with many
 things of a like nature, but what possibility is there that the
 illiterate, who know not in the least the meaning of the words
 the Mass Priest utters, should thus join with him in his prayer,
 serving God *with all his heart, soul and mind, engaging, and*
lifting up his heart to God, and praying with the understanding.
 He indeed may think of other good things at the same time,
 or use some other prayers with which he is acquainted;
 but join with the Mass Priest in his he cannot, for his heart
 knows nothing of it, conceives nothing of the sense of the words
 spoken, and so is not affected with them, or moved by them
 to desire any thing. He therefore loseth all the advantages he
 might receive by these prayers spoken in a language understood,
 the excellency of devotion, the passion of desires, the assent
 of the mind to God, the intellectual conversation with him,
 the actings of his faith, affiance, hopes, compunction, hu-
 miliation upon occasion of the prayers recited, and whether it
 be reasonable that in the publick Service all these advantages
 should be lost to the greatest part of the *Catholick Church,* to
 them who chiefly need, and might entirely enjoy them from
 the service ministred in their *Mother tongue,* let any reasonable
 man judge.

2. As for *Psalmody, Praises and Thanksgivings*, the Argument is much the same, for these thanksgivings, saith the *Psalmist*, must proceed * *from the ground of the heart*, these praises must be sung *with understanding*, with *melody in the heart*, with *grace in the heart*, we must praise God *with the soul*, with *all that is within us*, with *all the heart*, with *uprightness of heart*, with *the whole heart*, *Corde magis quam voce*, with *a mind more employed than the voice*, saith St. *Jerome*, and all the *Fathers* before cited; either then let the people praise thee O God, yea let all the people praise thee, is a ceremonial precept, not now obligatory, no not when they come into the Temple where every man, saith the *Psalmist* speaketh of his praise, and then St. *Paul's* enquiry, *how shall he that is unlearned say Amen at thy giving of thanks*, was but a frivolous, and needless question, or they must be excused from doing it as *Scripture*, and the *Fathers* say it ought to be done, *with the heart, soul and understanding*, or else the praises used in publick must be utter'd in a language they may understand. And surely were it so, they would receive great profit, and advantage by it, for their hearts would be filled with spiritual joy, with a deep sense of the Divine goodness, and mercy to them in those things, for which they praise him, they would be obliged by these considerations to a greater love, and a more chearfull obedience to so good a God, and why all these great benefits should be lost to all the illiterate Members of the Church of God, that is unto the greatest part of the Church Catholick, which chiefly need these helps, I am not able to conceive. To lose the benefit of publick Prayers and praises, can be nothing less than to lose the benefit of the means of grace, and the ordinances of God from which we obtain pardon of sins, are strengthened in faith, confirmed in hope, increased in charity, are comforted in sorrows, derive help from God, are made sensible of his love, and are encouraged in his service, and I much fear they will have a great deal to answer for at the great day of judgment, who command and

P

plead

Nil est sola voce canere sine cordis intentione sed sicut ait Apostolus cantantes in cordibus vestris. Biel de Can. Miss. lec. 62. F. 170. A. Prestaret fateor si eas intelligerent. Divus enim Hieronymus idem docet his verbis & canere igitur & psallere & laudare dominum magis animo quam voce debemus — quod & precipit spiritus sanctus in psalmo per os David dicens psallite Domino sed sapienter, & rursus Augustinus psalmis & hymnis cum oratis deum hoc versetur in corde quod profertur in voce Albertus Pius Resp. ad Erasim. l. 6. de Cerim. F. 116. B.

* Psal. 47. 7. 1 Cor. 14, 15. Eph. 5. 18. Col. 3. 16. Psal. 9. 1. 86. 12. 103. 1. 119. 7, 10.

Tertull. de orat. cap. 10. 13.

Cypr. de orat. Dom. p. 140.

Psal. 29. 9.

plead for the performance of God's *Publick Service* in such a manner as necessarily deprives so many of *Christ's sheep* of such spiritual advantages to be received by it; God grant that they who are concerned may lay this to heart.

Chap. 24.
p. 61, 62.

Obj. But saith the Authour of *Popery Misrepresented and Represented*. " If at any time the Papist be present at the *Church Offices*, the *Litany*, solemn Thanksgivings, exequies, &c. " *which are all performed in Latin*, or should say any private " prayers, or sing an hymn in the same language which he " understands not, yet is he taught that this may be done " with great benefit to his soul, and the acceptance of God, " if at these occasions he does but endeavour to raise his thoughts " to Heaven, and fix his heart upon his Maker. For that God " doth not respect the language of the lips, but of the heart, " does not attend the motion of the tongue, but of the mind, " and if these be but directed to him in thanksgiving, in " praising, in petitioning, he need not doubt but that God " accepts his prayers and devotions; it being an undeniable " thing that to say prayers well and devoutly, 'tis not necessary to have attention on the words, or on the sense of the " prayers, but rather purely on God. Of these three attentions, " this last being approved by all as of greatest perfection, and " most pleasing to God. And this he can have whether he understands the words, or no, it being very usual and easie for " a Petitioner to accompany his petition with an earnest desire " of obtaining his suit, though the language in which it is " worded be unknown to him.

Repl. To this it hath been lately answered, that *the publick Offices of devotion were designed for the uniting the hearts and desires of the people in the same things*, (or in our Saviour's language that they might conspire and agree in any thing which they shall ask) *there being one form of prayer for all to join together in, that with the united force of the whole Congregation their petitions may go up to Heaven*. Now in order to this united devotion it is plainly necessary that the people all know what they pray for. 2. That all the Devotion which the *Authour* mentions as necessary to be performed in *publick* by the common people, may be done as well at a silent Meeting where

no

not a word is spoken, as where the *Mass Priest* speaketh what they understand not in the loudest voice. If *at these dumb Meetings they do but endeavour* to raise their thoughts to Heaven, and fix their hearts upon their Maker for that *God doth not respect the language of the lips, but of the heart, does not attend the motion of the tongue, but of the mind, and if these be but directed to him in thanksgiving, in praising, in petitioning, he need not doubt but that God accepts his prayers and devotions, and if this be sufficient all publick forms must be unnecessary.*

3. Whereas he confidently adds that *it is a thing undeniable, that to say prayers well and devoutly it is not necessary to have attention on the words, or on the sense of the prayers, but rather purely on God, of these three attentions the last being approved by all as of greatest perfection, and most pleasing to God.* This bold assertion is not onely without all president from *Scripture*, or the writings of the *Ancient Fathers*, touching publick prayer, or praises, but it is flatly opposite to both, and in it self ridiculous, it is flatly opposite to *St. Paul*, who declares *he cannot say Amen well, and devoutly unto the prayers or the thanksgiving of the Priest, who knows not what he saith*; as sure he cannot do, who attends not to the sense of the words, the prayer or the thanksgiving which he useth. 'Tis flatly opposite to all the *Ancient Commentatours* on those words of *St. Paul*, who do with one consent declare that he who knoweth not the sense or meaning of the words used in prayer or thanksgiving, cannot duly, or as he ought yield his assent unto it, or confirm it with his testimony. This bold Assertion expressly is confuted by these sayings of the *Fathers*, that *when the words of the prayer are unknown to them that are present—no man receives benefit by it, that when it is not understood it hath no devotion, and is deprived of its effect. That we must sing with understanding of the things we sing, with consideration of the thing spoken, stirring up our minds to understand, and that otherwise we onely sing unto the air, onely honour God with our lips, when our hearts are far from him.* Lastly 'tis a ridiculous assertion; for may I not as rationally say that to speak well it is not necessary a man should attend to the sense of what he speaks, but onely to the person to whom he speaks, as that to pray well it is not necessary he should attend to the sense of what he prays, but onely to the God he prays to, can I pray devoutly without desiring, fervently that God would hear my

prayer, and can I have a fervent desire of any thing of which I have no sense? can I express that desire without a sense of it,

or attending to it, or if I could, is it fit saith
* the Father, that God should attend to thy
prayer when thou thy self doest not do it, or
regard that desire which is senseless, and unre-
garded by thy self.

* *Quomodo Deus prestabit præ-
canti quicquid oraverit cum ad præ-
candum neque ex animo neque ob-
servanter accedat. Lactantius l. 5.
c. 19.*

But 3. That men should think it reasonable to read the word of God unto the people in a tongue they do not understand, that is to deliver God's message, his command concerning things which he requires them to do, or leave undone upon the greatest penalties, in such a manner as that the persons concerned in that Message, and bound to yield obedience to those commands; should be unable to discern his will and Message to them, that they should lie under a necessity of suffering the reproof of *Ephraim*, *I have written to them the great things of my law, but they have counted them as a strange thing.* Or a thing not belonging unto them to know, or do, this I say is a practice in it self so absurd and irrational, so contrary to the policy and custome of all Nations in matters of like nature. So repugnant to the great purpose and design of God in sending of this message by his *Prophets*, and inspired Penmen, so plainly contradictory to the sense, faith and practice of the whole body of *Christians* through many Ages of the *Church*, that it is matter of exceeding admiration that any who are called *Christians* should set themselves to justify, and plead for this enormity, and more particularly, that they who do pretend a reverence for the concurrent practice of the *Church* of former Ages, and to hold nothing which hath not by Tradition been handed down unto them, should be guilty of it. For do not all the *Kingdoms* of the world deliver to their Subjects those Laws which they would have them practice in that language which they understand? did any *Nation* ever dream of promulgation of them in a tongue unknown? is not the thing a contradiction in it self, if the *Ministers* of this *Nation* should unanimously say they judged it expedient that all our Laws, and all his Majesty's *Proclamations* should be read to the People onely in the *Latin* tongue, would they not render themselves ridiculous, and justly incur the censure of their *Civil Governours*? why then do men deal so with the Laws, *Edicts*, Messages of the great God of Heaven, as no man in his wits ever thought fit

fit to deal with any humane Laws on earth ? for though some *Heathen Nations* have thought fit to keep their Laws concerning *Sacra* from the Peoples knowledge, yet never any Nation thought it fit to read those laws unto them in a tongue unknown, and much less those which they believed were of great importance to be known.

That this practice is absurd and irrational I confess is somewhat difficult to prove, as it is to prove that a man walketh with his feet, and seeth with his eyes, or any other thing which shines already with the brightest evidence, because 'tis difficult to bring a medium more evident to prove it by, for since the case is in this matter plainly this, whether *Christians* should hear the word of Life, and of Salvation, so as to understand their duty by it, and the way to Life, or onely hear it read unto them as the Sparrow, and the Wren, and the Dogs that come with them do, by hearing of a sound which both do understand alike, that is not at all? whether it should be read unto them to no purpose, or to very good purpose? whether they who are commanded to do all things to the *edification of the Church*, and to follow after those things whereby they may edifie others. Should read those Lessons which by confession of the *Trent Council* contain great matter for instruction, and the edification of the People, so as that they cannot be instructed, or edified in the least by what they hear? whether men should in the name of *Christ*, and as the mouth of God, read such words as these unintelligibly, *Hear this O my people, give ear ye that dwell upon the earth. Hear and understand, take heed how you hear*; or indeed any other words spoken by them, as by the mouth and messengers of God; this being in effect to say God commands you by me to do what he will not have you know, he by me speaks to you to no purpose, and bids you understand what he knows you cannot. I say this being the plain case betwixt us and the *Church of Rome*, it is almost as hard, as it is needless to say any thing which is clearer than the very question, or will convince that man who understands the meaning of the words, and is not satisfied.

3. That the faith, sense, and practice of the whole *Christian* world was for 500, I might say a 1000. years unanimously for us, I have already shewed, from many express sayings of the

the *Ancient Fathers*, and many practices and customes of the *Church of God*. To which we onely add at present.

1. That nothing is more common in the writings of the *Fathers of the fourth, fifth and sixth Centurys* than to say *thus and thus you have heard in the Gospel, the Epistle read unto you, or in the Psalms now read*.

2. That they constantly complain of them who did not listen diligently, and yield attention to the *Scriptures* read unto them in the *Church*, and do as frequently exhort them to the performance of this duty, it were easie to compose a volume on this fruitfull subject, but the contents of *Cbrysoftomes Ethicks*, or *Homilies* with which he doth conclude his expositions on the *Scriptures* will make this manifest beyond all contradiction, the contents of his first *Homily* on *St. Matthew*, run thus, that *we ought exactly to give heed to the Scriptures read to us*; his second on *St. John*, that *they who come to Church ought exactly to give heed to the things spoken*; his fifty third, that it is *very profitable exactly to listen to the holy Scriptures*; his third upon the second *Epistle* to the *Thessalonians*, that *we ought with gravity to come into the Church, and diligently to hearken to the things read unto us*. To omit many others to the same effect.

3. That they took care before they read the *Scriptures* to the *People* to quicken their attention to what was to be read

out of the word of God to them. For to this end ^k the *Deacon* as a common person cryed out a loud, let us attend to what is read, this he doth often, saith *St. Cbrysoftome*, and the voice he utters, ἡ φωνὴ κοινὴ τῆς ἐκκλησίας ἐστίν, is the common voice of the whole *Church*. ^l After him comes the *Reader*, and that he may engage us to *Obedience* to this exhortation of the *Church*, by the *Deacon*, he crys out, thus saith the *Lord*. And lastly

that this practice is repugnant to the great purpose and design of God in sending of his message to the *People* by his *Prophets*, and inspired *Pen men*, will be exceeding evident if we consider.

1. That God of old required the *Scriptures* should be read unto them that they might learn to do what he required by

Deut. 31.11, it. When all Israel is come to appear before the Lord thy God
12. in

^k Κοινὴς ἔσκεν ὁ διακονῶν μέ-
γα βῶν καὶ λέγων προσερχομιν, &c.

Hom. 19. in Act. Apost. p. 723.

^l Μετ' ἐκείνου ἀρχεται ὁ ἀναγ-
νώτης ὅτε οἱ ἐπήκοοι ἐκφωνεῖ
λέγων τὰς λέξεις Κύριε. Ibid.
p. 724.

(III)

in the place which he shall chuse, thou shalt read this Law before all Israel in their hearing, gather the people together, men, women and children, and the stranger that is within thy gates, that they may hear, and that they may learn, and fear the Lord their God and observe to do all the words of this Law. St. Paul not onely doth assure us that this word of God is profitable for instruction but that *whatsoever things were written afore time, were written for our learning*: when therefore they are read to People so as they cannot learn to do them, and can receive no comfort and instruction by them, they must be read so as that the end which God designed in the reading of them cannot be obtained.

2 Tim. 3. 16.

Rom. 15. 4.

2. To make this farther evident, and shew the mischievous effects of this contrivance, let these few questions be considered seriously.

1. Whether by this practice, and Tradition of Reading of the word of God in *Latin* to them who do not understand that tongue, they have not made *the word of God of none effect* to all that hear it thus read to them, and render it impossible for them to *hear and understand*, much more to *hear and do*, as *H. Scripture* frequently exhorts.

2. Whether by reading of *Christ's Gospel* thus, they do not hinder it from being what in *Christ's mouth* it was, *ἡ λόγος ἐν ἐξουσίᾳ*, a word in power.

3. Whether the word of God thus read unto them can be, as the *Apostle* styles it, *quick, and powerfull, and sharper than a two edged sword*, or the *engrafted word which is able to save the soul*, or as it is so often styled *the word of exhortation*, *Act. 13. 15.* *Heb. 13. 22.* *the word of Grace.* *Act. 14. 3.* *20. 32.* of *Life* *1 Joh. 1. 1.* of *Salvation.* *Act. 13. 26.* of *Wisdom and Knowledge.* *1 Cor. 12. 8.* of *Truth.* *2 Cor. 6. 7.* *Eph. 1. 13.* of *Reconciliation.* *2 Cor. 5. 19.* of *Righteousness.* *Heb. 5. 13.*

4. Whether they who thus read it do not make it necessary that they who do so hear should *not believe, regard, observe* it.

Psal. 106. 24.

Exod. 9. 21.

2 Chron. 34. 21.

5. Whether

5. Whether they do not force such hearers to be like those unprofitable hearers in the Parable, *who hear the word of the Kingdom, and understand it not*, Matt. 13. 19.

6. Whether they do not fulfill to *Christians* that which God threatned to his People for their sins, *with another tongue will I speak unto this People*, Eisaiah 28. 11, 12.

7. Whether they do not in part, or for that season, deprive the People of a great blessing? the *Psalmist* having said, *blessed are the People which hear the joyfull sound*, Psal. 89. 15. And the *Evangelist* of the obscurest book of the *New Testament*, *blessed is he that readeth, and they who hear the words of this Prophecy*, Revel. 1. 3.

8. Whether they do not bring them under that dreadfull punishment and curse God by his *Prophet* threatned to his People, saying, *hear ye indeed but understand not*, Esa. 6. 9. and which was in our *Saviour's* time fulfilled upon them Matt. 13. 14.

9. Whether they do not render it impossible for them to believe the word thus read unto them with the heart, to *take heed what, or how they hear, to lay it up in, or write it on the tables of their Hearts*, as *Scripture* doth require of all that hear it.

Hom. 19. in
Act. Apost.

10. Whether according to *St. Chrysostome*, they do not even stop the mouth of God, or contribute to the stopping of it; for speaking to such as did not mind, or yield attention to the word read unto them in the *Church*: *Hear*, saith he, *and be filled with horror, such an one as it were stops the mouth of God, for the mouth by which he speaks; the mouth of the Prophets is the mouth of God*, and surely then they must do little better who order matters so that many of the hearers cannot mind, or yield attention to the word read in the *Church*.

Chap. 10.

But saith the Authour of the *Papist Misrepresented and Represented*. The *Papist* truly Represented doth not think it fit to be read generally by all without licence, or, in the vulgar tongue,
not

not for any disrespect to it, but 1. because he understands that private interpretation is not proper for the Scripture, 2 Pet. 1. 20. 2. because that in the Epistles of St. Paul are certain things hard to be understood which the unlearned and unstable deprave, (as also the rest of the Scriptures) to their own perdition. 3. Because God hath given onely some to be Apostles, some Prophets other some Evangelists, and other some Pastours and Doctours, Eph. 4. 11. for these reasons he is taught that 'tis not convenient for the Scripture to be read indifferently to all men.

Ans. Let it be noted that these are reasons why the Papist is to believe not onely that the Scriptures should not be read by all in the vulgar tongue, but also that 'tis not convenient for the Scripture to be read indifferently to them. And this discovers two of these reasons, though they be very weak, yet to be very proper to the subject matter, the strength and consequence of them being as unintelligible to the common people as their Lessons read in Latin, and being in this like unto their Latin Service, that doubtless they were not intended to instruct, or edifie, and much less to convince, for sure no person in his senses can hope to convince us with such stuff as this. " The Scriptures were " indited by men not speaking what their own spirit did suggest, but what the H. Ghost suggested to them, therefore they " are not to be read indifferently to all men, or to be read in " publick in the vulgar tongue. God gave onely some Apostles " Prophets, Evangelists, Pastours and Teachers, therefore these Pastours and Teachers must not read the Scriptures in the vulgar tongue, or unto all indifferently. The second reason seems at first view more plausible, and is on all occasions urged by the R. Doctours, and that it is indeed no better than these following. The wicked turn the Grace of God into lasciviousness, therefore that Grace of God which brings Salvation, should not indifferently be made known unto them. The word of God is to many a savor of death unto death, and therefore is not to be preached indifferently. In the Writings of the Prophets, and of Moses were certain things hard to be understood, which both the learned Scribes and Pharisees, and the unlearned Jews perverted to the discarding of the true Messiah, and so unto their own perdition, therefore they were not to be read in the Synagogues every Sabbath day, therefore our

Jud. 4.

2 Cor. 2. 16.

A& 15. 21.]

Q

Saviour

Joh. 5. 39. Saviour did not well to say unto them indifferently *search the*
 Luk. 16. 31. *Scriptures, or they have Moses and the Prophets let them hear*
them. Moreover the Apostle Peter in that very Epistle where
 he speaks of the *unstable and unlearned who wrested the Scrip-*
tures to their own distraction, doth inform his hearers that
 2 Pet. 1. 19. they would do well to *take heed unto that word of prophesie,*
 which the unstable did thus wrest, *as to a light shining in dark*
places, and to be mindfull of the words which were spoken be-
fore by the holy Prophets, and of the commandment of the Apo-
stles of the Lord and Saviour; so far was he from thinking this
 a sufficient reason for their being kept from reading or hear-
 ing of the *H. Scriptures* read intelligibly to them. To con-
 clude then this Chapter, I doubt not but whosoever seriously
 weighs these things will with Cassander, say, *it were to be wi-*
 De officio pii *shed that, according to the Apostle's command, and the custome of*
 viri. p. 865. *of the Ancient Church, some heed were taken of the people in*
the publick Prayers, Psalms and Lessons of the Church, which are
said for their sakes, and that the common people should not always
and altogether be kept strangers from all communion in the Pray-
ers and Divine lessons. The words of Paul are manifest that what
 is spoken cannot be understood, unless you express them in a signi-
 ficant tongue, and that it cannot be that he, who, through un-
 skilfulness, knows not what is spoken, should say Amen to the
 thanksgiving of another, and that Oracle by whose admonition the
 Pope with the College of Cardinals is said to have granted liberty
 to the Slavonians to perform the Service in their Mother tongue,
 seems to belong to Christians of all Nations; let every spirit praise
 the Lord, and every tongue confess unto him.

The

CONCLUSION.

The CONTENTS.

Shewing the use of this Treatise 1. to confute the Doctrine of Infallibility, and to demonstrate that the approved Councils of the Church of Rome, or the Church Governours presiding in that Church are not by God's appointment Authentick Judges of our Controversies, or infallible Interpreters of Scripture §. 1. 2. To shew the falshood of all the principal things asserted by R. H. in his Guide of Controversies. §. 2. 3. To engage all Protestants not to give heed to the pretensions of the Church of Rome to the forementioned privileges, till they see greater reason to own them Infallible in the Interpretation of Scripture and in the decision of Controversies, then is here offered to prove that in deciding of these two particulars, and acting sutablely to those decisions they have done contrary to the plain verdict of the word of God, and the Tradition of the Church for ten whole Centuries. § 3.

HERE then is matter of fact sufficient to preserve from Popery all who are satisfied of the truth of what the Church of England hath asserted touching this particular, and plainly to demonstrate the falshood of the pretensions of the Church of Rome, and of her Governours to be infallible, and the vanity of all the elaborate Sophisms of the *Guide of Controversies*. For

I. If it be a thing plainly repugnant to the word of God to have publick Prayers in the Church, or to minister the Sacraments in a tongue not understood of the People, then the Trent Council must have erred in judging that it was not expedient that the Mass should be every where celebrated in the vulgar tongue, and in decreeing that the custome of performing the whole Mass, or publick Service in the Latin tongue throughout the West should be retained. Then also the continual practice of the Church,

agreeably to these decrees, must be a practice plainly repugnant to the word of God.

2. Hence all the Sophisms of the *Guide of Controversies*, may be sufficiently confuted. For hence it follows,

Guide Disc. 1. ch. 2. 1. That if a promise of indeficiency in necessities was absolutely made by Christ to the *Guide of the Catholick Church*; either the things defined by these Councils were not necessary to be de-

Disc. p. 131. defined by them, since he contends not for an universal infallibility of General Councils, but onely for their infallibility in all things necessary to be defined, or else the Governours of the Church of Rome, and the forementioned Councils were not the Guides of the Church Catholick, since they were not infallible, but actually err'd in these Decisions.

Guide Disc. 1. chap. 3. 2. Hence it must follow that the subordinate Clergy may be a Guide to Christians when opposing the Superiour, and that a few may have sufficient reason to oppose a major part, for actually it so happened that those few inferiour persons of the Clergy who opposed themselves against the celebration of the publick Service in a tongue unknown to the generality of the People, did guide Christians into the way of obedience to the command of Christ, and those many Superiours who determined the contrary, did guide them into the way of disobedience to his precepts, and so could be no Guides which Christians were obliged to follow.

Guide Disc. 2. cap. 1. 3. Hence it must follow that these Pastours and Governours assembled in these Councils, could have no Authority from Christ to decide these Controversies, they then decided, since they could have no Authority from Christ to command all Christians subject to them, to do what plainly was repugnant to the word of God, or to violate his ordinance and precept.

Disc. 2. ch. 2. 4. Hence it must follow that these Councils ought not to be believed in proposing of these Doctrines, because they are not to be believed in asserting that it is lawfull to violate the commands of Christ, and that no parts of the Church were, or could be obliged to submit their judgments to these Decrees, because they cannot be obliged to act in repugnance to the word of God.

Ibid. ch. 3. 5. Hence it is evident that there can lye no obligation upon Christians, in a dissention of the Church Clergy to adhere to the Superiour,

Superiours, or in those of the same order and dignity, to adhere to the major part, because no obligation can lie upon them to adhere to the Commands of men, obliging them to violate the Laws of Christ, or to act in opposition to the word of God.

6. Hence also it is evident that the clearness of any thing in Scripture, or Tradition gives no security in reference to the judgment of Church Governours, that they, assembled in Council, will not act in contradiction to what is clear from Scripture and Tradition. Seeing these Governours have in this matter judged contrary to the plain Word of God, and the Tradition of the Church of Christ. *Ibid. ch. 5.*

7. Hence it must follow that for knowing what Councils are obliging a Christian cannot safely rely on the most General judgment of the Western Churches since the sitting of those Councils, *Guide Disc. 3. cap. 2.* because the generality of the Clergy of those Churches have actually, in this matter judged and practiced in opposition to the command of Christ, and to the General Tradition of his Church.

8. Hence it appears that no future acceptance of these Decrees *Ibidem.* can render them obliging, because there can be no obligation upon any man to disobey the Laws of Christ.

9. Hence it follows that no persons dissenting from, and opposing the definitions of these Councils, can upon that account be Hereticks, because no person can be an Heretick for refusing to act contrary to the plain Word of God, or the Tradition of the whole Church Catholick. *Ibid. chap. 3.*

10. Hence it is clear that no person separating from the external communion of those Churches which impose the belief of these Decrees as true, and a practice suitable to them, as the Condition of Communion, can be on that account a Schismatick, because no person can be obliged to profess that he believeth an untruth, or join in practice with them who disobey Christ's precepts, and act in opposition to his word, and the Tradition of the Church for a whole thousand years. *Ibidem.*

11. Hence it appears that Ecclesiastical Superiours, though departing from no other Superiours, may, by imposing these conditions of Communion, become guilty of Schism, for if a Schism do actually follow from these impositions, and they who therefore separate, because they will not profess that they believe an Errour, or because they will not practice in opposition to their *Ibid. ch. 5.*

their Lord's command, and the Tradition of the Church, be not the *Schismaticks*, the Imposers must, without doubt, be guilty of that crime.

12. Hence it must follow that the definition of matters of Faith upon *Anathema* to *Dissenters*, can be no evidence that they who do pronounce that *Anathema* are infallible, because the *Anathemas* pronounced in these cases were pronounced by *Councils* actually deceiving, and deceived.

Lastly, Hence it is evident that the *Governours* of the Church of Rome dispersed, or assembled in *Council*, cannot be the persons on whom we are obliged to depend for the declaring the sense of the *Fathers*, or the *Traditions* of the Church of former Ages, seeing in this Article they have so evidently declared against the sense of the whole stream of *Fathers*, and the constant Tradition of the Church.

In a word, hence it is clear that no man ought to hearken to the pretences of that Church to be infallible, or by our Lord's appointment, the *Authentick Judge* of *Controversies*, and the *Interpreters* of *Scriptures*, till he find greater evidence from *Scripture*, Reason and *Authority*, that to them, by our Lor's appointment, do belong these privileges, than they here find to prove God hath appointed that the *publick Service should be read in a Tongue unknown unto the People*, and then I think we can have no great cause to fear any will be taken in this snare.

FINIS.